

High Quality Assessment Content Validity Review Tool

To understand the review process and how to use the review tool, go to: [Assessment Review Tool](#)

Content Area: Visual Art

Name of Assessment: Picasso - Horse And Bull

Reviewer: Content Collaborative

Date of Review: April 18, 2012

Assessment Profile

Grade Level(s) suggested by this assessment: High School

[Indicate the Colorado Academic Standards \(CAS\) and Grade Level Expectations evaluated by the Assessment:](#)

VA09-GR.HS-S.1-GLE.1; VA09-GR.HS-S.1-GLE.2; VA09-GR.HS-S.2-GLE.1; VA09-GR.HS-S.2-GLE.3; VA09-GR.HS-S.3-GLE.1; VA09-GR.HS-S.3-GLE.2; VA09-GR.HS-S.3-GLE.3; VA09-GR.HS-S.4-GLE.1; VA09-GR.HS-S.4-GLE.3

What is the DOK of the assessment? 1-3

DOK 1: Students are able to define and describe the use of art elements, principles, style, media, and /or techniques. They can identify the function of art in a culture, and make connections between visual art and other content areas.(example) "Students name a technique/element/style/ and attempt to show how this technique /element/style/ effects the meaning of the work" AND "Students will investigate the meaning of the horse and bull and interpret the meaning of the works of art"

DOK 2:

Students can make examples of and compare and contrast art elements, principles, style, media, and/or techniques through guided practice. They can compare and contrast art elements, principles, style, subject matter, theme, media, and techniques in two works of art. (example) "Describe how painting #B is different from drawing #A by comparing the techniques and style of the works"

DOK 3: Students create original artwork within a set of teacher-directed parameters which could include subject matter, themed, historical style, elements and principles, media, and /or technique. They can express a personal point of view through the creation of artwork, and create art that serves a purpose in society. Students justify artistic decisions and analyze and evaluate the effectiveness of communicating meaning in art. (example) "Students will exhibit a high level of insight and sensitivity to the visual qualities of the works of art and will interpret these works calling upon a wide range of ideas and using a language that is eloquent and poetic" AND "Students will write their own interpretation of the image of the horse and bull and create an image"

Indicate the DOK range of the CAS Grade Level Expectations:

DOK 1 and 3

Describe the content knowledge/concepts assessed:

Concepts:

- Visual art has inherent characteristics and expressive features
- Art and design have purpose and function
- Reflective strategies are used to understand the creative process
- Interpretation is a means for understanding and evaluating works of art
- Make judgments from visual messages
- Communication through advanced visual methods is a necessary skill in everyday life
- Appropriate understanding and use of techniques, materials and processes are necessary to create works of art
- Academic content vocabulary is required

List the skills/performance assessed:

- Identify characteristics and expressive features of art and how to recognize them in artwork
- Create meaning and how to recognize and interpret intended meaning in selected works of art
- Making inferences

- Identify characteristics and expressive features of art and how to recognize them in artwork
- Create meaning and how to recognize and interpret intended meaning in selected works of art
- Making inferences
- Knowledge of art materials, techniques, and processes
- Making informed judgments
- Interpretation of art using Knowledge of art history, art materials, techniques, and processes

Item Types - check all that apply (note: there is often overlap among certain item types):

Selected Response (multiple choice, true-false, matching, etc.)

Short Answer (short constructed response, fill in a graphic organizer or diagram, explain your thinking or solution, make and complete a table, etc.)

Extended Response (essay, multi-step response with explanation and rationale required for tasks)

Product (research paper, editorial, log, journal, play, poem, model, multimedia, art products, script, musical score, portfolio pieces, etc.)

Performance (demonstration, presentation, science lab, dance or music performance, athletic performance, debate, etc.)

Process (creation, development, design, exploration, imagining, visualization, experimentation, invention, revision)

The assessment includes:

Teacher directions (may include prerequisites/description of instruction before giving the assessment e.g., this assessment should be given after students have learned ...)

Scoring Guide/Rubric

Sample evidence to show what student performance might look like:

Check All That Apply
X
X
X

Check All That Apply
X
X

Materials (if needed to complete the assessment)

Estimated time for administration

Student Directions & Assessment Task/Prompt – what does the student see/use?

