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## Together We Can

## Vision

All students in Colorado will become educated and productive citizens capable of succeeding in society, the workforce, and life.

## Mission

The mission of the Colorado Department of Education is to ensure that all students are prepared for success in society, work, and life by providing excellent leadership, service, and support to schools, districts, and communities across the state.

The mission of the Office of Culturally and Linguistically Diverse Education is to support all English learners, linguistically, socially and academically, by providing educational leadership for teachers, families, students, and Colorado communities.


## English Learners (ELs) United States

## Percentage of ELs, Grades PK-12 2011-2012

## Percentage of Total School Population, Grades Pre-K through 12, Represented by ELs, by State, Including DC: SY 2011-12



In 2011-12, there were 4,472,563 ELs in the United States, comprising 9 percent of all students nationwide (grades pre-K through 12).

# Percentage Change in EL Population 2004-05 to 2011-12 

## Percentage Change in EL Population, by State, Including DC: SYs 2004-05 to 2011-12



SOURCE: EDFacts/Consolidated State Performance Reports, 2004-05 to 2011-12. Retrieved from

## Number of Charter Schools 2011-2012

## Number of Charter Schools, by State, Including DC: <br> SY 2011-12



In 2011-12, districts reported a total of 5,287 charter schools nationwide.

## Number of ELs in Charter Schools 2011-2012

## Number of ELs in Charter Schools, by State, Including DC: <br> SY 2011-12



SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education Office for Civil Rights, Civil Rights Data Collection. Released March 2014.

## States With the Largest Numbers of EL Charter School Students 2011-12

|  | Number of <br> Charter <br> Schools | Number of <br> Charter School <br> Students | Number of ELs <br> in Charter <br> Schools | Percentage of Charter <br> School Students who <br> Were ELs |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| California | 899 | 392,149 | 72,655 | $18.5 \%$ |
| Texas | 522 | 173,143 | 31,215 | $18.0 \%$ |
| Florida | 514 | 181,051 | 14,616 | $8.1 \%$ |
| Michigan | 285 | 115,118 | 7,959 | $6.9 \%$ |
| Arizona | 465 | 139,544 | 7,836 | $5.6 \%$ |
| Colorado | 170 | 81,921 | 7,092 | $8.7 \%$ |
| Georgia | 138 | 90,606 | 6,182 | $6.8 \%$ |
| Minnesota | 157 | 59,880 | 6,134 | $10.2 \%$ |
| Illinois | 50 | 49,337 | 3,955 | $8.0 \%$ |
| New York | 172 | 62,505 | 3,278 | $5.2 \%$ |

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education Office for Civil Rights, Civil Rights Data Collection. Released March 2014.

# English Learners (ELs) Colorado 

## Total Number of English Learners (ELs) in Grades K-12 in Colorado*

|  | NEP/LEP <br> (Non-English <br> Proficient/Limited <br> English Proficient) | FEP M1 <br> (Fluent English <br> Proficient Monitor <br> Year 1) | FEP M2 <br> (Fluent English <br> Proficient Monitor <br> Year 2) | Total ELs <br> (NEP, LEP, FEP <br> M1/M2) | FEP Exited <br> (Exited Program) | FELL <br> (Former EL) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2008-2009$ | 82,432 | 10,127 | 6,707 | 99,266 | 23,555 | -- |
| $2009-2010$ | 88,526 | 6,777 | 8,685 | 103,988 | 24,129 | -- |
| $2010-2011$ | 92,359 | 8,655 | 5,839 | 106,853 | 26,531 | -- |
| $2011-2012$ | 96,079 | 9,349 | 7,649 | 113,077 | 25,797 | -- |
| $2012-2013$ | 98,254 | 9,373 | 8,563 | 116,190 | 27,326 | 989 |
| $2013-2014$ | 102,294 | 9,855 | 8,244 | 120,393 | 29,454 | 910 |
| $2014-2015$ | 101,439 | 13,500 | 7,734 | 122,673 | 30,357 | 1,235 |

*Numbers do not include parent refusals. If included, the total number for 2014-2015 would be 126,120.

