

Exceptional Student Services Unit 1560 Broadway, Suite 1100 Denver, CO 80202-5149

Ms. Renae Ogden MOFFAT RE1, CRAIG Moffat County School District RE-1 600 Texas Avenue Craig, CO 81625-2517

April 15, 2022

The Colorado Department of Education (CDE) recognizes that educators, students, and families are facing unprecedented circumstances and challenges related to the COVID-19 pandemic. At this time, however, the U.S. Department of Education has not granted waivers related to the CDE's requirement to annually review the performance of the State's administrative units (AUs) to determine if each AU:

- 1) Meets the requirements and purposes of Part B of IDEA,
- 2) Needs assistance in implementing the requirements of Part B of IDEA,
- 3) Needs intervention in implementing the requirements of Part B of IDEA, or
- 4) Needs substantial intervention in implementing the requirements of Part B of IDEA.

34 C.F.R. § 300.603(b)(1)

Historically, performance is measured based on information provided by the AU, information obtained through monitoring visits, and other public information made available to the AU. Examining this information in its totality, the CDE determines the AU's level of compliance with IDEA and academic success of students with disabilities via the Compliance Matrix and Results Matrix, respectively.

The disruptions to the state assessments in both spring 2020 and spring 2021 caused by the COVID-19 pandemic significantly compromised the availability and reliability of data used to score the Results Matrix. Given the compromised scoring of the Results Matrix, the AU determinations for 2022 were made using only the Compliance Matrix. Although the Results Matrix is populated with the AU's actual performance, it was not included in the scoring of the AU determinations. The Results Matrix is therefore being provided to the AU as information only.

Consequently, in making the determination in spring 2022, the CDE considered data submitted by MOFFAT RE1, CRAIG throughout SY2020-21 in the following manner:

- A Compliance Matrix that includes scoring on the State Performance Plan (SPP) Compliance Indicators and other compliance factors
- 2. Compliance Score and Compliance Determination makes the AU's Final Determination



SPP-APR compliance indicators and other compliance elements examined in the current determination:

Indicator 4A: Whether the AU has significant discrepancy from the state in the rate of out-of-school suspensions/expulsions of students with disabilities that sum up to greater than 10 days in a school year.

Indicator 4B: Whether the AU has disproportionate representation by race/ethnicity in the rate of out-of-school suspensions/expulsions of students with disabilities that sum up to greater than 10 days in a school year, and the disproportionate representation is due to inappropriate policies, procedures, or practices.

Indicator 9: Whether the AU has disproportionate representation of students with disabilities by race/ethnicity due to inappropriate policies, procedures, or practices.

Indicator 10: Whether the AU has disproportionate representation of students with disabilities by race/ethnicity in a specific disability category due to inappropriate policies, procedures, or practices.

Indicator 11: Percent of children who were evaluated within 60 calendar days of receiving parental consent for initial evaluation.

Indicator 12: Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.

Indicator 13: Percent of youth ages 15 and above with an IEP that includes each of the required components for secondary transition.

Timely and Accurate Data Submission: The timeliness and accuracy of data submitted by the AUs under section 616 and 618 of the IDEA.

<u>SPP-APR results indicators and other results elements examined but NOT included in the scoring of the current determination:</u>

- **Indicator 1**: Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from high school with a regular diploma.
- **Indicator 2**: Percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high school.
- **Indicator 7:** Percent of preschool children who showed substantial growth and those who reached age expectations by the time they exited the program in positive socio-emotional skills, acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and use of appropriate behaviors.

Indicator 14: Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school, and

- 1. Whom AUs attempted to contact for the post-school outcome interview.
- 2. Participated in the post-school outcome interview.



3. Interview results indicated that the youth was enrolled in higher ed or some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.

<u>SPP-APR results indicators and other results elements NOT examined or included in the scoring of the current determination:</u>

Indicator 3: Statewide Assessments participation, regular assessment mean scale score, and alternate assessment proficiency rate.

In addition, the following results elements are also included in the typical year determinations but not the current year:

- 1. Median Growth Percentile in ELA and Math
- 2. Rise Up in ELA and Math
- 3. Keep Up in ELA and Math

Based on an evaluation using the criteria identified above, the CDE has determined that MOFFAT RE1, CRAIG meets the requirements and purposes of Part B of the IDEA for SY2020-21. Please access http://www.cde.state.co.us/cdesped/determperf for various resources related to the determinations.