Other:

X
X
X

A high quality assessment should be...Aligned		
Alignment with Standards	Rating Column	Strengths & Suggestions
<p>1a.To what extent do you see a strong content match between the set of items reviewed or the task and the corresponding Colorado Academic Standard/s? Select one option below.</p> <p>Full match – task or most items address or exceed the relevant skills and knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s.</p> <p>Partial match – task or most items partially address the skills and knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s.</p> <p>No match – task or most items are not related to the skills and knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s.</p>		
<p>Please provide evidence from both the standards and assessment to support your response: Investigate the meaning of the horse and bull and interpret the meaning of the works of art, aligns with Standard: Comprehend, GLE 1, GLE 2; Standard Reflect, GLE 1 and GLE 3; Standard Create, GLE 1, GLE 2, and GLE 3; Standard: Transfer, GLE 2 and GLE 3.</p> <p>Write their own interpretation of the image of the horse and bull and create an image, aligns with Standard: Comprehend, GLE 1, GLE 2; Standard: Reflect, GLE 1 and GLE 3; Standard: Create, GLE 1, GLE 2, and GLE 3; Standard: Transfer, GLE 2 and GLE 3.</p>		
	Full=3; Partial =2; No Match= 1	
	2	
Depth of Knowledge as Measured by this Assessment	Rating Column	
<p>1b. Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the grade level expectations? Select one option below.</p> <p>More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations.</p> <p>Partial rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.</p> <p>Less rigor – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.</p>		
<p>Please provide evidence from both the grade level expectations and assessment to support your response: Investigate (DOK 1) the meaning of the horse and bull and interpret the meaning of the works of art Write (DOK 3) their own interpretation of the image of the horse and bull and create (DOK 3) an image</p>		
	Similar Rigor=2; More Rigor=1; Less Rigor= 1	
Depth of Knowledge (Rigor) Score	2	

2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed?

No. examples of written responses and creative work.

Yes=3, Somewhat=2,
No=1

Student Work Samples Score

1

A high quality assessment should be...FAIR and UNBIASED

FAIR and UNBIASED <i>(the areas below should be discussed relative to the needs of ELLs, gifted and talented students, and students with disabilities)</i>	Rating Column	Strengths/Suggestions
3a. To what extent are most of the items or the tasks designed and formatted to be visually clear and uncluttered (e.g., use of white space, graphics, and illustrations)?		
Provide an explanation of your response: The administrator’s version of the assessment is visually clear, with sufficient white spaces between each paragraph, section, and unit. However, formatting is inconsistent and the layout could be improved by delineating each section more clearly. A student version will need to be created.		
All=3, Some=2, None=1		
"Clear & Uncluttered" Score		2
3b. To what extent are most of the items or the task presented in as straightforward a way as possible for a range of learners?		
Provide an explanation of your response: Vocabulary is simple and direction is clear. For example, students are asked "The comparisons given below are described, the ideas are clearly linked, high degree of insight into an understanding of Cubism is clear and the".		
All=3, Some=2, None=1		
"Straight Forward" Score		3
3c. To what degree is the vocabulary and context(s) presented by most of the items or task free from cultural or other unintended bias? Provide an explanation of your response: The items and tasks are presented in very clear, straightforward language (at least for Native English speakers). There are no “trick” or ambiguous questions.		
All=3, Some=2, None=1		
Free of 'Cultural or Unintended Bias' Score		2
3d. Does the assessment require students to possess a high level of academic language* comprehension to demonstrate understanding? Provide an explanation of your response: Yes. The academic vocabulary contained is grade level appropriate and content specific. Examples from this assessment include, but are not limited to: style, pictogram, symbols, graphic elements, balance, center of interest, allegory, and written components, etc.		
No=3, Somewhat=2, Yes=1		
"Academic Language" Score		1
<p>*Please reference “Defining Features of Academic Language in WIDA’s Standards” http://wida.us/searchResults.aspx?cx=0001878867407992537742:bjkids4qwc&cof=FORID:10&q=Defining%20Features%20of%20Academic%20Language</p>		

3e. If applicable, what type of accommodations should be considered to ensure that students with special needs can fully access the content represented by the task or set of items reviewed? Presentation accommodations: Students with visual impairment will NOT be able to complete assessment as administered. They will need to respond tactilely and verbally. ESL and SPED students will likely need more visual and verbal modes of access as well. Response Accommodations: SSN students, depending on degree of impairment, might need assistive devices. See above for ESL, SPED and Visually Impaired students. Setting Accommodations: This might be required due to the highly visual and sensory-stimulating nature of the visual art classroom. Moving students with sensory issues to a stimulus-neutral environment might help them to maintain focus on a text-rich section of the assessment without experiencing sensory overload. Timing and scheduling accommodations: Time may not be appropriate for some students to be able to think critically, write coherent responses, and create effective artwork. Linguistic accommodations: Because this assessment is text-rich, it is highly recommended the linguistic load be reduced for ELL students.

