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Colorado public schools receive funding from a variety of sources. However, most revenues to Colorado's 178 school districts are provided through the Public School Finance Act of 1994 (as amended). In budget year 2018-19, this legislation provides for over $7.0 billion of funding to Colorado school districts via state taxes ($4.5 billion), local specific ownership (vehicle registration) taxes ($183.8 million), and local property taxes ($2.36 billion). Moneys provided via the Public School Finance Act of 1994 are available to each school district to fund the costs of providing public education.

PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE ACT OF 1994 (as amended)  
(C.R.S. Article 54 of Title 22)

The Public School Finance Act of Colorado is a formula used to determine state and local funding amounts for the state’s 178 school districts and the Charter School Institute. Total Program is a term used to describe the total amount of money each school district receives under the School Finance Act.

DETERMINING TOTAL PROGRAM (C.R.S. 22-54-104)

A) Counting Pupils

Funding is based on an annual October pupil count. Each school district counts pupils in membership as of the school day nearest October 1 (the official count day). Districts are given an opportunity to provide documentation that a student re-established membership by October 31st for a student who may be absent on the official count day, but was in attendance prior to October 1st.

Generally, pupils in grades 1 through 12 are counted either as full-time or part-time depending upon the number of scheduled hours of coursework. Kindergarten, preschool special education, and a limited number of at-risk preschool (see Colorado Preschool Program discussion below) pupils are counted as part-time.

For most school districts, funding is based on the number of pupils counted in the current school year. However, for a district with an enrollment fluctuating from year to year, funding is based on an average of up to four prior years' October pupil counts and the current year's October pupil count. As such, the impact of annual enrollment variances on funding is softened.

The funded pupil count is defined as the district’s “On-line Pupil Count” plus the district's Colorado Preschool Program Pupil Count plus the district’s Supplemental Kindergarten Enrollment (.08 of the Kindergarten headcount), plus the district’s ASCENT program pupil enrollment, plus the higher of current year enrollment or the average of 2, 3, 4, or 5 years enrollment. SB13-260 established the minimum funded pupil count for any school district at 50 pupils.

HB04-1362 established the State Charter School Institute. The Institute will have thirty-five charter schools in FY 2017-18. The institute charter school enrollment is added to the funded pupil count and on-line pupil enrollments of the “accounting district”. The accounting district is defined as the school district within whose geographic boundaries an institute charter school is physically located.

School district funded enrollments in budget year 2018-19 are projected to range from 50 full-time equivalent (FTE) pupils to 87,980.5 full-time equivalent (FTE) pupils.
The Colorado Preschool Program's main objective is to provide high quality early education support to children whose existing risk factors increase their chances of early school failure. Districts provide these services in partnership with families and other community resources serving families. In budget year 2018-19, funding is provided for the participation of up to 29,360 children who are eligible to be included in districts' funded pupil counts.

**B) Total Program** Funding to school districts is based on a per-pupil formula that calculates Total Program. For each pupil funded (see definition of “funded pupil count” above) in the October 1 pupil count, the formula provides a base per-pupil amount of money plus additional money to recognize district-by-district variances in: (a) cost of living, (b) personnel costs, and (c) size. The Total Program amount also includes additional funding for at-risk pupils. As these components vary among school districts, so does the amount of Total Program funding provided.

Starting in FY 2010-11, a new factor was introduced in the school finance formula due to the statewide budget balancing challenges facing Colorado. This new factor was originally called the “State Budget Stabilization Factor” but was renamed the “Budget Stabilization Factor” beginning in Fiscal Year 2011-12 pursuant to Senate Bill 11-230 (“SB 11-230”). Beginning in Fiscal Year 2017-18 pursuant to Senate Bill 17-296 (“SB 17-296”), it was renamed the “Budget Stabilization Factor”. It reduces the amount of funding districts would have received prior to this factors application in an equitable and fair manner. The Budget Stabilization Factor is detailed further below.

To calculate Total Program, use the following formula:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funded Pupil Count (October 1) Times</th>
<th>Total Per-Pupil Funding Plus</th>
<th>At-risk Funding Plus</th>
<th>On-line Funding Plus</th>
<th>Budget Stabilization Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Total Per-pupil Funding**

*Base Funding* -- the base amount of funding for each pupil is $6,768.77 in budget year 2018-19. Funding is added to this amount based on the specific factors as outlined below to arrive at a Total Per-pupil Funding amount for each district.

*Cost of Living Factor* -- the cost of living factor reflects the differences in the costs of housing, goods, and services among each of the 178 school districts in the state. Cost differences are reviewed every two years to allow for timely recognition of economic changes. This factor is index-based, with a range from 1.013 to 1.650 in budget year 2018-19.

The cost of living calculation changed in FY 2004-05, replacing inflation with the increase in household income level. A district’s cost of living factor is increased based on its cost of living increase above the household income increase, rather than its increase above inflation.

*Personnel Costs Factor* -- the personnel costs factor varies by school district based on enrollment. For all districts, employee salaries and benefits represent the largest single expense. As such, the formula directs funding based on these costs, using historical information and incorporating the above cost of living factor. This factor is projected to range from 79.92% to 90.50% in budget year 2018-19.

*Size Factor* -- like the above personnel costs factor, the size factor is determined using an enrollment-based calculation and is unique to each school district. This factor is included to recognize purchasing power differences among districts and to reflect the expression of funding on a per-pupil basis.

"Smaller" districts (fewer than 5,000 pupils) receive greater size factors and, thus, increased funding. Districts with greater than 5,000 pupils receive more moderate size factor adjustments.
A district with fewer than 500 pupils in which a charter school operates, receives an additional, compensating adjustment via an increased size factor designed to help mitigate the impacts of such an arrangement in a small district.

Size factors are projected to range from 1.0297 to 2.3958 in budget year 2018-19. Each size factor was reduced by .0045 in FY 2003-04.

**At-Risk Funding** -- Eligibility for participation in the federal free lunch program is used as a proxy of each school district's at-risk pupil population. Increased funding is provided to recognize that expenses among districts vary, as pupil populations vary, especially at-risk populations. For each at-risk pupil, a district receives funding equal to at least 12%, but no more than 30%, of its Total Per-pupil Funding (see prior discussion). As a district's percentage of at-risk population increases above the statewide average (roughly 41.5%), an increased amount of at-risk funding is provided. At-risk populations are projected to range between 3.63% and 96.76%, as a percentage of the total student population by school district in budget year 2017-18.

A district receives funding for the greater of: (1) each *actual* pupil eligible for the federal free lunch program; or (2) a *calculated* number of pupils based on the number of grades 1-8 pupils eligible for the federal free lunch program as a percent of the district's entire population. Beginning in FY 2005-06 the definition of at-risk students was expanded to include students whose CSAP scores are not included in calculating a school's performance grade because the student's dominant language is not English and who are also not eligible for free lunch.

House Bill 15-267 added an additional $5 million in funding to be distributed on a per pupil basis to districts, charter schools and the Charter School Institute for each funded at-risk pupil. The estimated per pupil amount for FY 2018-19 is $16.

**On-Line Funding** – Approximately 17,882 pupils enrolled in a certified Multi-district on-line program are funded at the on-line per pupil amount of $7,454.82 (after a downward adjustment of 8.66% commensurate with the Budget Stabilization Factor, discussed below). Pupils enrolled in a Single district on-line program are funded at the districts current per pupil funding amount as calculated below. A Single district program is defined as a district on-line program which enrolls no more than 10 students from another district.

**Budget Stabilization Factor** – Starting in FY 2010-11, an additional factor was included in the school finance formula. This factor acts as a reduction to other existing factors and shall not reduce any base per pupil funding districts receive through the school finance formula. In general, this factor is calculated by first determining the total program prior to application of the Budget Stabilization Factor. Total program for FY2018-19 is estimated to be $7,761,227,819. The difference between the total program amount prior to application of the Budget Stabilization Factor and the established floor amount of no less than $7,088,776,204 for total program is utilized to calculate a percentage reduction, that is then applied to each district's respective total program funding amount. This calculation is detailed below:

(A) = Statewide Total Program after application of the Budget Stabilization Factor
(B) = Calculated Total Program prior to application of the Budget Stabilization Factor
(C) = Budget Stabilization Factor reduction ((A / B) - 1 = C)

\[
\frac{(A) \$7,088,830,925}{(B) \$7,761,227,819} = 91.34\% \\
91.34\% - 1 = (C) \textless 8.66\%
\]

While this reduction is applied to 100% of the school districts, in FY 2018-19, there is one school district in the state whose state share comprises less than 8.66% of their aggregate total program funding due to higher assessed values and local property tax collections. For this district, the Budget Stabilization Factor reduces their entire available state share and then
requires the districts to reimburse the state categorical funding provided by the state equal to an amount not to exceed 8.66% of the districts total program. This district would have otherwise been subject to the categorical buyout provision prior to changes made to the school finance formula. The formula change only modified the methodology used for categorical buyouts.

On-line and ASCENT funding amounts are reduced by a commensurate rate as determined by the Budget Stabilization Factor.

C) Minimum Total Program

For budget year 2018-19, each school district is guaranteed Total Program funding consisting of the sum of $8,463.56 per traditional pupil plus $8,162 per online pupil. These amounts are adjusted to $7,730.26 per traditional pupil plus $7,454.82 per online pupil after application of the Budget Stabilization Factor. In FY 2007-08 minimum per pupil funding for traditional pupils was increased to represent 94.3% of the state average per pupil funding less on-line funding. Beginning in FY 2008-09 and budget years thereafter, minimum per pupil funding for traditional pupils equals 95% of the state average per pupil funding less on-line funding. In budget year 2018-19, fourteen districts are projected to receive funding based on the Minimum Total Program provision.

D) Limitation on Increases in Total Program

Each school district's annual revenue and spending growth is limited by its percentage of growth in pupil enrollment plus the rate (percentage) of inflation, in accordance with the Taxpayer's Bill of Rights (TABOR) state constitutional amendment. This limit initially may restrict a district's ability to accept the full amount of funding as determined by the Total Program formula calculation.

In such a case, to subsequently receive the full formula amount of funding, a district must certify to the Colorado Department of Education that receiving the full amount of Total Program funding would not violate its TABOR limit. A district may need to seek voter authorization for an increase to its TABOR limit before being able to make such a certification.

E) Illustration of Total Program Calculation

In budget year 2018-19, Total Program funding for all 178 school districts is projected to range from $7,279.03 per pupil to $16,334.68 per pupil, with an average across all districts of $7,662 per pupil.
### Illustration Examples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Low PPR</th>
<th>High PPR</th>
<th>On-line PPR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Funded Pupil Count</td>
<td>7,806.0</td>
<td>63.1</td>
<td>65,216.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base Funding (BF)</td>
<td>$6,768.77</td>
<td>$6,768.77</td>
<td>$6,768.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of Living (CL)</td>
<td>1.187</td>
<td>1.194</td>
<td>1.244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personnel Costs (PL)</td>
<td>88.50%</td>
<td>80.01%</td>
<td>90.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size (SZ)</td>
<td>1.0297</td>
<td>2.3465</td>
<td>1.0297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Per-Pupil Funding [SZ*[(BF<em>CL</em>PL)+(BF*(1-PL))]</td>
<td>$8,123.27</td>
<td>$18,348.26</td>
<td>$8,508.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At-Risk Pupil Count</td>
<td>2,697.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>6,353.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Base&quot; At-Risk Funding</td>
<td>$974.79</td>
<td>$2,201.79</td>
<td>$1,021.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Population&quot; At-Risk Funding</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total At-Risk Funding (included in Total Per-Pupil Funding)</td>
<td>$2,629,015.08</td>
<td>$24,219.70</td>
<td>$6,486,825.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-line Pupil Count</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>2,192.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per-Pupil Funding</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$8,162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total On-Line Funding</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$17,891,104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Program Formula</td>
<td>$66,039,260</td>
<td>$1,181,995</td>
<td>$560,644,624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per-Pupil (Pre - Budget Stabilization Factor)</td>
<td>$8,460.06</td>
<td>$18,732.09</td>
<td>$8,596.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Program Formula Guarantee (Pre - Budget Stabilization Factor)</td>
<td>$66,066,549</td>
<td>$534,051</td>
<td>$551,962,914</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Per-Pupil Funding Guarantee (Pre - Budget Stabilization Factor)</td>
<td>$8,463.56</td>
<td>$8,463.56</td>
<td>$8,463.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Budget Stabilization Factor -8.66%</td>
<td>($5,724,166.18)</td>
<td>($102,410.88)</td>
<td>($48,575,610.88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Program Funding (Post - Budget Stabilization Factor)</td>
<td>$60,342,383</td>
<td>$1,079,584</td>
<td>$512,069,013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Program Per-Pupil Funding (Post-Budget Stabilization Factor)</td>
<td>$7,730.26</td>
<td>$17,109.09</td>
<td>$7,851.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* As of July 2018, projected student counts and enrollment mixes based on current state law, House Bill 16-1422 (Public School Finance Act), generate the need for a -8.66% Budget Stabilization Factor in FY 2018-19.

### F) Earmarked Revenue (C.R.S. 22-54-105)

Each school district individually has the discretion, within the limits of existing law, to determine how its Total Program moneys are spent, with one exception required by the state in budget year 2018-19.

1. Programs for At-Risk Pupils -- Each school district must allocate at least 75% of its at-risk funding to school or district-wide instructional programs for at-risk pupils or to staff development associated with teaching at-risk pupils in each district.

Prior to FY 2009-10 districts were also required to allocate funds to Instructional Supplies and Materials and Capital and/or Insurance Reserves. However, beginning in FY 2009-10 and continuing into FY 2018-19 districts are no longer required to allocate these funds and district contributions are strictly voluntary. Further, there are no minimum spending requirements for these funds.
There are no restrictions on transferring funds between accounts established and maintained by the district with the exception of any funds remaining in the Capital/Insurance Reserve at the end of FY 2008-09. These funds must be used for the purposes intended at the time they were allocated.

