DIBELS® Next: Summary of Benchmark Goals and Cut Points for Risk

| DIBELS | Compo | site Sco | re | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------|---------------------|----------------|---------------|---|---|-------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------|--|
| 26 | 122 | 119 | 113 | 130 | 155 | 141 | 190 | 238 | 220 | 285 | 330 | 290 | 330 | 391 | 357 | 372 | 415 | 344 | 358 | 380 | |
| 13 | 85 | 89 | 97 | 100 | 111 | 109 | 145 | 180 | 180 | 235 | 280 | 245 | 290 | 330 | 258 | 310 | 340 | 280 | 285 | 324 | |
| First So | und Flu | ency (FS | E) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | 30 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | 20 | | | | | | | | DIB | FLS Comr | oosite Scor | e· A combir | nation of m | ultiple DIBF | ELS scores | which pro | vides the h | est overall | estimate o | of | |
| Latton | lamina | Elwanaw | (LNE) | | | | | | DIBELS Composite Score: A combination of multiple DIBELS scores, which provides the best overall estimate of the student's reading proficiency. For information on how to calculate the composite score, see the <i>DIBELS Next</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Letter N | iaming i | Fluency (| LINF) | | | | | | Ber | nchmark G | oals and C | composite S | Score docu | ment availa | able from h | ttp://dibels. | org/. | | | | |
| No bend | hmark set | for LNF | | | | | | | | | | - | | , | | - | | benchmarl | - | | |
| | D | | | | (DOE) | | | | the odds in their favor (approximately 80%–90%) of achieving later important reading outcomes. These scores are identified as <i>At or Above Benchmark</i> and the students are likely to need <i>Core Support</i> . | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Phoner 20 | ne Segm 40 | entation 40 | Fluency | (PSF) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | |
| | 10 | 40 25 | 40 25 | | | | | | | CUT POINT FOR RISK (small number in each box): Students scoring below the cut point for risk are unlikely (approximately 10%–20%) to achieve subsequent goals without receiving additional, targeted instructional su | | | | | | | | , | ort. | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | These scores are identified as Well Below Benchmark and the students are likely to need Intensive Support. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Correct | Nonsense Word Fluency (NWF) | | | | | | | | Scores below the benchmark goal and at or above the cut point for risk are identified as Below Benchmark. In this | | | | | | | | | | his | | |
| Letter | 17 8 | 28 15 | 27 18 | 43 33 | 58 | 54 35 | | | | range, a student's future performance is harder to predict, and these students are likely to need <i>Strategic Support</i> . | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sounds | U | Whole | 1 | 8 | | 13 | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Words Read | 0 | 3 | 6 | 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | DIDELO | Ovel De | ading Fluency (DORI | | ODE) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | DIBELS | 47 | 52 | iency (L 72 | 87 | 70 | 86 | 100 | 90 | 103 | 115 | 111 | 120 | 130 | 107 | 109 | 120 | |
| | | | Words Correct | 16 | 32 | 37 | 55 | 65 | 55 | 68 | 80 | 70 | 79 | 95 | 96 | 101 | 105 | 90 | 92 | 95 | |
| | | | Accuracy | 78% | 90% | 90% | 96% | 97% | 95% | 96% | 97% | 96% | 97% | 98% | 98% | 98% | 99% | 97% | 97% | 98% | |
| | | | | 68% | 82% | 81% | 91% | 93% | 89% | 92% | 94% | 93% | 94% | 95% | 95% | 96% | 97% | 94% | 94% | 96% | |
| | | | | Retell | 15 | 16 | 21 | 27 | 20 | 26 | 30 | 27 | 30 | 33 | 33 | 36 | 36 | 27 | 29 | 32 | |
| | | | | | 0 | 8 Retell | 13 | 18 | 10 | 18 | 20 | 14 | 20 | 24 | 22 | 25 | 25 | 16 | 18 | 24 | |
| | | | | | | Quality of | 2 1 | 2 1 | 2 | 2 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | |
| | | | | | | Response | | | | ' | | | ' | | | | | | ' | | |
| | | | | | | | | | Daze | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 8 | 11 | 19 | 15 | 17 | 24 | 18 | 20 | 24 | 18 | 19 | 21 | |
| | | | | | | | | | 5 | 7 | 14 | 10 | 12 | 20 | 12 | 13 | 18 | 14 | 14 | 15 | |
| Beg | Mid | End | Beg | Mid | End | Beg | Mid | End | Beg | Mid | End | Beg | Mid | End | Beg | Mid | End | Beg | Mid | End | |
| Kindergarten | | | | ı irst Grac | | Second Grade | | | | Third Grade | | | Fourth Grade | | | Fifth Grade | | | Sixth Grade | | |
| This is a surrous are of the DIDELG | | | | not arac | | | | | | the DIRELO Next Revelope | | | | | | Titti Grade | | | | | |

This is a summary of the DIBELS Next benchmark goals. For a full description, see the *DIBELS Next Benchmark Goals and Composite Score* document available from http://dibels.org/.

DIBELS is a registered trademark of Dynamic Measurement Group, Inc. This page is adapted from a chart developed by Cache County School District.