



### 2023-2024 READ Act Budget Submission

Logged in as: taylor\_n@cde.state.co.us | Log Out (Logout)

(http://www.cde.state.co.us)

Maint Menu (/apps/readactbudget2324/MaintMain) | Users (/apps/readactbudget2324/MaintUsers)

# **Print Application**

1000 - FOUNTAIN 8

# **READ** Funding Allocations

Plo	Funding allocated from the 2022-2023 School Year
110	ase use your FY2022-2023 allocation amount to project your budget amounts for FY2023-2024.
	Allocation: <b>\$382,580</b>
rior `	/ear Carryover:
	oplicable, please enter the amount of READ funds from the 2022-2023 school year that your LEP ns to use in the 2023-2024 school year.
NO	TE: An LEP may retain up to fifteen percent of the amount of per-pupil intervention money it eived in a budget year in the next budget year.
	\$ 38410.98 .OO
Fotal F	unds Available: <b>\$420,991</b>
Ассер	or Decline Funding
	t or Decline 2023-2024 READ Funding
Accep	5
_	Service We accept FY2023-2024 READ Act Funding

## LEP Budget Explanation

**Instructions:** Select the ways in which you plan to use READ Act funds in the upcoming budget year. For each question, if Yes is selected, a narrative box will populate which must be completed. In order to submit your budget, all assurances must be reviewed and agreed upon, all boxes must be checked and all narratives must be complete.

In using the per-pupil intervention money distributed pursuant to the READ Act, each LEP shall ensure that some type of intervention, as described in the allowable activities below, is available to each student who is identified as having a significant reading deficiency (SRD) and who is enrolled in kindergarten through third grade in a school operated by the LEP.

**Note:** At least one "YES" response is required on this page. Most Yes responses also require a narrative description.

READ Act funds will be used to operate a Summer School Literacy Program	

O Yes 🕑 No

If *YES*, provide a brief description to explain and justify this planned READ Act expenditure and how it will improve student reading outcomes. The narrative should address:

. . . .

- a. identified problem(s) based on READ Act-relevant data;
- b. details of the planned allowable activity(ies) including name program(s);
- c. expected outcomes and/or goals

Narrative should not exceed 250 words.

**O** The summer school literacy program services only students enrolled in K-3 who have an SRD or students below grade level if space is available

**O** The summer school literacy program will use scientifically based or evidence based instructional programming in reading that:

- · Has been proven to accelerate student reading progress and;
- Provides explicit and systematic skill development in phonemic awareness, phonics, vocabulary development, reading fluency including oral skills, and reading comprehension and;
- · Includes scientifically based and reliable assessments and;
- Provides initial and ongoing analysis of student progress in attaining reading competency

O Yes	C No
Act expe	rovide a brief description to explain and justify this planned READ Inditure and how it will improve student reading outcomes. The Inshould address:
b. de	entified problem(s) based on READ Act-relevant data; tails of the planned allowable activity(ies) including name program(s); pected outcomes and/or goals
Narra	tive should not exceed 250 words.
	et funds will be used to purchase a supplemental instructional program that and on the Advisory List of instructional programming in reading
Ο	Yes D No
Act expe narrative	rovide a brief description to explain and justify this planned READ anditure and how it will improve student reading outcomes. The a should address: antified problem(s) based on READ Act-relevant data;
b. det	tails of the planned allowable activity(ies) including name program(s); pected outcomes and/or goals
Narra	tive should not exceed 250 words.
skills o fluenc	e tutoring service is focused on increasing students' foundational reading of phonemic awareness, phonics, vocabulary development, reading y including oral skills, and reading comprehension for students who e instructional services pursuant to READ plans

O Yes 🖸 No

If *YES*, provide a brief description to explain and justify this planned READ Act expenditure and how it will improve student reading outcomes. The narrative should address:

a. identified problem(s) based on READ Act-relevant data;

b. details of the planned allowable activity(ies) including name program(s);

c. expected outcomes and/or goals

Narrative should not exceed 250 words.

READ Act funds will be used to provide other targeted, evidence-based or scientifically based intervention services to students who are receiving instructional services, which services are approved by the department



If *YES*, provide a brief description to explain and justify this planned READ Act expenditure and how it will improve student reading outcomes. The narrative should address:

- a. identified problem(s) based on READ Act-relevant data;
- b. details of the planned allowable activity(ies) including name program(s);
- c. expected outcomes and/or goals

Narrative should not exceed 250 words.

a. Approximately 37% of district first grade students and 12% of Kindergarten students performed in the intensive range on EOY benchmark. In second grade, the percentage of students scoring well below benchmark was 31%, and 27% of third graders scored in this range. This demonstrates the need for evidence-based reading intervention to support effective development of early literacy skills in Kindergarten through third grade. b. The district will utilize READ funds to employ a 0.5 FTE reading interventionist at 3 of the district's 8 elementary schools to provide READ Act approved, targeted reading intervention to students in K-3 with identified significant reading deficiencies. These schools include Eagleside Elementary, Jordahl Elementary, and Weikel Elementary. Each interventionist at these schools is trained to effectively utilize IMSE Orton Gillingham and Heggerty Phonemic Awareness to provide targeted intervention for students requiring instruction in phonics, phonemic awareness and fluency. c. This Tier 2 intervention, in combination with effective Tier 1 instruction utilizing the core resource of McGraw Hill Wonders through evidence-based instructional practices should decrease the percentage of K-3 students identified with a significant reading deficiency by at least 5% at each of these schools by May of 2024.

READ funds will be used to hire a reading interventionist to provide services which are approved by the department

🕑 Yes 🛛 🖸 No

READ Act funds will be used to provide technology, including software, which is included on the Advisory List of instructional programming in reading and supporting technologies which may include providing professional development in the effective use of the technology or software

O Yes 🗹 No

If *YES*, provide a brief description to explain and justify this planned READ Act expenditure and how it will improve student reading outcomes. The narrative should address:

- a. identified problem(s) based on READ Act-relevant data;
- b. details of the planned allowable activity(ies) including name program(s);
- c. expected outcomes and/or goals

Narrative should not exceed 250 words.

READ Act funds will be used to provide professional development programming to support educators in teaching reading. Professional development programming may include hiring a reading coach who is trained in teaching the foundational reading skills to provide job-embedded, ongoing professional development



If *YES*, provide a brief description to explain and justify this planned READ Act expenditure and how it will improve student reading outcomes. The narrative should address:

- a. identified problem(s) based on READ Act-relevant data;
- b. details of the planned allowable activity(ies) including name program(s);
- c. expected outcomes and/or goals

Narrative should not exceed 250 words.

a. Approximately 37% of district first grade students and 12% of Kindergarten students performed in the intensive range on EOY benchmark. In second grade, the percentage of students scoring well below benchmark was 31%, and 27% of third graders scored in this range. This demonstrates the need for evidence-based reading instruction and intervention to support effective development of early literacy skills in Kindergarten through third grade. b. The district will utilize READ funds to pay approximately 0.5 of the salary and benefits of the reading coaches at 5 elementary schools. These schools include Abrams Elementary, Aragon Elementary, Mesa Elementary, Patriot Elementary, and Mountainside Elementary. Coaches will provide ongoing support and training for K-3 teachers to further develop their knowledge and expertise in the implementation of scientifically and evidence-based instructional practices as educators utilize McGraw Hill's Wonders 2020, IMSE Orton Gillingham, and Heggerty Phonemic Awareness resources. Reading coaches will observe instruction, provide feedback, deliver training, support

Are there district authorized charter schools in your district who have refused READ Act funds in the 2022-2023 school year?         □ Yes       ② No         Are there district authorized charter schools in your district who will refuse READ Act funds in the 2023-2024 school year?         □ Yes       ③ No         Does the district have a system that ensures district authorized charter schools do not receive READ Act Funds?         □ Yes       ④ No         If YES, please provide a narrative explanation with the following details:         • The system in place to ensure district authorized charter schools do not receive READ Act funds.         • The names of each charter school declining READ Act Funds.         • The names of each charter school declining READ Act Funds.         • The names of each charter school declining READ Act Funds.         • The names of each charter school declining READ Act Funds.         • The names of each charter school declining READ Act Funds.	ev fur (IN tea rea sh of	fective planning, and model lessons to support implementation of idence-based reading instruction. The district will also utilize READ inds to support the purchase of Institute for Multi-Sensory Education <i>M</i> SE) Orton Gillingham training and materials for use with K-3 achers and interventionists to support scientifically-evidence based ading instruction. c. This professional development programming ould positively impact teacher efficacy and decrease the percentage K-3 students identified with a significant reading deficiency by at ast 5% at each of these schools by May of 2024.
funds in the 2023-2024 school year?         □ Yes       ☑ No         Does the district have a system that ensures district authorized charter schools do not receive READ Act Funds?         □ Yes       ☑ No         If YES, please provide a narrative explanation with the following details:         • The system in place to ensure district authorized charter schools do not receive READ Act funds.         • The names of each charter school declining READ Act Funds.         • The names of each charter school declining READ Act Funds.	funds in the 20	022-2023 school year?
Does the district have a system that ensures district authorized charter schools do not receive READ Act Funds?  □ Yes	funds in the 20	023-2024 school year?
<ul> <li>If YES, please provide a narrative explanation with the following details:</li> <li>The system in place to ensure district authorized charter schools do not receive READ Act funds.</li> <li>The names of each charter school declining READ Act Funds.</li> </ul>	receive READ	Act Funds?
<ul> <li>The system in place to ensure district authorized charter schools do not receive READ Act funds.</li> <li>The names of each charter school declining READ Act Funds.</li> </ul>	_	
	• Th	e system in place to ensure district authorized charter schools do not ceive READ Act funds.