**DETERMINING LOCAL SHARE** (C.R.S. 22-54-106)

Two local sources of revenues are incorporated into the Public School Finance Act of 1994 (as amended): property taxes and specific ownership (vehicle registration) taxes. Funding for a school district's Total Program is provided first by local sources of revenues (the Local Share); if these local sources are insufficient to fully fund Total Program, state moneys fund any shortfall.

A) **Property Taxes**

Each school district is required to impose a property tax levy to finance its Local Share of Total Program. The ability to raise money from property taxes varies widely among districts. Differences in tax bases (assessed property values) result in differences in revenues collected, using a given mill levy. Nonetheless, no district's property tax revenues are transferred to any other district; instead, moneys raised remain in the district which imposes the tax.

The county assessor determines the valuation of all property located within a district's boundaries (e.g., residential, commercial, agricultural, oil, and gas). The state is responsible for determining the valuation of public utilities within district boundaries. Regardless of property type, assessed valuation is based on a percentage of the property's actual value. For example, in budget year 2018-19, residential property is expected to have an assessed valuation equal to 7.96% of its actual value.

One mill of tax is the same as one-tenth of one percent (.001). Therefore, on residential property with an actual value of $100,000 and, thus, an assessed valuation of $7,960, each mill of tax raises $7.96.

Beginning in FY 2007-08, legislation was passed to stabilize school district mill levies. The legislation caps mill levies at 27 mills and freezes mill levies for districts with mill levies of 27 mills or less. This legislation applies to the Total Program mill levy only. It does not affect override, bond, special building and technology, full-day kindergarten excess cost, or transportation mill levies. Additionally, this mill levy cap/freeze does not apply to districts that have not held a successful TABOR election.

The four school districts which have not held a successful TABOR election must levy the least/smallest mill resulting from the following three options: (1) the mill that it levied in the prior year; (2) the mill necessary to entirely pay for its Total Program and categorical programs, less any specific ownership tax revenues and minimum State Share funding received (see subsequent discussion); or (3) the maximum mill allowed by the TABOR constitutional amendment.

Statewide across all school districts, property taxes are projected to provide $2,707.77 per pupil, or about 33.28% of Total Program funding.

B) **Specific Ownership Taxes**

Vehicle registration taxes are collected by counties and are shared with school districts. Each district's Local Share includes an amount of specific ownership tax revenue equal to the prior budget year's actual amount received.

Statewide across all school districts, specific ownership taxes are projected to provide $210.99 per pupil, or about 2.6% of Total Program funding.

**DETERMINING STATE SHARE**
Funding from the state (State Share) is provided to each school district whose Local Share is insufficient to fully fund its Total Program. Payments of State Share moneys are made monthly to districts and are funded primarily from state income (personal and corporate) and sales and use tax revenues collected.

In budget year 2018-19, State Share financing to districts is projected to range from $0 per pupil to $13,273.41 per pupil (0% to 94% respectively, of total program). Starting in FY 2009-10 the guarantee for minimum state aid was eliminated through House Bill 09-1318 and districts are no longer guaranteed an amount from the state.

Statewide across all school districts, State Share is projected to provide $5,218.64 per pupil, or about 64.13% of Total Program funding.

**OTHER FUNDING**

*Override Revenues* (C.R.S. 22-54-108)

A school district may desire to spend more property tax revenues than authorized/required to fund its Total Program. In this event, a district must seek approval from its voters to raise and expend "override" property tax revenues via an additional mill levy. Override revenues also are permitted for a district whose budget year 1994-95 actual Total Program exceeded its budget year 1994-95 formula calculation (a "hold harmless" district).

Beginning in FY 2009-10, a district's override revenues cannot exceed 25% (30% for small rural districts) of its Total Program or $200,000, whichever is greater, plus an amount equal to the maximum dollar amount of property tax revenue that the district could have generated for FY 2001-02 in a Cost of Living Adjustment election. All override revenues come from increased property taxes; no additional state funding occurs. A district's voter approval to raise and expend "override" revenues does not affect the amount of State Share funding which the district is eligible to receive.

In Fiscal Year 2017-18 112 districts levied an override mill.

**Capital/Building Needs** –

Five distinct avenues through which a school district may meet its capital/building needs are discussed below.

1. *Bonded Indebtedness* (C.R.S. 22-42-102) -- A district may hold an election to authorize it to issue bonds to meet its capital needs. Principal and interest payments on bonds are paid from increased property tax revenues generated by a separate, additional mill that the district must be authorized to levy. Generally, a district may not have outstanding bond debt in excess of 20% (25% for rapidly growing districts as defined pursuant to C.R.S. 22-42-104) of its assessed property valuation or 6% of its actual property value, whichever is greater.

   School districts considering submitting a ballot question for bonded indebtedness to the electors of the district shall invite each charter school to participate in discussions regarding the possible submission of a ballot question. (See Charter School Section Below)

2. *Special Building and Technology Fund* (C.R.S. 22-45-103(1)(d)) -- A district may hold an election to authorize it to levy up to ten mills for not longer than three years. Moneys generated by this levy are available to fund the purchase of land, the construction, purchase, and maintenance of facilities, and the purchase and installation of building security, instructional, and informational technologies.

3. *Building Excellent Schools Today (B.E.S.T.)* (Article 43.7 of Title 22) -- Provides a new funding structure for school capital construction projects, allowing school districts to enter
into certificates of participation for lease-purchase agreements through the State Treasury for construction projects. B.E.S.T. maintains a grant program for school capital construction projects that do not meet the requirements of the lease-purchase program. The B.E.S.T. program brings all capital construction funding under one umbrella for administration and distribution of funds and is intended to replace the remaining obligations of the “Giardino Settlement”

4. **Loan Program for Capital Improvements in “Growth Districts”** (C.R.S. 22-2-125) -- A district which is identified as a “growth district” pursuant to C.R.S. 22-2-125, is eligible to apply for a loan from the State Treasurer. This debt must be voter approved and if a property tax mill levy is the method of repayment, such levy must also be approved at the same time. At the time of the loan application, the district must specify the method of repayment and the terms of repayment may not exceed 10 years. The district must also have voter approval for a repayment period of longer than one year.

If a property tax mill levy will be used to repay the loan, the mill must be no more than 5 mills or a number of mills determined by dividing the latest statewide average per pupil assessed valuation (PPAV) by the latest PPAV of the growth district, whichever is less. If the district's PPAV is greater than the statewide average PPAV, the growth district may impose an additional property tax levy of no more than 1 mill.

Currently no districts utilize this option.

5. **Supplemental Capital Construction, Technology and Maintenance Fund** (C.R.S. 22-54-108.7) Effective FY2016-17, a district may request voter approval to raise and expend additional local property tax revenue to provide ongoing cash funding for the capital construction, new technology, existing technology upgrade, and maintenance needs of the district.

School districts considering submitting a ballot question for the supplemental capital construction, technology and maintenance fund to the electors of the district shall invite each charter school to participate in discussions regarding the possible submission of a ballot question. (See Charter School Section Below)

**Contingency Reserve (C.R.S. 22-54-117)**

The Colorado State Board of Education is authorized to approve emergency supplemental payments to assist school districts. Such payments must meet applicable statutory guidelines, are made at the discretion of the Board and are subject to the availability of funds.

**Charter School Funding (C.R.S. 22-30.5-112)**

Charter schools are funded based on the October 1 pupil count as reported to their school district. Charter schools receive 100% of the per pupil revenue for each pupil enrolled in the charter school. The district may charge the charter school for central administrative overhead costs for services actually provided to the charter school.

For charter schools in districts with more than 500 pupils, these central administrative overhead costs may not exceed 5% of district per pupil revenue (see illustration above) for each pupil enrolled in the charter school including on-line pupils.

For charter schools in districts with 500 or fewer pupils, these central administrative overhead costs may not exceed 15% of district per pupil revenue for each pupil enrolled in the charter school including on-line pupils.

A charter school who is an eligible small attendance center will receive 100% of the funding provided to the district for a small attendance center. (C.R.S. 22-54-122(4))
Charter schools that serve students who may be eligible to receive services provided through federal aid programs shall comply with federal reporting requirements to receive the federal aid.

**Charter School At-Risk Funding**

The alternate at-risk funding calculation applies only to charter schools that are newly created in FY2004-05 or after; are in a district that has retained exclusive chartering authority; and the district has an at-risk percentage greater than 40%. The intent of the alternate at-risk funding calculation is to provide at-risk money based on the at-risk population served by the charter school. Beginning in FY2014-15, no charter school will be funded less than minimum per pupil funding.

To calculate the alternate at-risk funding, use the following formula:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accounting district’s at-risk funding</th>
<th>Divided by</th>
<th>Accounting district’s funded pupil count</th>
<th>Times</th>
<th>District charter school’s percentage of pupils eligible for free lunch</th>
<th>Divided by</th>
<th>Accounting district’s percentage of pupils eligible for free lunch</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Supplemental At-Risk Funding**

Beginning in FY2012-13, charter schools and districts may qualify for Supplemental At-Risk Funding. A qualifying school district (as defined above) and any of their charter schools which were chartered before July 1, 2004, may be eligible to receive the Supplemental At-Risk Funding. If the district and/or school meet the eligibility criteria, the alternate at-risk funding calculation is performed and compares the at-risk percentage between the district and charter. Supplemental aid is provided to the district or charter school with the greater at-risk percentage. Additionally, the supplemental aid is available for any charter schools in non-qualifying districts that have a greater percentage of at-risk than their chartering district. Charter School Institute schools which have a lower at-risk percentage than their accounting district also receive a partial amount of supplemental aid.

**Charter School Capital Facilities Funding**

Pursuant to 22-30.5-404, C.R.S., school districts considering submitting any question of contracting bonded indebtedness to the electors of the district shall invite each charter school to participate in discussions regarding the possible submission of a question, no later than June 1 of the applicable year. Each district is encouraged to voluntarily include funding for the capital needs of the charter schools in the district’s question. A charter school that has capital construction needs may seek funding by requesting the district Board of Education to:

1) Include the charter school’s capital construction needs in the district’s ballot question for approval of bonded indebtedness; or 2) submit a special mill levy ballot question to the voters of the district, upon request of the charter school.

The special mill levy for Charter Schools shall not exceed 1 mill in any year or exceed 10 years in duration for a single ballot question, but multiple ballot questions may be submitted. Costs of the election shall be borne by each charter school to receive revenue from the mill levy in proportion to the pro-rata share, unless other cost-sharing arrangements are agreed upon, or if the district decides to bear some or all of the costs. There are currently no charter schools utilizing this mill levy.

**Charter School Capital Construction Funding**
In FY2018-19 State Education Fund moneys to be distributed to charter schools for capital construction is $25 million. An additional $2 million of Marijuana Excise moneys will also be distributed. The funds will be distributed based on a per pupil share for all pupils enrolled in a “qualified charter school” that is not operating in a school district facility and half of the per pupil share for “qualified charter schools” operating in a school district facility and that has capital construction costs. No funding is provided to qualified charter schools operating in a school district facility that does not have capital construction costs. Funding is distributed monthly.

**Colorado School Finance - Categorical Program Funding**

**Introduction**

In addition to the Total Program funding provided by the Public School Finance Act of 1994 (as amended), Colorado school districts may receive state funding to pay for specific programs designed to serve particular groups of students or particular student needs. Such programs often are referred to as “categorical” programs.

While there are many different programs which are funded, this brochure addresses the six primary categorical program areas which regularly receive state funding: English language proficiency education, gifted and talented education, small attendance centers, special education, transportation, and vocational education.

In budget year 2018-19, the state of Colorado will make available approximately $475.3 million in support of these main categorical programs.

---

**Small Attendance Centers**

(Article 54 of Title 22, CRS)

In budget year 2018-19, it is estimated that roughly 890 pupils in Colorado will attend local schools each with less than a total of 200 pupils enrolled and each located 20 or more miles from any similar school (e.g., elementary) within the same school district.

Districts operating these “small attendance centers” face unique costs attributable to these remote locations. Accordingly, separate state funding is available to school districts facing these challenges. In budget year 2018-19, a total of $959,379 is projected to be available to eleven school districts operating a total of thirteen remote schools.

Allocation of these funds is determined via a formula which incorporates the respective district’s total pupil population and the relative burden added by the presence of small attendance center pupils. The total estimated impact of these schools is roughly $3.4 million. The available state funding will cover about 32% of this amount, while districts provide the remaining 68% from other available funds.
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**English Language Proficiency Act (ELPA)**

(Article 24 of Title 22, CRS)

Repealed and Reenacted with Amendments in May 2014

The English Language Proficiency Act (ELPA) recognizes that there are a substantial number of public school students in Colorado who are English learners (ELs). Currently more than 126,000 students, or about 14% of the total statewide enrollment, have a primary or home language other than English. These students enrich our public schools with more than 235 different languages.
Local education providers must provide evidence-based English language development programs to enable students to learn English while achieving and maintaining grade-level performance in academics.

To support local education providers in meeting the linguistic and academic needs of their English learners there is projected to be allocations more than $48 million for budget year 2018-19. The allocation includes the ELPA Program and the ELPA Support Program. For each eligible K-12 student, ELPA now provides funding for a maximum five years. Previous years of ELPA funding received for a student are included in calculating the maximum of five years of funding per student.

The ELPA Program allocations are to be used to provide additional funding to support the implementation of an evidenced-based English language development program for all eligible K-12 English learners. The goal of the program is to increase the English language development and academic performance of eligible EL students. The ELPA Support Program funds are provided to expand programs that assist English learners in achieving greater content proficiency, to provide effective professional development activities related to teaching English learners for all educators who may work with ELs, and to offset the cost of reporting the number of ELs who exit the program.

Budget year 2018-19 will also include a $500,000 ELPA Excellence Award grant program for eligible local education providers. Eligibility will be based on the highest language and academic growth of English learners and highest academic achievement of English learners who have exited the English language development program.

Morgan Cox
Director of the Office of Culturally and Linguistically Diverse Education
(303) 866-6784
cox_m@cde.state.co.us

Gifted and Talented Education
(Article 20 of Title 22, CRS) & (Article 26 of Title 22, CRS)

Colorado administrative units serve over 68,000 gifted and talented students in Colorado public schools, representing about 7% of the student population. The state Exceptional Children’s Educational Act (ECEA) defines gifted students as those "whose abilities, talents, and potential for accomplishments are so outstanding that they require special provisions to meet their educational needs...Gifted students are capable of high performance in any or a combination of these areas: general intellectual ability, specific academic aptitude, creative and productive thinking, leadership and human relations skills, and visual and performing arts."

The ECEA statute allows administrative units the opportunity to develop and implement Gifted Education Program Plans unique to the needs of the gifted population within the administrative unit. To that end, administrative units use a variety of methods to deliver a continuum of services for gifted student education. Programming includes differentiated instruction, affective guidance and counseling and a variety of multi-tiered curriculum and instructional options to meet the diverse areas of giftedness. Accountability for the achievement of gifted students is embedded in district and classroom assessment practices and reporting policies. Program accountability is a process of self-evaluation, state monitoring and accreditation requirements. Gifted student achievement data is disaggregated for instructional and informational purposes. All parents and students should have equal access to information and programming regarding Gifted Education in the local area.

In budget year 2018-19, state categorical funding for gifted and talented programs will be $12.5 million. Included in the allocation is a Universal Screening and Qualified Personnel grant program for which administrative units may apply to offset program costs of universal screening and the hire of a qualified person to administer the local gifted program. All administrative units
are required to identify and provide services for gifted students. The extent of gifted education programming is dependent upon local resources and State supplemental funds.

State funding may be used for salaries of licensed, endorsed teachers who work with gifted and talented students; staff development and training needed by personnel to address the educational needs of these students; and activities, materials, and equipment associated with the education of gifted and talented students.

Each administrative unit files a 4-year Program Plan for gifted education that outlines essential components: 1) definition of "gifted and talented" students; 2) procedures for recognition and identification; 3) programming options and instructional strategies; 4) evaluation and accountability; 5) personnel involved in gifted programs; and (6) a gifted education program budget. Budget proposals are submitted annually to CDE by administrative units for distribution purposes and targeted goals.

Each administrative unit determines the local contribution towards the AU’s program plan. It is estimated that in budget year 2018-19, administrative units will combine state funding with an additional $33 million from local and other resources to provide educational programs for their gifted students.

Jacquelin Medina
Director
Gifted Education Unit
Student Support Services
(303) 866-6652
medina_j@cde.state.co.us

(Article 20 of Title 22, CRS)

The State Exceptional Children's Educational Act (ECEA) outlines administrative unit (school districts and boards of cooperative educational services) responsibilities for providing special education programs for children with disabilities. The Act recognizes the need to provide educational opportunities to all children, and the benefits of providing a continuum of services in the least restrictive environment.

In budget year 2018-19, Colorado administrative units will serve approximately 95,000 students with disabilities, or about 10% of the total pupil enrollment. Administrative units will provide services to children between the ages of three and twenty-one who are unable to receive reasonable educational benefit from general education due to one or more of the following disabilities:

- Autism Spectrum Disorder
- Deaf-Blindness
- Hearing Impairment, including Deafness
- Intellectual Disabilities
- Multiple Disabilities
- Orthopedic Impairment
- Other Health Impaired
- Developmental Delay
- Preschooler with a Disability
- Serious Emotional Disability
- Specific Learning Disability
- Speech or Language Impairment
State ECEA funding of special education programs for children with disabilities is $168,886,701 for budget year 2018-19.

- Five hundred thousand dollars is available to administrative units specifically for costs incurred for children with disabilities that live in eligible facilities within their boundaries, and for whom (a) parental rights have been relinquished by the parents, (b) parental rights have been terminated by the court; (c) parents are incarcerated; (d) parents cannot be located; or (e) parents reside out of state, but the Department of Human Services has placed the children within the boundaries of the administrative unit, or (f) the children are legally emancipated.
- Four million dollars will be available for grants to administrative units for reimbursement of high costs incurred in providing special education services in the preceding school year. High costs are defined as the costs incurred by an administrative unit above a threshold amount.
- Administrative units will receive $2.5 million for screening and evaluation of children from birth through two years of age.

The remaining amount will be distributed as follows:

- Administrative units will receive $1,250 for each child reported by the administrative unit on December 1 of the previous year.
- Administrative units will receive up to an additional $6,000 for each child reported on its previous December 1 count with the following disabilities:
  - Autism Spectrum Disorder
  - Deaf-Blind
  - Hearing Impairment, including Deafness
  - Intellectual Disabilities
  - Multiple Disabilities
  - Serious Emotional Disability
  - Traumatic Brain Injury
  - Visual Impairment, including Blindness

This amount will be prorated based on the amount of the remaining appropriations.

State ECEA moneys can be used to pay for the salaries of special education instructional and support personnel, purchased services (including tuition payments to other administrative units and eligible facilities), supplies and equipment.

Angela M. Denning, M.Ed.
Executive Director of Exceptional Student Services Unit and State Special Education Director
(303) 866-4093

___

**Transportation**
*(Article 51 of Title 22, CRS)*

For fiscal year 2017-18, school districts reported providing transportation for 354,069 students from home to school, from school to home, and from school to school. Daily, about 41% of the total Colorado public school student enrollment uses district-provided transportation.

Some districts also opt to contract with private entities to provide student transportation services or may pay parents to transport their children to and from school. Only one Colorado school
district elects not to provide some level of transportation services for its students.

The Public School Transportation Fund provides state moneys annually to school districts to help defray student transportation expenses incurred. Moneys are provided to cover operating expenses such as driver salaries, fuel, and repairs. However, state funding generally is not available to cover capital costs such as school bus purchases. Payment to districts from the Public School Transportation Fund is provided on a reimbursement basis for the twelve-month period ending each June 30.

Each district is eligible to receive a $0.3787 (37.87 cents) per-mile-traveled reimbursement. Further, each district may receive funding equal to 33.87% of its total costs remaining after the per-mile-traveled reimbursement (the "excess costs" reimbursement).

In fiscal year 17-18 school districts reported $255 million in total transportation expenses and the state was able to reimburse $58 million. State reimbursement covers roughly 23% of district reimbursement claims.

To make up transportation shortfalls, school districts generally rely upon other, local sources of revenues already available to it. Districts may also opt to generate additional local revenues to support its transportation program via an additional mill levy to generate increased property tax revenues or via a separate transportation user fee. The additional mill levy requires school board support and voter approval. Beginning in FY 2005-06, a district may impose a transportation user fee without prior voter approval. A district is required to have a school board resolution to establish the user fee schedule. Prior to adopting a resolution, the district must hold a public meeting and provide notice of the meeting at least 30 days prior to the meeting date.

Adam Williams
Principal
Consultant (303) 866-6843
williams_a@cde.state.co.us

Vocational Education
(Article 8 of Title 23, CRS)

Roughly 81% of Colorado school districts provide career and technical educational (CTE) opportunities to their students. Programs are designed to provide students with occupational skills and related knowledge to meet identified needs of business and industry. While these offerings are provided through local school districts, administration of state funding for these programs as well as program approvals and monitoring, research, and professional development are provided through the State Board for Community Colleges and Occupational Education.

In budget year 2018-19, state funding in support of school district-offered career and technical education programs is projected to total approximately $25 million. Funding is provided on a per-pupil basis to districts to cover the cost of instructional personnel, supplies, equipment, and instructional services provided by cooperating agencies or institutions.

For State Board-approved programs, this funding is available to a school district if its CTE program costs exceed 70% of the per-pupil funding otherwise available to it via the Public School Finance Act of 1994. Specifically, the state will cover up to 80% of the first $1,250 of these "excess" costs, and 50% of any excess costs over $1,250.

In budget year 2017-18, district CTE program expenses were just over $97 million, of which $26.5 million was eligible for state reimbursement. Available state funding covered 95% of the $26.5 million, while districts provided the balance from other, local sources.

Jacqui Geiselman
Colorado CTA Manager
"Buyout" of Categorical Program Funding

In limited instances, an individual school district may not receive state funding for the categorical programs listed above. Instead, it may be required to use local property tax revenues to fund these programs.

Specifically, a district may be capable of funding its entire Total Program using only specific ownership taxes and property taxes. In this case, such a district must fund the above categorical programs where possible by setting an additional levy to generate property tax revenue in an amount equal to the amount of categorical support funds. The district thus "buys out" state funding of these programs using the additional property tax revenues generated by the increased mill levy. The total of the two levies cannot exceed the lesser of the district’s levy for the immediately preceding year; the districts allowable levy under the property tax revenue limitation imposed by TABOR, if the district has not obtained voter approval to retain and spend revenues in excess of such property tax revenue limitation; or twenty-seven mills.

In budget year 2018-19, current projections indicate that one district will be required to buy out a portion of their state categorical program funding.

Tim Kahle
School Finance Analyst
(303) 866-6818
kahle_t@cde.state.co.us
The Colorado Department of Education does not discriminate on the basis of disability, race, color, religion, sex, national origin, or age, in access to, employment in, or provision of any of CDE’s programs, benefits, or activities.

The designated individuals at the Colorado Department of Education for inquiries regarding this policy are:

**District, School, Parent and Student complaints and inquiries:**
Pat Chapman  
Colorado Department of Education  
1560 Broadway, Suite 1450  
Denver, CO 80202  
Phone: 303-866-6905  
Email: chapman_p@cde.state.co.us

**CDE employee complaints and inquiries:**
Susan Jiron-Garcia  
Director of Human Resources  
Colorado Department of Education  
201 East Colfax Avenue  
Denver, CO 80203  
Phone: 303-866-6815  
Email: jiron-garcia_s@cde.state.co.us