

2023-2024 READ Act Budget Submission

Logged in as: taylor_n@cde.state.co.us | Log Out (Logout)

(http://www.cde.state.co.us)

Maint Menu (/apps/readactbudget2324/MaintMain) | Users (/apps/readactbudget2324/MaintUsers)

Print Application

1080 - LEWIS-PALMER 38

READ Funding Allocations

Prior Year Funding Allocation and Carryover

READ Funding allocated from the 2022-2023 School Year

Please use your FY2022-2023 allocation amount to project your budget amounts for FY2023-2024.

Allocation: \$94,570

Prior Year Carryover:

If applicable, please enter the amount of READ funds from the 2022-2023 school year that your LEP plans to use in the 2023-2024 school year.

NOTE: An LEP may retain up to fifteen percent of the amount of per-pupil intervention money it received in a budget year in the next budget year.

\$ 6232.09 .00

Total Funds Available: \$100,802

Accept or Decline Funding

Accept or Decline 2023-2024 READ Funding

☑ We accept FY2023-2024 READ Act Funding

☐ We decline FY2023-2024 READ Act Funding *

LEP Budget Explanation

Instructions: Select the ways in which you plan to use READ Act funds in the upcoming budget year. For each question, if Yes is selected, a narrative box will populate which must be completed. In order to submit your budget, all assurances must be reviewed and agreed upon, all boxes must be checked and all narratives must be complete.

In using the per-pupil intervention money distributed pursuant to the READ Act, each LEP shall ensure that some type of intervention, as described in the allowable activities below, is available to each student who is identified as having a significant reading deficiency (SRD) and who is enrolled in kindergarten through third grade in a school operated by the LEP.

Note: At least one "YES" response is required on this page. Most Yes responses also require a narrative description.

ons and Assu	urances			
EAD Act funds will be used to operate a Summer School Literacy Program				
☐ Yes	☑ No			
Act expe	rovide a brief description to explain and justify this planned READ nditure and how it will improve student reading outcomes. The should address:			
b. det	ntified problem(s) based on READ Act-relevant data; ails of the planned allowable activity(ies) including name program(s); sected outcomes and/or goals			
Narrat	ive should not exceed 250 words.			
who ha	summer school literacy program services only students enrolled in K-3 ave an SRD or students below grade level if space is available			
based • •	summer school literacy program will use scientifically based or evidence instructional programming in reading that: Has been proven to accelerate student reading progress and; Provides explicit and systematic skill development in phonemic awareness, phonics, vocabulary development, reading fluency including oral skills, and reading comprehension and; Includes scientifically based and reliable assessments and; Provides initial and ongoing analysis of student progress in attaining reading competency			

☐ Yes	☑ No
Act expe	rovide a brief description to explain and justify this planned READ nditure and how it will improve student reading outcomes. The should address:
b. det	ntified problem(s) based on READ Act-relevant data; ails of the planned allowable activity(ies) including name program(s); bected outcomes and/or goals
Narrat	ive should not exceed 250 words.
is include	t funds will be used to purchase a supplemental instructional program that d on the Advisory List of instructional programming in reading Yes No
J	ies Divo
ents' found	s will be used to purchase tutoring services focused on increasing dational reading skills No rovide a brief description to explain and justify this planned READ
Yes If YES, p Act expe narrative a. ide b. det c. exp	dational reading skills No rovide a brief description to explain and justify this planned READ inditure and how it will improve student reading outcomes. The should address: Intified problem(s) based on READ Act-relevant data; It is allowable activity(ies) including name program(s); Interest outcomes and/or goals
Yes If YES, p Act expe narrative a. ide b. det c. exp	dational reading skills No rovide a brief description to explain and justify this planned READ nditure and how it will improve student reading outcomes. The should address: ntified problem(s) based on READ Act-relevant data; alls of the planned allowable activity(ies) including name program(s);
Yes If YES, p Act expe narrative a. ide b. def c. exp Narrati	dational reading skills No rovide a brief description to explain and justify this planned READ inditure and how it will improve student reading outcomes. The should address: Intified problem(s) based on READ Act-relevant data; It is allowable activity(ies) including name program(s); Interest outcomes and/or goals

If YES, provide a brief description to explain and justify this planned READ Act expenditure and how it will improve student reading outcomes. The narrative should address:

- a. identified problem(s) based on READ Act-relevant data;
- b. details of the planned allowable activity(ies) including name program(s);
- c. expected outcomes and/or goals

Ν	larrative should not exceed 250 words.

READ Act funds will be used to provide other targeted, evidence-based or scientifically based intervention services to students who are receiving instructional services, which services are approved by the department

If YES, provide a brief description to explain and justify this planned READ Act expenditure and how it will improve student reading outcomes. The narrative should address:

- a. identified problem(s) based on READ Act-relevant data;
- b. details of the planned allowable activity(ies) including name program(s);
- c. expected outcomes and/or goals

Narrative should not exceed 250 words.

All of Lewis-Palmer's elementary schools will be using READ funds for the salary and benefits of reading interventionists hired to work with students on READ plans and who have a documented SRD. In May 2023, LPSD reported 182 students with SRD status. Each of our schools provides tier 2 interventions to K-3 students with SRD status or who remain on a READ plan. Our interventionists have completed all READ required training, as well as OG training. They use intervention programs that are from the READ approved list; specific intervention programs used include Really Great Reading Blast, Countdown, Heggerty, SIPPS, Take Flight, and we will be adding Benchmark's Phonics Intervention as a Tier 3. The breakdown for each school is based on their percentage of READ students: Prairie Winds Elementary (.14),, Bear Creek Elementary (.24), Palmer Lake (.12), Lewis-Palmer Elementary (.14), Ray Kilmer Elementary (.15) and Monument Charter Academy (.21).

READ funds will be used to hire a reading interventionist to provide services which are approved by the department

Yes O No

on the Advisory	s will be used to provide technology, including software, which is included / List of instructional programming in reading and supporting hich may include providing professional development in the effective use gy or software
Yes	€ No
Act expe	rovide a brief description to explain and justify this planned READ nditure and how it will improve student reading outcomes. The should address:
b. det	ntified problem(s) based on READ Act-relevant data; ails of the planned allowable activity(ies) including name program(s); sected outcomes and/or goals
Narrati	ive should not exceed 250 words.

READ Act funds will be used to provide professional development programming to support educators in teaching reading. Professional development programming may include hiring a reading coach who is trained in teaching the foundational reading skills to provide job-embedded, ongoing professional development

If YES, provide a brief description to explain and justify this planned READ Act expenditure and how it will improve student reading outcomes. The narrative should address:

- a. identified problem(s) based on READ Act-relevant data;
- b. details of the planned allowable activity(ies) including name program(s);
- c. expected outcomes and/or goals

Narrative should not exceed 250 words.

For the past three years, Lewis-Palmer School District has provided Orton-Gillingham IMSE training to new K-3 classroom teachers, all ESS teachers, and reading interventionists. We are continuing this professional development, and would like to allocate our carryover funds of \$3017.55 towards the purchase of OG training. OG, when used by teachers who have complete Science of Reading training, has proven to be an effective intervention used in their classroom in small groups, used for students with disabilities by ESS teachers and by new reading interventionists. We would expect to see a reduction in the number of READ plans at each of our schools by continuing to provide quality training to our new staff.

☐ Yes	☑ No
	rict authorized charter schools in your district who will refuse READ Act 023-2024 school year?
☐ Yes	☑ No
	rict have a system that ensures district authorized charter schools do not Act Funds?
Yes	☑ No
	☑ No please provide a narrative explanation with the following details:

Note: At least one "YES" response is required on this page. Most Yes responses also require a narrative description.

Budget Details

Budget Request

Using the "Add Allowable Activities" button below, create a budget request.

For each allowable activity in the budget:

- Select each allowable activity your LEP plans to use READ Act funds for in the upcoming budget year.
 Ensure that each allowable activity is aligned with the allowable uses of READ funds as required in statute.
- Select each of the instructional program(s) from the drop-down list provided that your LEP plans to use in the upcoming budget year. If an instructional program is not listed, please provide the name of the instructional program(s) in the "other" box
- Input the amount requested, the associated program and object codes, and fiscal year. Input the salary position and FTE amount, if applicable.
- Ensure that budgeted costs are clearly tied to the allowable activity and are calculated with detail in the "description of activity" field.

ID Ref	Allowable Activity	Instructional Program	Program Code	Object Code	Salary Position	FTE	Description of Activity	Requested Amount
824	Other Services	Blast (Intervention Program)	Support Program (2100, 2200, 2600, 2700, 2800, 2900, and 3300)	0100 Salaries	222 Reading Interventionist	1.00	All of the LPSD elementary schools will be using their READ funds for the purchase of a reading interventionist: PWES (.14), BCES (.24), PLES (.12), LPES (.14), RKES (15), and Monument Charter Academy (.21).	\$75,879.53
825	Other Services	Blast (Intervention Program)	Support Program (2100, 2200, 2600, 2700, 2800, 2900, and 3300)	0200 Employee Benefits	222 Reading Interventionist	0.00	Benefits for reading interventionists: All of the LPSD elementary schools will be using their READ funds for the purchase of a reading interventionist: PWES (.14), BCES (.24), PLES (.12), LPES (.14), RKES (15), and Monument Charter Academy (.21).	\$21,847.94
1204	Other Services						Carryover	\$2,537.67
1209	PD Programming	SIPPS (Intervention Program)	Support Program (2100, 2200, 2600, 2700, 2800, 2900, and 3300)				SIPPS Pilot was started for the 24-25 school year.	\$537.33
		ı			Alloc	cation:	\$100,802.47	
					Budgeted Ar	nount:	\$100,802.47	
					Funds Rema	aining:	\$0.00	

Budget Summary

Budget Program Totals

Object Codes	Total
	\$2,537.67
Budget Program Total:	\$2,537.67

Support Program (2100, 2200, 2600, 2700, 2800, 2900, and 3300)

Object Codes	Total
	\$537.33
0100 Salaries	\$75,879.53
0200 Employee Benefits	\$21,847.94
Budget Progr	am Total: \$98,264.80

Allowable Activity Totals

Activity	Total
Other Services	\$100,265.14
PD Programming	\$537.33

READ Budget Totals

Allocation:	\$100,802.47
Budgeted Amount:	\$100,802.47
Funds Remaining:	\$0.00

Technical Assistance: Submit questions or requests for technical assistance to readactdata@cde.state.co.us (mailto:readactdata@cde.state.co.us)

View more information and resources on the READ Act website. (http://www.cde.state.co.us/coloradoliteracy/read-budget-submissions)