## **Budget Details**

#### **Budget Request**

#### Using the "Add Allowable Activities" button below, create a budget request.

For each allowable activity in the budget:

- Select each allowable activity your LEP plans to use READ Act funds for in the upcoming budget year. Ensure that each allowable activity is aligned with the allowable uses of READ funds as required in statute.
- Select each of the instructional program(s) from the drop-down list provided that your LEP plans to use in the upcoming budget year. If an instructional program is not listed, please provide the name of the instructional program(s) in the "other" box
- Input the amount requested, the associated program and object codes, and fiscal year. Input the salary position and FTE amount, if applicable.
- Ensure that budgeted costs are clearly tied to the allowable activity and are calculated with detail in the "description of activity" field.

ID Ref	Allowable Activity	Instructional Program	Program Code	Object Code	Salary Position	FTE	Description of Activity	Requested Amount
501	PD Programming	Wonders (2020) (Core Program)	Support Program (2100, 2200, 2600, 2700, 2800, 2900, and 3300)	0100 Salaries	218 Instructional Coach	2.50	0.5 FTE instructional coach at 5 elementary schools	\$180,000.00
502	Other Services	Institute for Multi- Sensory Education, LLC (Intervention Program)	Instructional Program (0010-2000)	0100 Salaries	222 Reading Interventionist	1.50	0.5 FTE Reading Interventionist at 3 elementary schools	\$93,000.00
504	PD Programming	Wonders (2020) (Core Program)	Support Program (2100, 2200, 2600, 2700, 2800, 2900, and 3300)	0200 Employee Benefits	218 Instructional Coach	0.00	0.5 of the benefits for the reading coaches at 5 elementary schools	\$50,000.00
505	Other Services	Institute for Multi- Sensory Education, LLC (Intervention Program)	Instructional Program (0010-2000)	0200 Employee Benefits	222 Reading Interventionist	0.00	0.5 of the benefits for the reading interventionists at 3 elementary schools	\$34,000.00
506	PD Programming	Institute for Multi- Sensory Education, LLC (Supplemental Program)	Instructional Program (0010-2000)	0300 Purchased Professional & Technical Services			Orton Gillingham training and materials	\$17,956.45
1075	Other Services						2023-2024 Carry- Over	\$46,034.72

Allocation:	\$420,991.17	
Budgeted Amount:	\$420,991.17	
Funds Remaining:	\$-0.00	

# Budget Summary

Budget Program Totals		
Object Codes		Total
		\$46,034.72
	Budget Program Total:	\$46,034.72

### Instructional Program (0010-2000)

Object Codes	Total
0100 Salaries	\$93,000.00
0200 Employee Benefits	\$34,000.00
0300 Purchased Professional & Technical Services	\$17,956.45
Budget Program Total:	\$144,956.45

## Support Program (2100, 2200, 2600, 2700, 2800, 2900, and 3300)

Object Codes		Total
0100 Salaries		\$180,000.00
0200 Employee Benefits		\$50,000.00
	Budget Program Total:	\$230,000.00

Allowable Activity Totals	
Activity	Total
Other Services	\$173,034.72
PD Programming	\$247,956.45

**READ Budget Totals** 

	Allocation:	\$420,991.17
	Budgeted Amount:	\$420,991.17
	Funds Remaining:	\$-0.00
1		
Technical Assistance: Submit o	questions or requests for technical assistance to readacto (mailto:readactdata@cde.state.co.us)	lata@cde.state.co.us