[^0]
## English Proficiency Levels for ELs (Grades K-12) 2014-2015

Percentages based on Subtotal of NEP, LEP,


## K-12 EL Growth Rate in Colorado 2008-09 through 2014-15

- Colorado total K-12 enrollment growth rate over the last seven years $(2008-2014)=8.2 \%$
- Colorado EL total K-12 enrollment growth rate over the last seven years (2008-2014) $=23.6 \%$



## EL Population Growth by Grade 2012-13 to 2014-15



Updated by Office of Data, Program Evaluation, and Reporting (June 2016); Data Source: 2012-2013 through 2014-2015 Student October (NEP, LEP, FEP Monitor Year 1 and 2 only, excluding parent refusals; excludes students with missing or duplicate SASIDs; excludes students with discrepant ESL and bilingual codes)

## Number of ELs by Grade and Years in the State

 (October 2012 - October 2014)

Updated by Office of Data, Program Evaluation, and Reporting (June 2016); Data Source: 2012-2013 through 2014-2015 Student October (NEP, LEP, FEP Monitor Year 1 and 2 only, excluding parent refusals; excludes students with missing or duplicate SASIDs; excludes students with discrepant ESL and bilingual codes)

## Location and <br> Context

## ELs (K-12) in Charter Schools 2014-2015

## Percentage of Students that are ELs within Charter and Non-Charter Schools



Statewide, $15.3 \%$ of students in charter schools are English Learners, compared to $14.2 \%$ of students in non-charter schools located here: http://www.cde.state.co.us/cdechart/2014charterpupilenrollment)

## ESL v. Bilingual

$\left.$| Percentage of K-12 ELs in ESL/Bilingual Programs that are NEP, LEP, or FEP |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | Total ELs in |
| :---: |
| ESL/Bilingual |
| Programs | \right\rvert\,

Statewide, $91.9 \%$ of English learners are in ESL programs, compared to 8.1\% in bilingual programs


Updated by Office of Data, Program Evaluation, and Reporting (June 2016); Data Source: 2014-2015 Student October (NEP, LEP, FEP Monitor Year 1 and 2 only, excluding parent refusals; excludes students with missing or duplicate SASIDs; excludes students with discrepant ESL and bilingual codes; District regions available here: http://www.cde.state.co.us/cdeedserv/rgmapage)

## K-12 EL Geographic Distribution by Region 2014-2015



Metro region composed of 19 school districts/ BOCES


Updated by Office of Data, Program Evaluation, and Reporting (June 2016); Data Source: 2014-2015
20 Student October (NEP, LEP, FEP Monitor Year 1 and 2 only, excluding parent refusals; excludes students with missing or duplicate SASIDs; excludes students with discrepant ESL and bilingual codes; District regions available here: http://www.cde.state.co.us/cdereval)

## Rural v. Non-Rural



Statewide, $13 \%$ of English learners are in rural districts, whereas the remaining $87 \%$ are in non-rural districts

Updated by Office of Data, Program Evaluation, and Reporting (June 2016); Data Sources: 2014-2015 Student October (NEP, LEP, FEP Monitor Year 1 and 2 only, excluding parent refusals; excludes students with missing or duplicate SASIDs;
21 excludes students with discrepant ESL and bilingual codes); Rural definition spreadsheet
(http://www.cde.state.co.us/ruraledcouncil/rural definition spreadsheet) revised April 20, 2015 based on October 2014
 counts

## EL Mobility 2012-13, 2013-14, and 2014-15



22 Student October (NEP, LEP, FEP Monitor Year 1 and 2 only, excluding parent refusals; excludes students with missing or duplicate SASIDs; excludes students with discrepant ESL and bilingual codes; only includes students with Student October data for all 3 years)

