In preparation for the 2018 review and revision of the Colorado Academic Standards, the Colorado Department of Education invited the public to submit comments and suggestions through an online feedback system that was open from October 2016 through April 2017. Below are the unedited comments and suggestions that were submitted for Dance. Each feedback item is prefaced with either Revise, Remove, Move, or Comment. Only those parts of the standards (Prepared Graduate Competencies, Grade Level Expectations, Evidence Outcomes, etc.) that received feedback are listed below, and as such, some PGCs/GLEs/EOs are missing from this document. For more information on the Colorado Academic Standards and the 2018 review and revision, visit http://www.cde.state.co.us/standardsandinstruction.

**Prepared Graduate Competencies**

**PGC: Demonstrate an understanding of form and structure to create dances**

Remove: This should be physical education, nothing directly for dance. This really doesn't fit the desires of students either. Some are not interested. My daughter loves it but that is not consistent for all.

Revise: The student will not be required to participate in service projects where the student must provide unpaid services to progressive organizations, the Democrat party, the Green party, etc. when demonstrating an understanding of form and structure to create dances MAKING CITIZENS: HOW AMERICAN UNIVERSITIES TEACH CIVICS WITH CASE STUDIES OF THE UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO, BOULDER; COLORADO STATE UNIVERSITY; UNIVERSITY OF NORTHERN COLORADO; AND THE UNIVERSITY OF WYOMING The New Civics hopes to accomplish this by teaching students that a good citizen is a radical activist, and it puts political activism at the center of everything that students do in college, including academic study, extra-curricular pursuits, and off-campus ventures. New Civics builds on “service-learning,” which is an effort to divert students from the classroom to vocational training as community activists. By rebranding itself as “civic engagement,” service earning succeeded in capturing nearly all the funding that formerly supported the old civics. In practice this means that instead of teaching college students the foundations of law, liberty, and self-government, colleges teach students how to organize protests, occupy buildings, and stage demonstrations. These are indeed forms of “civic engagement,” but they are far from being a genuine substitute for learning how to be a full participant in our republic https://www.nas.org/images/documents/NAS_makingCitizens_executiveSummary.pdf

**PGC: Participate in a dance production**

Remove: Participation should not be mandatory (if dancing is); some students may be shy or not have the ability or confidence to participate. Asking a student to participate when the student lacks the ability and/or confidence can increase the student's self-esteem issues and also may lead to bullying.

**PGC: Discover connections to academic content areas, social activities, mass media, and careers**

Revise: The student will ensure that the student does not use papers which had an invalid methodology or which had been retracted when discovering connections to academic content areas, social activities, mass media, and careers From National Academy of Science, A growing body of evidence indicates that substantial percentages of published results in some fields are not reproducible, the report says, noting that this is a complex phenomenon and much remains to be learned. While a certain level of irreproducibility due to
unknown variables or errors is a normal part of research, data falsification and detrimental research practices -- such as inappropriate use of statistics or after-the-fact fitting of hypotheses to previously collected data -- apparently also play a role. In addition, new forms of detrimental research practices are appearing, such as predatory journals that do little or no editorial review or quality control of papers while charging authors substantial fees. And the number of retractions of journal articles has increased, with a significant percentage of those retractions due to research misconduct. The report cautions, however, that this increase does not necessarily indicate that the incidence of misconduct is increasing, as more-vigilant scrutiny by the community may be a contributing factor. http://www8.nationalacademies.org/onpinews/newsitem.aspx?RecordID=21896&utm_source=NASEM+News+and+Publications&utm_campaign=15d988f9b6-NAP_mail_new_2017.04.17&utm_medium=email&utm_term=0_96101de015-15d988f9b6-104332205&mc_cid=15d988f9b6&mc_eid=0f163c1e89 http://physics.nyu.edu/sokal/franklin_review_BtH.pdf Compounding Error: The Afterlife of Bad Science Authors and affiliations Jaime A. Teixeira da SilvaEmail author Judit Dobránszki Judit Dobránszki, Institutes for Agricultural Research and Educational Farm of the University of Debrecen The failure to discover and correct errors in published scientific papers “poses significant risks for authors, editors, journals, and publishers” as well as for the wider academic pool and the public, and weakens reader and peer confidence in the credibility of scientists and their research. When errors in the published scientific literature are discovered they must be reported, and corrections made “quickly and completely,” urge Jaime A. Teixeira da Silva and Judit Dobránszki, who lay out the case for strengthening post-publication peer review. https://www.nas.org/articles/spring_2017_academic_questions http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007%2Fs12129-017-9621-0 Article: Highly cited retracted papers ABSTRACT: We examine the number of citations in 10 highly cited retracted papers, and compare their current pre- and post-citation values. We offer some possible explanations for the continued citation of these retracted papers, and point out some of the risks that may be involved for the communities that continue to cite them. In general, retracted papers should not be cited, but often there is fault with unclear publisher web-sites, the existence of pirate web-sites or sites that display copies of the unretracted version of the paper, or even the insistent citation of a retracted paper because the results remain valid, or because the authors (most likely) refuse to accept the retracted status of that paper, or continue to believe that the core findings of the study remain valid. Article · Mar 2017 · Scientometrics https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Judit_Dobranszki