CDE is committed to supporting MOFFAT RE1, CRAIG in its efforts to improve results for children with disabilities during these challenging times and looks forward to working with you over the next year.

If you have any questions regarding this determination or the process, please contact Candace Hawkins at Hawkins C@cde.state.co.us.

Sincerely,

Paul Foster, Ed.D

Executive Director; State Director of Special Education

Exceptional Student Services Unit

Posted to https://www.cde.state.co.us/cdesped/determinations on 4/29/2022



AU Compliance Matrix 2022



Administrative Unit: 41010 - Moffat Re 1, Craig

Indicator	Performance	Points Eligible	Points Earned				
4A: Significant discrepancy in the rate of suspension and expulsion from the state rate.	0.00	2	2				
2 = The rate of children with disabilities who received suspensions/expulsions for > 10 days in a school year was below 0.42 1 = Rate is above threshold for current year 0 = Rate is above threshold for the current and previous two school years							
4B: Significant discrepancy in the rate of suspensions and expulsions from the state rate by race and ethnicity.	No Significant Discrepancy	2	2				
2 = No racial category was found with significant discrepancy for Indicator 4B 1 = At least one racial category was found with significant discrepancy for current year 0 = At least one racial category was found with significant discrepancy for the current and the previous two school years, and 2) policies, procedures, and/or practices were found to contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.							
Indicator 9: Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services due to inappropriate identification.	NO disproportionate representation	2	2				
Indicator 10: Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories due to inappropriate identification.	NO disproportionate representation	2	2				
2 = No racial category was found with disproportionate representation due to inappropriate identification for Indicators 9 and 10. 1 = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the current year 0 = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the current and previous year							
Indicator 11: Timely initial evaluation	100%	2	2				
Indicator 12: IEP developed and implemented by third birthday.	100%	2	2				
Indicator 13: Secondary transition	100%	2	2				
2 = Indicators were at least 95% compliant 1 = Indicators were at least 75% and less than 95% compliant 0 = Indicators were less than 75% compliant in the current year <u>OR</u> less than 95% compliant for the current and previous year							
Timely and Accurate Data Submission	Yes	2	2				
Special Education December Count, Special Education End of Year Collection, Special E Indicator 13 file review 2 = All above submissions were both timely and accurate 1 = One or two of the above submissions were late and/or inaccurate 0 = Three or four of the above submissions were late and/or inaccurate	ducation Discipline Co	llection, and					
Total Points Available:	Total Points Available: 16						
Compliance Points Earned: 16							
Compliance Score: Compliance Determination:	100 out of 100 Meets						
90 to 100 = Meets Requirements 80 to 89 = Needs Assistance 0 to 79 = Needs Intervention							

Special Note for the 2022 Results Matrix

The RDA Results Matrix is NOT a part of the AU Determinations 2022. Because the state assessments were disrupted in both 2020 and 2021 due to COVID-19, the academic achievement portion and the academic growth portion are greyed out in the current 2022 Results Matrix. The limited available data are populated for information-only purposes.

AU Results Matrix 2022



Administrative Unit: 41010 - Moffat Re 1, Craig

	The state of the s								
	State Assessment Participation Detail								
	ELA					MATH			
	Participated					Participated			
	Excused					Excused			
	Parent Opt-out					Parent Opt-out			
	Unexcused	ASSESSITIETT Data NOT ITICTUDED 2022			Unexcused				
	OSEP Participation Rate				OSEP Participation Rate				
	CO IEP Participation Rate					CO IEP Participation Rate			
	State Assessment Parti	icination			AU's		Points		
	(Part of Indicator 3b)	Cipation	N	%	Percentile	Rubric	Eligible	Points Earned	
	ELA Participation								
	Math Participation								
>0	Regular Assessm	ent	М	Mean Scale Score	AU's Percentile	Dubria	Points Eligible	Points Farnad	
15%	(Part of Indicator 3c)	Comment IED	N	30016	reicentile	Rubric	Lugible	Points Earned	
int	ELA Mean Scale Score (reg)	Current IEP							
me	(108)	* IEP Exiter						_	
Academic Achievement		* Combined							
	Math Mean Scale Sore	Current IEP							
ic /	(reg)	* IEP Exiter							
lemi	* IEP Exiter and Combined provided for information only								
ca	Alternate Assessi	ment			AU's		Points		
A	(Part of Indicator 3c)		N	%	Percentile	Rubric	Eligible	Points Earned	
	ELA Prof Rate (Alt)								
	Math Prof Rate (Alt)								
	N								
	Note: Preschool Achiev	zement			AU's	5.1.1	Deinte		
	and Growth (Indica		M	0/ 6	Percentile	Rubric 0 .5 1.0 1.5	Points Eligible	Points Earned	
			N	% Succeeded			_		
	A. Positive social- emotional skills	Growth	13	n<16	n<16	73.9%·····82%·····91.5%	n<16	n<16	
		Achievement		n<16	n<16	59.6%·····67.5%·····82.8%	n<16	n<16	
	B. Acquisition & Use of	Growth	- 13	n<16	n<16	72.1%·····80.4%·····91.5%	n<16	n<16	
	Knowledge and Skills	Achievement		n<16	n<16	55.9%·····69.3%·····81.8%	n<16	n<16	
	C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs	Growth	13	n<16	n<16	66.7%·····76.2%·····86.6%	n<16	n<16	
		Achievement		n<16	n<16	61.8%·····71.4%·····86%	n<16	n<16	
	Note:	1							
Achievement Points Earned: Points Not Calculate						ted			
		/ 101				. 5///65 / 100			