## Top 10 Districts by Number of ELs (Grades K-12)

## Based on 2014-2015 Student October

| District Code | District Name | Total K-12 Pupil Membership | English Language Learners Including FEP M1 and M2 | Percent EL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0880 | DENVER COUNTY 1 | 83,899 | 27,437 | 32.7\% |
| 0180 | ADAMS-ARAPAHOE 28J | 39,979 | 16,135 | 40.4\% |
| 1420 | JEFFERSON COUNTY R-1 | 83,606 | 6,578 | 7.9\% |
| 0020 | ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR SCHOOLS | 37,646 | 6,506 | 17.3\% |
| 0130 | CHERRY CREEK 5 | 52,810 | 5,744 | 10.9\% |
| 3120 | GREELEY 6 | 21,202 | 4,838 | 22.8\% |
| 0470 | ST VRAIN VALLEY RE 1 J | 29,681 | 4,420 | 14.9\% |
| 0070 | WESTMINSTER 50 | 9,619 | 4,042 | 42.0\% |
| 8001 | CHARTER SCHOOL INSTITUTE | 13,815 | 3,260 | 23.6\% |
| 0900 | DOUGLAS COUNTY RE 1 | 64,948 | 3,138 | 4.8\% |

## Top 10 Districts by \% of ELs (Grades K-12)

Based on 2014-2015 Student October

| District Code | District Name | Total K-12 Pupil Membership | English Language Learners <br> Including FEP M1 and M2 | Percent EL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0030 | ADAMS COUNTY 14 | 6,970 | 3,097 | 44.4\% |
| 0123 | SHERIDAN 2 | 1,375 | 599 | 43.6\% |
| 0070 | WESTMINSTER 50 | 9,619 | 4,042 | 42.0\% |
| 2810 | CENTER 26 JT | 614 | 4248 | 40.4\% |
| 0180 | ADAMS-ARAPAHOE 28J | 39,979 | 16,135 | 40.4\% |
| 1510 | LAKE COUNTY R-1 | 980 | 393 | 40.1\% |
| 3200 | YUMA 1 | 777 | 299 | 38.5\% |
| 0910 | EAGLE COUNTY RE 50 | 6,462 | 2,318 | 35.9\% |
| 3140 | WELD COUNTY S/D RE-8 | 2,168 | 756 | 34.9\% |
| 0880 | DENVER COUNTY 1 | 83,899 | 27,437 | 32.7\% |

## Characteristics

## ELs (Grades K-12) by Gender 2014-2015




## ELs <br> (Grades K-12) by Ethnicity 2014-2015

## Top 20 Home Languages Spoken by Colorado ELs (Grades K-12)

| Rank | Colorado (2014-15) |  |  | Nation (2013-14) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Language | Number of ELs | Percent of ELs | Language | Number of ELs |
| 1 | Spanish | 102,571 | 88.00\% | Spanish | 3,770,816 |
| 2 | Vietnamese | 2,091 | 1.79\% | Arabic | 100,461 |
| 3 | Arabic | 1,877 | 1.61\% | Chinese | 99,943 |
| 4 | Russian | 1,181 | 1.01\% | Vietnamese | 80,283 |
| 5 | Chinese, Mandarin | 1,137 | 0.98\% | Haitian/Haitian Creole | 35,467 |
| 6 | Somali | 993 | 0.85\% | Somali | 25,278 |
| 7 | Amharic | 921 | 0.79\% | Tagalog | 24,370 |
| 8 | Nepali | 882 | 0.76\% | Hmong | 23,473 |
| 9 | Korean | 687 | 0.59\% | Portuguese | 10,102 |
| 10 | French | 616 | 0.53\% | Bengali | 9,371 |
| 11 | Hmong | 529 | 0.45\% | Russian | 9,111 |
| 12 | Karen, Pa'o | 450 | 0.39\% | Navajo | 8,914 |
| 13 | Burmese | 422 | 0.36\% | Urdu | 8,438 |
| 14 | German, Standard | 365 | 0.31\% | Yupik languages | 6,629 |
| 15 | Tagalog | 346 | 0.30\% | Polish | 5,347 |
| 16 | Tigrigna | 346 | 0.30\% | Karen languages | 5,195 |
| 17 | Chinese, Yue | 340 | 0.29\% | Korean | 5,011 |
| 18 | Swahili | 286 | 0.25\% | Marshallese | 4,327 |
| 19 | Hindi | 264 | 0.23\% | Creoles and pidgins, Portuguese-based (Other) | 3,893 |
| 20 | Japanese | 252 | 0.22\% | Nepali | 3,633 |

