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## Culturally and Linguistically Diverse Learners in Colorado

## State of the State 2016

## Together We Can

## Vision

All students in Colorado will become educated and productive citizens capable of succeeding in society, the workforce, and life.

## Mission

The mission of the Colorado Department of Education is to ensure that all students are prepared for success in society, work, and
life by providing excellent leadership, service, and support to schools, districts, and communities across the state.

The mission of the Office of Culturally and Linguistically Diverse Education is to support all English learners, linguistically, socially and academically, by providing educational leadership for teachers, families, students, and Colorado communities.


# English Learners (ELs) 

## United States

## Percentage of ELs, Grades PK-12 2011-2012

## Percentage of Total School Population, Grades Pre-K through 12, Represented by ELs, by State, Including DC: SY 2011-12



In 2011-12, there were 4,472,563 ELs in the United States, comprising 9 percent of all students nationwide (grades pre-K through 12).

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Common Core of Data, Local Education Agency (School District) Universe Survey, 2011-12 v.1a; State Nonfiscal Public Elementary/Secondary Education Survey, 2011-12 v.1a. Available through the Office of

## Percentage Change in EL Population 2004-05 to 2011-12

Percentage Change in EL Population, by State, Including DC: SYs 2004-05 to 2011-12


SOURCE: EDFacts/Consolidated State Performance Reports, 2004-05 to 2011-12. Retrieved from consolidated reportsAvailable through the Office of English Language Acquisition (OELA) Fast Facts: Profiles of English Learners (ELs) fast facts

## Number of Charter Schools 2011-2012

Number of Charter Schools, by State, Including DC: SY 2011-12


In 2011-12, districts reported a total of 5,287 charter schools nationwide.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education Office for Civil Rights, Civil Rights Data Collection. Released March 2014. Retrieved from Office of Civil RightsAvailable through the Office of English

## Number of ELs in Charter Schools 2011-2012

## Number of ELs in Charter Schools, by State, Including DC: SY 2011-12



## States With the Largest Numbers of EL Charter School Students 2011-12

|  | Number of <br> Charter <br> Schools | Number of <br> Charter School <br> Students | Number of ELs <br> in Charter <br> Schools | Percentage of Charter <br> School Students who <br> Were ELs |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| California | 899 | 392,149 | 72,655 | $18.5 \%$ |
| Texas | 522 | 173,143 | 31,215 | $18.0 \%$ |
| Florida | 514 | 181,051 | 14,616 | $8.1 \%$ |
| Michigan | 285 | 115,118 | 7,959 | $6.9 \%$ |
| Arizona | 465 | 139,544 | 7,836 | $5.6 \%$ |
| Colorado | 170 | 81,921 | 7,092 | $8.7 \%$ |
| Georgia | 138 | 90,606 | 6,182 | $6.8 \%$ |
| Minnesota | 157 | 59,880 | 6,134 | $10.2 \%$ |
| Illinois | 50 | 49,337 | 3,955 | $8.0 \%$ |
| New York | 172 | 62,505 | 3,278 | $5.2 \%$ |

## English Learners (ELs)

## Colorado

## Total Number of English Learners (ELs) in Grades K-12 in Colorado*

|  | NEP/LEP <br> (Non-English <br> Proficient/Limited <br> English Proficient) | FEP M1 <br> (Fluent Encicient Monish <br> Year 1) | FEP M2 <br> (Fluent English <br> Proficient Monitor <br> Year 2) | Total ELS <br> (NEP, LEP, FEP <br> M1/M2) | FEP Exited <br> (Exited Program) | FELL <br> (Former EL) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2008 -2009 | 82,432 | 10,127 | 6,707 | 99,266 | 23,555 | -- |
| $2009-2010$ | 88,526 | 6,777 | 8,685 | 103,988 | 24,129 | -- |
| $2010-2011$ | 92,359 | 8,655 | 5,839 | 106,853 | 26,531 | -- |
| $2011-2012$ | 96,079 | 9,349 | 7,649 | 113,077 | 25,797 | -- |
| $2012-2013$ | 98,254 | 9,373 | 8,563 | 116,190 | 27,326 | 989 |
| $2013-2014$ | 102,294 | 9,855 | 8,244 | 120,393 | 29,454 | 910 |
| $2014-2015$ | 101,439 | 13,500 | 7,734 | 122,673 | 30,357 | 1,235 |
| $2015-2016$ | 101,228 | 11,372 | 11,659 | 124,259 | 31,004 | 1,735 |

*Numbers do not include parent refusals. If included, the total number for 2015-2016 would be 127,189.