Accommodations are commonly categorized in five ways: presentation, response, setting, and timing and scheduling:

- **Presentation Accommodations** —Allow students to access information in ways that do not require them to visually read standard print. These alternate modes of access are auditory, multi-sensory, tactile, and visual.
- **Response Accommodations** —Allow students to complete activities, assignments, and assessments in different ways or to solve or organize problems using some type of assistive device or organizer.
- **Setting Accommodations** —Change the location in which a test or assignment is given or the conditions of the assessment setting.
- **Timing and Scheduling Accommodations** —Increase the allowable length of time to complete an assessment or assignment and perhaps change the way the time is organized.
- **Linguistic Accommodations** — Allow English language learners (ELLs) to access academic construct measured by reducing the linguistic load of an assessment. The accommodation is based on an ELL's limited English language proficiency, which is different than an accommodation based on a student's disability or a cognitive need.

3f: Identify and write down the accommodations permitted for this assessment: Only one minor accommodation: teacher reads most of the questions/directions aloud.

Yes, Several allowed=3;
 Yes, Some allowed=2;
 None allowed =1

"Adequate Accommodations Allowed" Score

2

A high quality assessment should ...increase OPPORTUNITIES TO LEARN		
The areas below should also be discussed relative to the needs of ELLs, gifted and talented students, and students with disabilities	Check all that apply:	Strengths/Suggestions
<p>4a. Does this assessment engage a student in thinking that connects to a real world, new context, situation, problem or challenge? Provide an explanation of your response: Somewhat. Students are asked to use degrees of higher-order thinking skills (interpretation, create, analyze, compare and contrast) when formulating responses to short answer questions and creating artwork.</p>	<p>Yes=3; Somewhat=2; No=1</p>	
"Engages Students" Score		2
<p>4b. To what extent do you think the knowledge and skills tested by the assessment can provide good information about what students have learned in the classroom? Provide an explanation of your response: Somewhat. This assessment is an indicator of learning about how art can communicate ideas and information to an audience and to a group. It ranges from identifying personal symbols within an artwork from a historical context that are relevant. The assessment allows students to communicate their knowledge in multiple modes (creating graphic visual images, writing) and to demonstrate thinking at various levels of Bloom's Taxonomy (identifying information about artwork and creating art).</p>	<p>Yes=3; Somewhat=2; No=1</p>	
Classroom Learning Score		2
<p>4c. To what degree do the results from this assessment (scores and student work analysis) foster meaningful dialogue about learning expectations and outcomes with students and parents? Provide an explanation of your response: This assessment aligns to some of the visual art high school CAS. An art teacher could easily use the standard in its template form as a way to illustrate visual art learning expectations. Teacher can discuss growth with parents from the rubric, growth can be shown, instructional next steps can be identified, etc.</p>	<p>Yes=3; Somewhat=2; No=1</p>	
Learning Expectations/Outcomes Score		2
<p>4d. To what extent do you believe the assessment can clearly communicate expectations for academic excellence (e.g., creativity, transference to other content areas or 21st Century skills) to students?</p> <p>Yes. The assessment asks students to analyze and evaluate works of art and demands a high level of academic language and mastery in the content area.</p>	<p>Yes=3; Somewhat=2; No=1</p>	
Communicates Academic Excellence Score		3

Summary	Earned	Possible
Standards Rating	2	3
Rigor Rating	2	3
Subtotal	4	6
Standards Alignment Percentage		66.7%
Scoring Guide Present	2	3
Rubric Aligned w/standards	2	3
Rubric/Scoring Coherent	2	3
Rubric/Scoring Alignment	2	3
Inter-rater reliability	1	3
Student work present	1	3
Subtotal	10	18
Scoring Percentage		55.6%
Clear & Uncluttered Presentation	2	3
Straight Forward Presentation	3	3
Free of Cultural or Unintended Bias	2	3
Academic Language Load	1	3
Adequate Accommodations Allowed	2	3
Subtotal	10	15
Fair & Unbiased Percentage		66.7%
Engagement	2	3
Reflects Classroom Learning	2	3
Reflects Learning Expectations/Outcomes	2	3
Communicates Academic Excellence	3	3
Competency on Standards Score	2	3
Locate evidence Score	2	3
Subtotal	13	18
Opportunities to Learn Percentage		72.2%
Grand Total	37	57
Overall Percentage		64.9%

This assessment is: Place an 'X' in the appropriate box

Fully Recommended	
Partially Recommended	X
Not Recommended	