Updated by Office of Data, Program Evaluation, and Reporting (June 2016); Data Source: 2014-2015 Student October (NEP, LEP, FEP Monitor Year 1 and 2 only, excluding parent refusals; excludes students with missing or duplicate SASIDs; excludes students with discrepant ESL and bilingual codes); Data Source [Nation]: U.S. Department of Education, Consolidated State Performance Reports, SY 2013-14, retrieved from
http://www.ncela.us/files/fast facts/OELA Fast Facts All Languages Update 508115 15.pdf. Note: Figures reflect the combined number of reported speakers of a language in states where that language was one of the state's five most common EL languages. This list includes only those languages for which specified data were listed. "Undetermined" and "not applicable" languages were not included in the list above.

## K-12 ELs Eligible for Free or Reduced Meals 2014-2015



## Percent ELs (Grades K-12) with Disabilities 2014-2015



## ELs Who Are Also in Other Programs

Percent of ELs That Are Also...


# Performance of Colorado ELs 

 on State Assessments
## Language Performance

## ACCESS for ELLs

- ACCESS (Assessing Comprehension and Communication in English State-to-State) annually assesses the four language domains of listening, speaking, reading, and writing
- First year of administration (2012-2013)
- EL Students Included in the ACCESS Analyses
- Used 2015 ACCESS raw data, excluding students with missing or incomplete (less than 10 digits) SASIDs.
- Students with more than one record were excluded if tested multiple times (have a proficiency score assigned to more than one record).
- Includes NEP and LEP students only
- Excludes parent refusals



## 2015 Colorado ACCESS Results by Grade



Note: In many districts, students reaching level 5 composite and level 5 literacy (reading and writing) are redesignated. Therefore, it is unlikely that these students will test on ACCESS again the following year.

35 Updated by Office of Data, Program Evaluation, and Reporting (June 2016); Data Source: 2014-2015 ACCESS for ELs (NEP and LEP only, excluding parent refusals; excludes students with missing SASIDs and students tested multiple times)


## Academic Performance

# Colorado Measures of Academic Success (CMAS): 

PARCC (Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers)

- First administered in spring 2015.
- Colorado schools transitioned to new assessments for English language arts and mathematics that align with the Colorado Academic Standards.
- EL students included in the CMAS PARCC analyses:
- Mean scale scores are based on students with valid scores (excludes students who did not test)
- Students with more than one record were excluded if tested multiple times (have a scale score assigned to more than one record).
- EL includes NEP, LEP, FEP Monitor Years 1 and 2
- Excludes parent refusal
- Exited students presented separately


# Cautions \& Limitations: Participation Rates 

## - Achievement Percentile Rank Report Guidance (Feb. 2016):

- With increased numbers of parents excusing students from assessments administered during the 2014-15 school year, local planning teams need to determine whether student participation in assessments should affect how they use district or school-level aggregate achievement results. Specifically, teams should consider the degree to which aggregate metrics such as percentile ranks or mean scale scores (derived from individual student scores) still provide a complete and accurate picture of the performance of the "group" for which the score was calculated (e.g., the school, a grade level). This is why participation rates are included in the Achievement Percentile Rank Report.

Planning teams should report their participation rates when describing achievement if a disaggregated group of students was disproportionally over (e.g., English learners) or under-represented (e.g., non-FRL) in the calculation of the metric, or if the number of students included in the metric was substantially below the federally required $95 \%$ participation rate. If participation rates were much lower for some grade levels than for others, planning teams may consider using the grade level data separately (rather than school- or district-level data).

## English Language Arts

## 2015 English Language Arts: Mean Scale Scores Elementary

|  | State | EL | NEP | LEP | FEP M1/M2 | FEP Exited / <br> FELL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Participation | $94.8 \%$ | $97.9 \%$ | $93.7 \%$ | $98.2 \%$ | $98.9 \%$ | $98.0 \%$ |
| Mean Scale Score | 740.1 | 719.6 | 688.7 | 714.5 | 746.1 | 760.1 |
| Percentile Rank | 52 | 11 | 1 | 5 | 65 | 90 |



| Level 1 | Level 2 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Did not yet <br> meet <br> expectations | Partially met <br> expectations |
| $\mathbf{6 5 0 - 6 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 0 - 7 2 4}$ |


| Level 3 | Level 4/5 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Approached <br> expectations | Met or <br> Exceeded <br> expectations |
| $\mathbf{7 2 5 - 7 4 9}$ | 750 + |

Added by Office of Data, Program Evaluation, and Reporting (June 2016); Data Source: 2014-2015 State English Language Arts Assessment (excludes students with missing SASIDs, students tested multiple times, and students who did not test); EL includes NEP, LEP, FEP Monitor Year 1 and 2 only, excluding parent refusals.

## 2015 English Language Arts: Mean Scale Scores Middle

|  | State | EL | NEP | LEP | FEP M1/M2 | FEP Exited / <br> FELL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Participation | $88.5 \%$ | $96.3 \%$ | $92.7 \%$ | $96.5 \%$ | $97.2 \%$ | $95.7 \%$ |
| Mean Scale Score | 739.3 | 712.8 | 681.9 | 707.4 | 738.2 | 751.0 |
| Percentile Rank | 50 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 48 | 77 |



## 2015 English Language Arts: Mean Scale Scores High

|  | State | EL | NEP | LEP | FEP M1/M2 | FEP Exited / <br> FELL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Participation | $66.5 \%$ | $83.5 \%$ | $83.6 \%$ | $83.1 \%$ | $84.5 \%$ | $80.9 \%$ |
| Mean Scale Score | 736.3 | 704.2 | 677.2 | 701.1 | 728.9 | 741.1 |
| Percentile Rank | 42 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 24 | 56 |



## Math

## 2015 Math: Mean Scale Scores Elementary

|  | State | EL | NEP | LEP | FEP M1/M2 | FEP Exited / <br> FELL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Participation | $94.8 \%$ | $98.0 \%$ | $93.9 \%$ | $98.3 \%$ | $98.8 \%$ | $98.0 \%$ |
| Mean Scale Score | 735.0 | 719.7 | 697.9 | 716.5 | 738.5 | 752.0 |
| Percentile Rank | 53 | 17 | 1 | 10 | 62 | 88 |



## 2015 Math : Mean Scale Scores Middle

|  | State | EL | NEP | LEP | FEP M1/M2 | FEP Exited / <br> FELL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Participation | $88.3 \%$ | $96.3 \%$ | $92.6 \%$ | $96.6 \%$ | $97.1 \%$ | $95.5 \%$ |
| Mean Scale Score | 732.8 | 712.8 | 694.3 | 708.2 | 731.7 | 742.2 |
| Percentile Rank | 54 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 51 | 77 |



## 2015 Math : Mean Scale Scores High

|  | State | EL | NEP | LEP | FEP M1/M2 | FEP Exited / <br> FELL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Participation | $65.4 \%$ | $82.0 \%$ | $79.7 \%$ | $82.0 \%$ | $83.4 \%$ | $79.5 \%$ |
| Mean Scale Score | 728.3 | 709.9 | 698.5 | 707.5 | 723.9 | 729.8 |
| Percentile Rank | 52 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 34 | 56 |



## Performance of ELs Across Select Demographics

## 2015 Performance of ELs in Charter vs. Non-Charter Schools



| CMAS Participation Rates |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Charter | Non- <br> Charter | Charter | Non- <br> Charter |
| State | 87\% | 85\% | 87\% | 85\% |
| EL | 96\% | 95\% | 96\% | 95\% |
| NEP | 92\% | 91\% | 90\% | 91\% |
| LEP | 96\% | 95\% | 96\% | 95\% |
| FEP M1/M2 | 97\% | 96\% | 97\% | 96\% |
| FEP Exited | 95\% | 89\% | 95\% | 88\% |




Added by Office of Data, Program Evaluation, and Reporting (June 2016); Data Sources: 2014-2015 State English Language Arts and Math Assessments and 2014-15 ACCESS for ELs (excludes students with missing SASIDs, students tested multiple times, and 48 students who did not test); EL includes NEP, LEP, FEP Monitor Year 1 and 2 only (NEP and LEP only for ACCESS), excluding parent refusals; 2014-15 Charter School designations based on enrollment file located here:
 http://www.cde.state.co.us/cdechart/2014charterpupilenrollment)

## 2015 Performance of ELs in ESL vs. Bilingual Programs



| CMAS Participation Rates |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ELA |  | Math |  |
| EL | $95 \%$ | $97 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $97 \%$ |
| NEP | $91 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $96 \%$ |
| LEP | $95 \%$ | $98 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $97 \%$ |
| FEP M1/M2 | $96 \%$ | $97 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $96 \%$ |
| FEP Exited | $90 \%$ | $84 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $80 \%$ |




Added by Office of Data, Program Evaluation, and Reporting (June 2016); Data Sources: 2014-2015 State English Language Arts and Math Assessments and 2014-15 ACCESS for ELs (excludes students with missing SASIDs, students tested multiple times, and students who did not test); EL includes NEP, LEP, FEP Monitor Year 1 and 2 only (NEP and LEP only for ACCESS), excluding parent refusals.

## 2015 Performance of ELs by District Setting

## ACCESS



CMAS: ELA


| CMAS Participation Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ELA |  |  | Math |  |  |
|  | Denver <br> metro | Urban- <br> suburban | Other | Denver <br> metro | Urban- <br> suburban | Other |
| State | $86 \%$ | $84 \%$ | $86 \%$ | $86 \%$ | $84 \%$ | $86 \%$ |
| EL | $95 \%$ | $94 \%$ | $94 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $94 \%$ | $94 \%$ |
| NEP | $91 \%$ | $92 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $91 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $90 \%$ |
| LEP | $96 \%$ | $94 \%$ | $94 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $94 \%$ | $94 \%$ |
| FEP M1/M2 | $97 \%$ | $93 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $97 \%$ | $93 \%$ | $96 \%$ |
| FEP Exited | $91 \%$ | $87 \%$ | $88 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $86 \%$ | $87 \%$ |



Added by Office of Data, Program Evaluation, and Reporting (June 2016); Data Sources: 2014-2015 State English Language Arts and Math Assessments and 2014-15 ACCESS for ELs (excludes students with missing SASIDs, students tested multiple 50 times, and students who did not test); EL includes NEP, LEP, FEP Monitor Year 1 and 2 only (NEP and LEP only for ACCESS), excluding parent refusals; District setting pulled from Colorado Education Statistics webpage (http://www.cde.state.co.us/cdereval).

## 2015 Performance of ELs in Rural vs. Non-Rural Districts



| CMAS Participation Rates |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ELA |  | Math |  |
|  | Non- <br> Rural | Rural | Non- <br> Rural | Rural |
| State | 86\% | 86\% | 85\% | 86\% |
| EL | 95\% | 94\% | 95\% | 94\% |
| NEP | 92\% | 90\% | 91\% | 90\% |
| LEP | 95\% | 94\% | 95\% | 94\% |
| FEP M1/M2 | 96\% | 96\% | 96\% | 96\% |
| FEP Exited | 90\% | 88\% | 89\% | 87\% |




Added by Office of Data, Program Evaluation, and Reporting (June 2016); Data Sources: 2014-2015 State English Language Arts and Math Assessments and 2014-15 ACCESS for ELs (excludes students with missing SASIDs, students tested multiple times, and
51 students who did not test); EL includes NEP, LEP, FEP Monitor Year 1 and 2 only (NEP and LEP only for ACCESS), excluding parent
 refusals; Rural definitions based on rural definition spreadsheet revised April 20, 2015 based on October 2014 counts (http://www.cde.state.co.us/ruraledcouncil/rural definition spreadsheet)

## 2015 Performance of ELs by FRM Eligibility



| CMAS Participation Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FRL <br> eligible |  |  |  |  |  | Not FRL <br> eligible | FRL <br> eligible | Not FRL <br> eligible |
|  | State | $90 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $90 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $82 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EL | $96 \%$ | $93 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $92 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| NEP | $92 \%$ | $86 \%$ | $92 \%$ | $83 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| LEP | $96 \%$ | $93 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $92 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| FEP M1/M2 | $97 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $94 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| FEP Exited | $91 \%$ | $87 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $87 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |




Added by Office of Data, Program Evaluation, and Reporting (June 2016); Data Sources: 2014-2015 State English Language 52 Arts and Math Assessments and 2014-15 ACCESS for ELs (excludes students with missing SASIDs, students tested multiple times, and students who did not test); EL includes NEP, LEP, FEP Monitor Year 1 and 2 only (NEP and LEP only for ACCESS),
 excluding parent refusals.

## 2015 Performance of ELs by IEP Status



## PWR

## 2015 Colorado ACT - 11th Grade



## Colorado Graduation Rates 2010-2015

## 4-Year On-Time Graduation Rates

|  | Class of 2010 |  | Class of 2011 |  | Class of 2012 |  | Class of 2013 |  | Class of 2014 |  | Class of 2015 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# of Graduates | Graduation Rate | \# of Graduates | Graduation Rate | \# of Graduates | Graduation Rate | \# of Graduates | Graduation Rate | \# of Graduates | Graduation Rate | \# of Graduates | Graduation Rate |
| State | 45,144 | 72\% | 45,846 | 74\% | 45,879 | 75\% | 46,756 | 77\% | 47,486 | 77\% | 47,784 | 77\% |
| English Learners (NEP/LEP) | 2,595 | 49\% | 2,839 | 53\% | 3,289 | 53\% | 3,754 | 59\% | 3,908 | 59\% | 4,266 | 61\% |



Updated by Office of Data, Program Evaluation, and Reporting (June 2016); Data Source: Graduation Statistics, available here: http://www.cde.state.co.us/cdereval/gradcurrent

Performance of Colorado ELs on National Assessment of Education Progress (NAEP)

## Colorado ELs: Longitudinal Performance on NAEP Reading



## Nationwide Comparison of ELs on NAEP Reading

2015 Reading Scores: ELs vs. Non-ELs


## Colorado ELs: Longitudinal Performance on NAEP Math



## Nationwide Comparison of ELs on NAEP Math

2015 Math Scores: ELs vs. Non-ELs


61 Updated by Office of Data, Program Evaluation, and Reporting (June 2016); Data Source: 2005 through 2015 NAEP

## For Questions Regarding the Data Presented Contact:

- Nazanin Mohajeri-Nelson
- Director of the Office of Data, Program Evaluation and Reporting
- Mohajeri-nelson n@cde.state.co.us
- (303) 866-6205
- Tina Negley
- Research Analysis Coordinator in the Office of Data, Program Evaluation and Reporting
- Negley t@cde.state.co.us
- (303) 866-5243


## For Questions Regarding EL Programs and Services Contact:

- Morgan Cox
- Director of the Office of Culturally and Linguistically Diverse Education
- Cox m@cde.state.co.us
- (303) 866-6784
- Rebekah Ottenbreit
- Ottenbreit R@cde.state.co.us
- (303) 866-6285
- Lulu Buck
- Buck L@cde.state.co.us
- (303) 866-6198
- Linnea Hulshof
- Hulshof L@cde.state.co.us
- (303) 866-6842
- Georgina Owen
- Owen G@cde.state.co.us
- Doris Nguyen
- Brock-Nguyen D@cde.state.co.us
- (303) 866-6777


[^0]:    Updated by Office of Data, Program Evaluation, and Reporting (June 2016); Data Source: 2008-2009 through 2014-2015
    11 Student October (NEP, LEP, FEP Monitor Year 1 and 2 only, excluding parent refusals; excludes students with missing or duplicate SASIDs; excludes students with discrepant ESL and bilingual codes)