## English Proficiency Levels for ELs (Grades K-12) 2015-2016

Percentages based on Subtotal of NEP, LEP, FEP Monitor Year 1 and FEP Monitor Year 2 (does not include FELL, PHLOTE, Exited, or Parent Refusal Students)


- NEP

■ LEP

- FEP M1
- FEP M2


## K-12 EL Growth Rate in Colorado 2008-09 through 2015-16

- Colorado total K-12 enrollment growth rate over the last seven years (2008-2015) $=9.3 \%$
- Colorado EL total K-12 enrollment growth rate over the last seven years $(2008-2015)=25.2 \%$

- Total EL K-12 enrollment growth

■ Total K-12 enrollment growth

Updated by Office of Data, Program Evaluation, and Reporting (February 2017); Data Source: 2008-2009

## EL Population Growth by Grade 2013-14 to 2015-16



Updated by Office of Data, Program Evaluation, and Reporting (February 2017); Data Source: 2013-2014 through 2015-2016 Student October (NEP, LEP, FEP Monitor Year 1 and 2 only, excluding parent refusals; excludes students with missing or duplicate SASIDs; excludes students with discrepant ESL and bilingual codes)

## Number of ELs by Grade and Years in the State October 2013 - October 2015



## Location and Context

## ELs (K-12) in Charter Schools 2015-2016

## Percentage of K-12 Students that are ELs within Charter and Non-Charter Schools

|  |  | ELs |  |  |  |  | Total K-12 <br> Population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | NEP | LEP | FEP M1 | FEP M2 | Total |  |
| Charter Schools (201 out of 225 have K-12 EL populations) | N | 3,037 | 9,987 | 1,760 | 1,978 | 16,762 | 107,302 |
|  | \% | 2.8\% | 9.3\% | 1.6\% | 1.8\% | 15.6\% |  |
| Non-Charter Schools (1,434 out of 1,600 have K-12 EL populations) | N | 23,518 | 64,686 | 9,612 | 9,681 | 107,497 | 755,751 |
|  | \% | 3.1\% | 8.6\% | 1.3\% | 1.3\% | 14.2\% |  |
| Total | N | 26,555 | 74,673 | 11,372 | 11,659 | 124,259 | 863,053 |

Statewide, $15.6 \%$ of students in charter schools are English Learners, compared to $14.2 \%$ of students in non-charter schools

## ESL v. Bilingual

$\left.$| Percentage of K-12 ELs in ESL/Bilingual Programs that are NEP, LEP, or FEP |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | Total ELs in |
| :---: |
| ESL/Bilingual |
| Programs | \right\rvert\,

Statewide, $92.3 \%$ of English learners are in ESL programs, compared to $7.7 \%$ in bilingual programs



# District Setting of ELs Grades K-12 2015-2016 

## Denver Metro includes 15 districts located

 within the Denver-Boulder standard metropolitan statistical area which compete economically for the same staff pool and reflect the regional economy of the areaUpdated by Office of Data, Program Evaluation, and Reporting (February 2017); Data Source: 20152016 Student October (NEP, LEP, FEP Monitor Year 1 and 2 only, excluding parent refusals; excludes students with missing or duplicate SASIDs; excludes students with discrepant ESL and bilingual codes; District regions available here: CDE district regions

## Rural v. Non-Rural



Statewide, $12 \%$ of English learners are in rural districts, whereas the remaining $88 \%$ are in non-rural districts

[^0]
## EL Mobility <br> 2013-14, 2014-15, and 2015-16

## Statewide (All Students):

Same District 3 Consecutive
Years - 87.0\%

Same District 2 Consecutive
Years - 5.9\%

Current Year only - 6.2\%

Same District 2 Years not
Consecutive - 0.8\%


## Top 10 Districts by Number of ELs (Grades K-12)

| District Code | District Name | Total K-12 Pupil Membership | English Language Learners Including FEP M1 and M2 | Percent EL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0880 | DENVER COUNTY 1 | 85,201 | 26,710 | 31.3\% |
| 0180 | ADAMS-ARAPAHOE 28J | 40,391 | 16,476 | 40.8\% |
| 1420 | JEFFERSON COUNTY R-1 | 83,729 | 6,960 | 8.3\% |
| 0020 | ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR SCHOOLS | 38,140 | 6,814 | 17.9\% |
| 0130 | CHERRY CREEK 5 | 52,924 | 5,637 | 10.7\% |
| 3120 | GREELEY 6 | 21,554 | 5,189 | 24.1\% |
| 0470 | ST VRAIN VALLEY RE 1 J | 30,364 | 4,663 | 15.4\% |
| 0070 | WESTMINSTER PUBLIC SCHOOLS | 8,938 | 3,910 | 43.7\% |
| 8001 | CHARTER SCHOOL INSTITUTE | 14,842 | 3,296 | 22.2\% |
| 0030 | ADAMS COUNTY 14 | 6,994 | 3,254 | 46.5\% |

## Top 10 Districts by \% of ELs (Grades K-12)

| District Code | District Name | Total K-12 Pupil Membership | English Language Learners Including FEP M1 and M2 | Percent EL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0030 | ADAMS COUNTY 14 | 6,994 | 3,254 | 46.5\% |
| 0070 | WESTMINSTER PUBLIC SCHOOLS | 8,938 | 3,910 | 43.7\% |
| 0123 | SHERIDAN 2 | 1,379 | 582 | 42.2\% |
| 2810 | CENTER 26 JT | 613 | 252 | 41.1\% |
| 0180 | ADAMS-ARAPAHOE 28J | 40,391 | 16,476 | 40.8\% |
| 1510 | LAKE COUNTY R-1 | 968 | 381 | 39.4\% |
| 3200 | YUMA 1 | 774 | 294 | 38.0\% |
| 1180 | ROARING FORK RE-1 | 5,409 | 1,858 | 34.4\% |
| 0910 | EAGLE COUNTY RE 50 | 6,559 | 2,220 | 33.8\% |
| 3140 | WELD COUNTY S/D RE-8 | 2,175 | 716 | 32.9\% |

Characteristics

## ELs (Grades K-12) by Gender 2015-2016



## ELs (Grades K-12) by Ethnicity 2015-2016



Updated by Office of Data, Program Evaluation, and Reporting (February 2017); Data Source: 2015-2016 Student October (NEP, LEP, FEP Monitor Year 1 and 2 only, excluding parent refusals; excludes students with missing or duplicate SASIDs; excludes students with discrepant ESL and bilingual codes)

## Top 20 Home Languages Spoken by Colorado ELs (Grades K-12)

| Rank | Colorado (2015-16) |  |  | Nation (2013-14) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Language | Number of ELs | Percent of ELs | Language | Number of ELs |
| 1 | Spanish | 103,646 | 83.4\% | Spanish | 3,770,816 |
| 2 | Vietnamese | 2,037 | 1.6\% | Arabic | 100,461 |
| 3 | Arabic | 1,979 | 1.6\% | Chinese | 99,943 |
| 4 | Russian | 1,244 | 1.0\% | Vietnamese | 80,283 |
| 5 | Chinese, Mandarin | 1,194 | 1.0\% | Haitian/Haitian Creole | 35,467 |
| 6 | Somali | 1,057 | 0.9\% | Somali | 25,278 |
| 7 | Amharic | 953 | 0.8\% | Tagalog | 24,370 |
| 8 | Nepali | 862 | 0.7\% | Hmong | 23,473 |
| 9 | Korean | 656 | 0.5\% | Portuguese | 10,102 |
| 10 | French | 642 | 0.5\% | Bengali | 9,371 |
| 11 | Hmong | 510 | 0.4\% | Russian | 9,111 |
| 12 | Burmese | 458 | 0.4\% | Navajo | 8,914 |
| 13 | Karen, Pa'o | 450 | 0.4\% | Urdu | 8,438 |
| 14 | Tigrigna | 360 | 0.3\% Y | Yupik languages | 6,629 |
| 15 | German, Standard | 353 | 0.3\% | Polish | 5,347 |
| 16 | Tagalog | 325 | 0.3\% | Karen languages | 5,195 |
| 17 | Chinese, Yue | 313 | 0.3\% | Korean | 5,011 |
| 18 | Hindi | 301 | 0.2\% | Marshallese | 4,327 |
| 19 | Swahili | 293 | 0.2\% | Creoles and pidgins, Portuguese-based (Other) | 3,893 |
| 20 | Japanese | 245 | 0.2\% | Nepali | 3,633 |

In 2015-16, English learners (ELs) had 262 home or primary languages other than English.

Updated by Office of Data, Program Evaluation, and Reporting (February 2017); Data Source: 2015-2016 Student October (NEP, LEP, FEP Monitor Year 1 and 2 only, excluding parent refusals; excludes students with missing or duplicate SASIDs; excludes students with discrepant ESL and bilingual codes); Data Source [Nation]: U.S. Department of Education, Consolidated State Performance Reports, SY 2013-14, retrieved from Fast facts all languagesNote: Figures reflect the combined number of reported speakers of a language in states where that language was one of the state's five most common EL languages. This list includes only those languages for which specified data were listed. "Undetermined" and "not applicable" languages were not included in the list above.

## K-12 ELs Eligible for Free or Reduced Meals 2015-2016



Updated by Office of Data, Program Evaluation, and Reporting (February 2017); Data Source: 2015-2016

## Percent ELs (Grades K-12) with Disabilities 2015-2016

The top two disabilities in the state among ELs are Specific Learning Disability (6.5\%) and Speech/Language Disabilities (2.2\%). All others are less than $1 \%$ and $88.3 \%$ of ELs do not have a disability.

## ELs Who Are Also in Other Programs 2015-2016

## Percent of ELs That Are Also...



# Performance of Colorado ELs on State Assessments 

## Language Performance

- ACCESS (Assessing Comprehension and Communication in English State-to-State) annually assesses the four language domains of listening, speaking, reading, and writing
- First year of administration was in 2012-2013
- EL students included in the ACCESS analyses:
- Used 2015 ACCESS raw data, excluding students with missing or incomplete (less than 10 digits) SASIDs.
- Students with more than one record were excluded if tested multiple times (have a proficiency score assigned to more than one record).
- Includes NEP and LEP students only
- Excludes parent refusals


## 2015 Colorado ACCESS Results by Grade



Note: In many districts, students reaching level 5 composite and level 5 literacy (reading and writing) are redesignated. Therefore, it is unlikely that these students will test on ACCESS again the following year.

## Academic Performance

- First administered in spring 2015.
- Colorado schools transitioned to new assessments for English language arts and mathematics that align with the Colorado Academic Standards.
- EL students included in the CMAS PARCC analyses:
- Mean scale scores are based on students with valid scores (excludes students who did not test)
- Students with more than one record were excluded if tested multiple times (have a scale score assigned to more than one record).
- Grades 3-8 only
- EL includes NEP, LEP, FEP Monitor Years 1 and 2
- Excludes parent refusal
- Exited students presented separately


## Cautions \& Limitations: Participation Rates

- Achievement Percentile Rank Report Guidance (Feb. 2016):
- With increased numbers of parents excusing students from assessments administered during the 2014-15 school year, local planning teams need to determine whether student participation in assessments should affect how they use district or school-level aggregate achievement results. Specifically, teams should consider the degree to which aggregate metrics such as percentile ranks or mean scale scores (derived from individual student scores) still provide a complete and accurate picture of the performance of the "group" for which the score was calculated (e.g., the school, a grade level). This is why participation rates are included in the Achievement Percentile Rank Report.
Planning teams should report their participation rates when describing achievement if a disaggregated group of students was disproportionally over (e.g., English learners) or under-represented (e.g., non-FRL) in the calculation of the metric, or if the number of students included in the metric was substantially below the federally required $95 \%$ participation rate. If participation rates were much lower for some grade levels than for others, planning teams may consider using the grade level data separately (rather than school- or district-level data).


## English Language Arts

## 2016 PARCC English Language Arts: Mean Scale Scores Elementary

|  | State | EL | NEP | LEP | FEP M1/M2 | FEP Exited / <br> FELL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Participation | $94.8 \%$ | $98.1 \%$ | $92.4 \%$ | $98.7 \%$ | $98.7 \%$ | $97.7 \%$ |
| Mean Scale Score | 740.3 | 722.1 | 693.1 | 717.6 | 748.5 | 760.9 |
| Percentile Rank | 50 | 14 | 1 | 7 | 70 | 91 |



Updated by Office of Data, Program Evaluation, and Reporting (February 2017); Data Source: 2015-2016 State English Language Arts Assessment (excludes students with missing SASIDs, students tested multiple times, and students who did not test); EL includes NEP, LEP, FEP Monitor Year 1 and 2 only, excluding parent refusals.

## 2016 PARCC English Language Arts: Mean Scale Scores Middle

|  | State | EL | NEP | LEP | FEP M1/M2 | FEP Exited / <br> FELL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Participation | $87.6 \%$ | $95.6 \%$ | $85.7 \%$ | $96.6 \%$ | $96.8 \%$ | $95.1 \%$ |
| Mean Scale Score | 739.8 | 715.3 | 686.0 | 709.8 | 738.5 | 752.2 |
| Percentile Rank | 48 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 45 | 78 |



Updated by Office of Data, Program Evaluation, and Reporting (February 2017); Data Source: 2015-2016 State English Language Arts Assessment (excludes students with missing SASIDs, students tested multiple times, and students who did not test); EL includes NEP, LEP, FEP Monitor Year 1 and 2 only, excluding parent refusals.

Math

## 2016 PARCC Math: Mean Scale Scores Elementary

|  | State | EL | NEP | LEP | FEP M1/M2 | FEP Exited / <br> FELL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Participation | $95.0 \%$ | $98.6 \%$ | $96.7 \%$ | $98.9 \%$ | $98.7 \%$ | $97.6 \%$ |
| Mean Scale Score | 736.0 | 720.2 | 696.1 | 716.9 | 741.1 | 754.2 |
| Percentile Rank | 53 | 17 | 1 | 10 | 64 | 88 |



Updated by Office of Data, Program Evaluation, and Reporting (February 2017); Data Source: 2015-2016 State Math Assessment (excludes students with missing SASIDs, students tested multiple times, and students who did not test); EL includes NEP, LEP, FEP Monitor Year 1 and 2 only, excluding parent refusals.

## 2016 PARCC Math: Mean Scale Scores Middle

|  | State | EL | NEP | LEP | FEP M1/M2 | FEP Exited / <br> FELL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Participation | $87.8 \%$ | $96.4 \%$ | $93.5 \%$ | $96.8 \%$ | $96.7 \%$ | $95.1 \%$ |
| Mean Scale Score | 732.1 | 711.2 | 692.5 | 706.3 | 729.8 | 741.3 |
| Percentile Rank | 51 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 45 | 74 |



Updated by Office of Data, Program Evaluation, and Reporting (February 2017); Data Source: 2015-2016 State Math Assessment (excludes students with missing SASIDs, students tested multiple times, and students who did not test); EL includes NEP, LEP, FEP Monitor Year 1 and 2 only, excluding parent refusals.

## Performance of ELs Across Select Demographics

## 2016 Performance of ELs in Charter vs. Non-Charter Schools (Grades 3-8)



| CMAS Participation Rates |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ELA |  | Math |  |
|  | Charter | Non-Charter | Charter | Non-Charter |
| State | $90 \%$ | $92 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $92 \%$ |
| EL | $97 \%$ | $97 \%$ | $97 \%$ | $98 \%$ |
| NEP | $93 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $95 \%$ |
| LEP | $98 \%$ | $98 \%$ | $98 \%$ | $98 \%$ |
| FEP M1/M2 | $97 \%$ | $98 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $98 \%$ |
| FEP Exited | $96 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $95 \%$ |

Updated by Office of Data, Program Evaluation, and Reporting (February 2017); Data Source: 2015-2016 State English Language Arts and Math Assessments (excludes students with missing SASIDs, students tested multiple times, and students who did not test); EL includes NEP, LEP, FEP Monitor Year 1 and 2 only, excluding parent refusals; 2015-16 Charter School designations based on enrollment file located here: CDE charter school enrollment

## 2016 Performance of ELs in ESL vs. Bilingual Programs (Grades 3-8)



| CMAS Participation Rates |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ESL | Bilingual | ESL | Bilingual |
|  | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| State | $97 \%$ | $97 \%$ | $98 \%$ | $98 \%$ |
| EL | $90 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $98 \%$ |
| NEP | $98 \%$ | $99 \%$ | $98 \%$ | $99 \%$ |
| LEP | $98 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $98 \%$ | $96 \%$ |
| FEP M1/M2 | $98 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $92 \%$ |
| FEP Exited | $96 \%$ | $90 \%$ |  |  |

Updated by Office of Data, Program Evaluation, and Reporting (February 2017); Data Source: 2015-2016 State English Language Arts and Math Assessments (excludes students with missing SASIDs, students tested multiple times, and students who did not test); EL includes NEP, LEP, FEP Monitor Year 1 and 2 only, excluding parent refusals

## 2016 Performance of ELs by District Setting (Grades 3-8)



| CLA |  |  |  |  |  | Math |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | CMAS Participation Rates |  |  |  |
| State | $92 \%$ | $91 \%$ | $91 \%$ | $92 \%$ | $92 \%$ | $91 \%$ |  |  |
| EL | $98 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $98 \%$ | $97 \%$ | $97 \%$ |  |  |
| NEP | $90 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $87 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $93 \%$ |  |  |
| LEP | $98 \%$ | $98 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $98 \%$ | $97 \%$ | $97 \%$ |  |  |
| FEP M1/M2 | $98 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $98 \%$ | $98 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $98 \%$ |  |  |
| FEP Exited | $96 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $95 \%$ |  |  |

Updated by Office of Data, Program Evaluation, and Reporting (February 2017); Data Source: 2015-2016 State English Language Arts and Math Assessments (excludes students with missing SASIDs, students tested multiple times, and students who did not test); EL includes NEP, LEP, FEP Monitor Year 1 and 2 only, excluding parent refusals; District setting pulled from Colorado Education Statistics webpage (CDE statistics)

## 2016 Performance of ELs in Rural vs. Non-Rural Districts (Grades 3-8)



| CMAS Participation Rates |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ELA |  | Math |  |
| Non-Rural | Rural | Non-Rural | Rural |  |
| State | $92 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $92 \%$ | $91 \%$ |
| EL | $97 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $98 \%$ | $96 \%$ |
| NEP | $90 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $92 \%$ |
| LEP | $98 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $98 \%$ | $96 \%$ |
| FEP M1/M2 | $98 \%$ | $98 \%$ | $98 \%$ | $98 \%$ |

Updated by Office of Data, Program Evaluation, and Reporting (February 2017); Data Source: 2015-2016 State English Language Arts and Math Assessments (excludes students with missing SASIDs, students tested multiple times, and students who did not test); EL includes NEP, LEP, FEP Monitor Year 1 and 2 only, excluding parent refusals; Rural definitions based on rural definition spreadsheet revised April 20, 2015 based on October 2014 counts (CDE rural definition spreadsheet)

## 2016 Performance of ELs by FRM Eligibility (Grades 3-8)



| CMAS Participation Rates |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ELA |  | Math |  |
|  | FRL eligible | Not FRL eligible | FRL eligible | Not FRL eligible |
| State | $94 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $89 \%$ |
| EL | $97 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $98 \%$ | $96 \%$ |
| NEP | $91 \%$ | $84 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $90 \%$ |
| LEP | $98 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $98 \%$ | $96 \%$ |
| FEP M1/M2 | $98 \%$ | $97 \%$ | $98 \%$ | $96 \%$ |
| FEP Exited | $96 \%$ | $94 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $94 \%$ |

Updated by Office of Data, Program Evaluation, and Reporting (February 2017); Data Source: 2015-2016 State English Language Arts and Math Assessments (excludes students with missing SASIDs, students tested multiple times, and students who did not test); EL includes NEP, LEP, FEP Monitor Year 1 and 2 only, excluding parent refusals

## 2016 Performance of ELs by IEP Status (Grades 3-8)



| CMAS Participation Rates |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ELA |  | Math |  |
|  | Students with <br> IEPs | Students <br> without IEPs | Students with <br> IEPs | Students <br> without IEPs |
| State | $89 \%$ | $92 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $92 \%$ |
| EL | $95 \%$ | $97 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $98 \%$ |
| NEP | $94 \%$ | $88 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $95 \%$ |
| LEP | $96 \%$ | $98 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $98 \%$ |
| FEP M1/M2 | $96 \%$ | $98 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $98 \%$ |
| FEP Exited | $89 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $96 \%$ |

Updated by Office of Data, Program Evaluation, and Reporting (February 2017); Data Source: 2015-2016 State English Language Arts and Math Assessments (excludes students with missing SASIDs, students tested multiple times, and students who did not test); EL includes NEP, LEP, FEP Monitor Year 1 and 2 only, excluding parent refusals

PWR

## 2016 Colorado ACT - 11th Grade



## Colorado Graduation Rates <br> 2010-2016

|  | Class of 2010 |  | Class of 2011 |  | Class of 2012 |  | Class of 2013 |  | Class of 2014 |  | Class of 2015 |  | Class of 2016 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# of Grads | Grad Rate | \# of Grads | Grad Rate | \# of Grads | Grad <br> Rate | \# of Grads | Grad <br> Rate | \# of Grads | Grad Rate | \# of Grads | Grad Rate | \# of Grads | Grad Rate |
| State | 45,144 | 72\% | 45,846 | 74\% | 45,879 | 75\% | 46,756 | 77\% | 47,486 | 77\% | 47,784 | 77\% | 49,842 | 79\% |
| English Learners (NEP/LEP) | 2,595 | 49\% | 2,839 | 53\% | 3,289 | 53\% | 3,754 | 59\% | 3,908 | 59\% | 4,266 | 61\% | 4,521 | 61\% |



Updated by Office of Data, Program Evaluation, and Reporting (February 2017); Data Source: Graduation
Statistics, 4-year graduation rate, available here: http://www.cde.state.co.us/cdereval/gradcurrent

# Performance of Colorado ELs on National Assessment of Education Progress (NAEP) 

## Colorado ELs: Longitudinal Performance on NAEP Reading



## Nationwide Comparison of ELs on NAEP Reading

## 2015 Reading Scores: ELs vs. Non-ELs



## Colorado ELs: Longitudinal Performance on NAEP Math



## Nationwide Comparison of ELs on NAEP Math

## 2015 Math Scores: ELs vs. Non-ELs



## For Questions Regarding the Data Presented

## Contact:

- Nazanin Mohajeri-Nelson
- Director of the Office of Data, Program Evaluation and Reporting
- Mohajeri-nelson n@cde.state.co.us
- (303) 866-6205
- Tina Negley
- Research Analysis Coordinator in the Office of Data, Program Evaluation and Reporting
- Negley t@cde.state.co.us
- (303) 866-5243


## For Questions Regarding EL Programs and Services Contact:

- Morgan Cox
- Director of the Office of Culturally and Linguistically Diverse Education
- Cox m@cde.state.co.us
- (303) 866-6784
- Rebekah Ottenbreit
- Ottenbreit R@cde.state.co.us
- (303) 866-6285
- Lulu Buck
- Buck L@cde.state.co.us
- (303) 866-6198
- Linnea Hulshof
- Hulshof L@cde.state.co.us
- (303) 866-6842
- Doris Nguyen
- Brock-Nguyen_D@cde.state.co.us
- (303) 866-6777
- Georgina Owen
- Owen G@cde.state.co.us


[^0]:    Updated by Office of Data, Program Evaluation, and Reporting (February 2017); Data Sources: 2015-2016 Student October (NEP, LEP, FEP Monitor Year 1 and 2 only, excluding parent refusals; excludes students with missing or duplicate SASIDs; excludes students with discrepant ESL and bilingual codes); Rural definition spreadsheet (CDE rural definition) revised January 19, 2016 based on October 2015 counts