^{**}Growth = Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by time of exit from the program; Achievement = functioning within age expectations by time of exit

	Median Growth Percentile	N	Median Growth %ile	AU's Percentile	Rubric	Points Eligible	Points Earned	
	ELA	Assessment	Data Not Includ	ded 2022				
20%	%02							
Growth	Math							
	Rise Up	N	% Rise Up	AU's Percentile	Rubric	Points Eligible	Points Earned	
Academic	ELA							
Aca	Math			44.0				
	Keep Up	N	% Keep Up	AU's Percentile	Rubric	Points Eligible	Points Earned	
	ELA	- ''	л неер ор	rerection	Rabile	Lugibic		
	Math						_	
		oic Grov	vth Points	Farnod	Points Not (alcula	tod	
	Graduation Rate	iic Grov	VLII FUIIILS		FUIILS NUL C	Calculated		
	Indicator 1	N	%Graduated	Highest Rate Percentile	Rubric	Points Eligible	Points Earned	
	4 Year Grad Rate	21	61.9%	rerectience	AU ≥ 92.3% = 21	205,500	Tomes Earned	
	5 Year Grad Rate	27	77.8%	- .	92.3% > AU ≥ 79.2% = 14			
	6 Year Grad Rate	27	85.2%	74	79.2% > AU ≥ 66.9% = 7	21	14	
%	7 Year Grad Rate	22	90.9%		AU < 66.9% = 0			
35%	Note: SY2020-21 and SY2019-20 data are co	ombined						
Jess	IEP Dropout Exiter Rate		%Dropout	AU's		Points		
Readiness	Indicator 2	N	Exiters	Percentile	Rubric	Eligible	Points Earned	
and Workforce Rea	Rate N = students age ≥ 14 who exited schools % = students who exited due to dropping out	17	17.6%	35	AU < 6.5% = 42 $6.5\% \le AU < 19\% = 28$ $19\% \le AU < 34.2\% = 14$ $AU \ge 34.2\% = 0$	42	28	
Vorl	Note: SY2020-21 and SY2019-20 data are co	ombined						
≥ bi	Post-School Outcomes					Points		
	Indicator 14	N	Ç	6	Rubric	Eligible	Points Earned	
secondary	Contacts Attempted N = Students in Sample % = Contact attempted	24	100.0%		AU = 100% = 6 AU < 100% = 0	6	6	
Postse	Students Participated N = # in adjusted sample % = of students who participated out of adjusted sample	24	33.3%		60% ≤ AU = 6 60% > AU = 0	6	0	
	Outcome (Enrolled in higher ed, or in some other postsecondary ed or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment)	n<16	n<16	Percentile n<16	$AU \ge 91.5\% = 30$ $91.5\% > AU \ge 75.3\% = 20$ $75.3\% > AU \ge 59.8\% = 10$ AU < 59.8% = 0	0	0	
	Note: SY2020-21 and SY2019-20 data are of				Doints Not	alcula	tod	
	PS and Workforce Points Earned: Points Not Calculated							
	Academic Achievement: Academic Growth:							

Results Determination: No Results Determination this year

170 to 300 = Meets Requirements 110 to 169 = Needs Assistance 0 to 109 = Needs Intervention

If the AU's final points eligible are less than 300, the original scores have been adjusted to meet the full scale of 45, 150, or 105 proportionally

PS and Workforce Readiness:

Final Results Score: