Four Conditions Diagnostic Rubric¹

Scoring: 1 = Below Standard 2 = Developing 3 = Proficient 4 = Exemplary

CULTURE OF PERFORMANCE	ACADEMIC SYSTEMS		TURNAROUND LEADERSHIP		TALENT	
1.1 Mission & Vision	2.1 Comprehensive and Aligned Curriculum		3.1 Distributed Leadership		4.1 Staff Recruitment, Allocation, & Strategic Retention	
1.2 Inspiring Learning Environment	2.2 Vision for Instruction		3.2 Instructional Leadership		4.2 Talent Development	
1.3 Shared Accountability	2.3 Equitable Access Content for all Learners	to	3.3 Strategic Leadership		4.3 Evaluation	
1.4 Family and Stakeholder Engagement	2.4 Assessment System and Data Culture	1	3.4 Results-Driven		4.4 Collaborative Structures	
1.5 Effective Student Supports	2.5 Multi-tiered Systems of Support		3.5 Management			
1.6 Continuous Improvement	2.6 Use of Instructional Tim	ne	3.6 Influencing for Results			
1.7 Commitment to Equity	2.7 Time Allocation		3.7 Strategic Budgeting			
CULTURE OF PERFORMANCE TOTAL	/28 ACADEMIC SYSTEMS TOTAL	/28	TALENT TOTAL	/28	TURNAROUND LEADERSHIP TOTAL	/16
OVERALL TOTAL						/100

¹ **Note:** The rubrics draw from the Connecticut State Department of Education's School Quality Review and Network Walkthrough Tool, Mass Insight Education's School Readiness Assessment, Public Impact's School Turnaround Leaders Competencies, University of Virginia's Leader Competencies and Turnaround Actions, CSSI Standards 1-10 Rubric with Evidence, Colorado's Principal Quality Standards, and the DPS LEAD Framework.

			1. C	ULTURE OF PERFORMANCE	
	Indicator	Below Standard (1)	Developing (2)	Proficient (3)	Exemplary (4)
1.1.	Mission & Vision	□ No mission or vision exists; OR □ Less than 25% of stakeholders can articulate the school's mission and vision.	☐ Mission and vision exist☐ The mission and vision are used to anchor school decision making and focus at least 40% of the time.☐ At least 40% of stakeholders can articulate the school's mission and vision.	 □ The mission and vision are used to anchor school decision making and focus at least 60% of the time. □ The school has clear, ambitious, and attainable performance expectations and goals aligned to the mission and vision. □ The school allocates resources needed to implement strategies and reach goals. □ At least 60% of stakeholders can articulate the school's mission and vision. □ Leadership evaluates and monitors the impact of improvement strategies on organizational performance and student learning. 	In addition to "Proficient": ☐ The mission and vision are collaboratively developed based on the beliefs and values of the school community ☐ The mission and vision are used to drive every aspect of the school, including hiring, development, of the school program, and resource allocation. ☐ At least 75% of stakeholders can articulate the school's mission and vision. ☐ School leadership continuously communicates the vision and mission of the school and uses them to reinforce the school community's commitment to student success.
1.2.	Inspiring Learning Environment	□The school lacks intentional structures to promote engagement, celebrate academic performance, or improve the connection of staff with students. □The learning environment is unkempt or unsafe.	□ At least 40% of spaces in the school demonstrate a welcoming and inviting learning environment. □ At least 60% of classrooms are clean. □ At least 40% of classrooms provide recognition and celebration for student academic performance.	□ At least 75% of spaces in the school demonstrate a welcoming and inviting learning environment. □ At least 80% of classrooms and common spaces are clean and bright. □ The school recognizes and celebrates students' academic performance and students report that their teachers expect them to do their best. □ Strong relationships between adults and students are evident throughout the building. In surveys or conversations, students acknowledge that they are supported by school staff. □ Schoolwide structures exist to promote student engagement. As a result, the school is characterized by high levels of authentic student engagement.	In addition to "Proficient": □ At least 90% of spaces in the school demonstrate a welcoming and inviting environment for all students, families, and community members. □ At least 90% of classrooms and common spaces are clean and bright. □ The school implements programs and initiatives that improve the connection of staff with students.
1.3.	Shared Accountability	☐ There are no established goals. ☐ No accountability structures exist to hold teachers accountable for improved student achievement. ☐ Expectations for learning are teacher dependent.	□The school lacks collectively owned and collaboratively established goals. □Teachers may be individually accountable for improved student achievement. □Systems in place to collect and track student or staff culture. □Instructional Leadership Team (ILT) is in place, but is goals focused.	□ Some collaboratively established goals exist across grade levels or across the entire school. □ Groups of teachers are accountable to each other and demonstrate commitment to improving student achievement. □ Teachers set high expectations for learning. □ Systems in place to collect and track student and staff culture. □ Instructional Leadership Team (ILT) meets regularly to focus on outcomes.	In addition to "Proficient": □ Educators convey commitment to, and hold each other accountable for, collaboratively established improvement goals, tasks, and student achievement. □ All staff demonstrate a commitment to improving student achievement. □ A clear expectation exists across the school that students will do their best work. □ Systems exist to collect and track student and staff culture and ability to video culture and leadership activities (e.g. leading leadership team meetings, conducting school walk-throughs, and leading professional development) for the purpose of

				continuous improvement.
Indicator	Below Standard (1)	Developing (2)	Proficient (3)	Exemplary (4)
1.4. Family and stakeholder engagement	□ No systems in place to communicate with parents/guardians about instructional programs, opportunities to support student learning at home, and students' progress. □ No opportunities exist for families to engage with the school. □ No clear procedures or policies exist to ensure the engagement of community stakeholders to promote and support the school.	□ Systems in place to communicate with parents/guardians about instructional programs, opportunities to support student learning at home, and students' progress. □ Limited, one-size-fits-all opportunities exist for families to engage with the school. □ Clear procedures and policies exist to ensure the engagement of community stakeholders. □ Only a single method of communication is used to engage with families.	 □ The school strategically includes parents/guardians and community members in cultivating a culture of high expectations for students' learning and their consistent support of students' efforts. □ The school invites family and community participation in school activities that are related to school performance goals. □ Educators regularly engage in two-way, meaningful communication with parents/guardians about students' academic and social progress and opportunities to support student learning within the home. □ School leadership and staff invites family participation in school activities. □ The school has a process to respond to parent concerns. □ A culturally and linguistically appropriate communication strategy supports engaged communication and conversation with all stakeholders. □ Multiple methods of communication are used to ensure access and equity. 	In addition to "Proficient": The school invites family and community participation in school activities that are clearly aligned to school performance goals. Parents/guardians view the school as a key resource for addressing student needs. Multiple culturally and linguistically appropriate communication strategies support engaged communication and conversation with all stakeholders.
1.5. Effective student supports	 No discipline policy exists at the school to promote a safe and orderly learning environment and/or articulate expectations. Isolated systems exist within individual classrooms. No universal screening data is collected for use in evaluation of universal supports for academics and behavior. No student-level progress monitoring tools are administered or exist. 	□ Some teacher teams have implemented common systems to support the social-emotional needs of students. □ The school is in the process of implementing a discipline policy as well as determining appropriate levels of support for students for whom social and emotional needs create a barrier to learning. □ The school is in the process of developing systems to collect and track student culture data for the purpose of improvement. □ The school collects universal screening data for academics and behavior, but the data	 □ The discipline policy at the school has been implemented to create a safe and orderly learning environment. □ Clear systems are used to collect and track student culture data for the purpose of improvement, the data is analyzed for the purpose of improvement and changes implemented are driven by data. □ The school supports initiatives to address the needs of students so that student learning is supported with minimal obstacles or barriers. □ Teachers integrate Tier I social-emotional and behavioral supports into the regular classroom environment. □ Systems for identification of Tier II and III behavior and social-emotional supports are in place □ Positive rituals and routines are used to reinforce the discipline policy. □ The school collects and regularly uses universal screening data to evaluate universal supports and set 	In addition to "Proficient": Systems for identification of Tier II and III behavior and social-emotional supports link students to outside providers where necessary. Feedback loops exist between classroom teachers and intensive support services. The school collects and regularly uses universal screening data to evaluate the quality, equity, and efficiency of universal supports.

	I	are not used regularly to	academic and behavioral goals.	
		evaluate universal supports, OR data are not used for specific goal setting. Student-level progress monitoring tools exist for either behavior or academics but are administered infrequently.	□Student-level progress monitoring tools to analyze behavior and academics exist and are administered regularly.	
1.6. Continuous improvement	□The school lacks a meaningful process for identifying, implementing, and monitoring improvement strategies. □Processes or systems to monitor school level data do not exist.	□School level data related to behavior, attendance, and academics is collected but not effectively analyzed to inform resource allocation or student achievement. □Improvement strategies are identified and implemented, however a process has not been implemented that allows strategies to be monitored in a way that leads to adjustment in resource allocation or student achievement.	□School leadership establishes and sustains a focus on continuously improving student achievement through timely and continuous collection, tracking, and use of data. □The school has processes in place to monitor data related to behavior, attendance, and academics at the school level, grade level, classroom level, and student level. □Improvement efforts are effectively aligned with other school priorities and adjusted as needed. □A manageable set of improvement initiatives are purposely designed to address priorities, and outcomes are monitored on an ongoing basis. Resource allocation is adjusted based on results. □Staff and community feedback is collected and analyzed on a regular basis.	 In addition to "Proficient": □ Systematized processes are firmly in place to monitor data related to behavior, attendance, and academics at the school level, grade level, classroom level, and student level. Data is examined by a team on a regular basis. □ A manageable set of initiatives are understood by all and stem from a commonly understood theory of action. □ A systematized process for monitoring outcomes has been fully implemented and results in resource allocation aligned to ongoing needs. □ Staff and community feedback is used for future decision making.
1.7. Commitment to equity	☐The school has not provided any training or support on cultural competency.	☐ The school's curriculum includes topics related to students' cultures. ☐ There are structures in place to guarantee that the school and work environments are open to and accepting of diversity and free from discriminatory behavior and practices.	□ Teachers have received professional development on multicultural education. □ The school uses materials that reflect the students' cultures and native languages. □ The school culture takes the student population's cultures into consideration, including in school-wide events and parent outreach. □ School staff celebrate and respect students' differences and diversity. □ Processes exist to examine student subgroup data in order to ensure that policies and practices are non-discriminatory and ensure success for all students.	In addition to "Proficient": ☐ School staff facilitate opportunities for students to learn about cultures of their peers.

2. ACADEMIC SYSTEMS					
Indicator	Below Standard (1)	Developing (2)	Proficient (3)	Exemplary (4)	

2.1. Comprehensive and aligned curriculum	□ Learning expectations for all students have not yet been identified, organized, or communicated to students and families. □ Standards are not used to drive teaching and learning. □ Less than 50% of selected texts are on grade level.	■While standards serve as a reference point for teaching and learning, no processes or tools currently exist to ensure alignment of standards and expectations across grade levels and content areas. ■A scope and sequence does not exist to guide teachers in planning and pacing. ■50% of selected texts are on grade level.	□ Teachers and school leadership analyze and understand the academic standards and expectations for their grade level and/or content areas. □ The school uses indicators of mastery, such as evidence outcomes, to describe types and levels of performance expected at each grade level, and have aligned tools that allow teachers to focus on the big ideas, concepts, and skills students should learn throughout a school year. □ Teachers engage in horizontal curriculum articulation to ensure consistency of planning and practice. □ Standards and grade level expectations are communicated to students. □ 75% of selected texts are on grade level.	In addition to "Proficient": ☐ The school uses indicators of mastery, such as evidence outcomes, to describe types and levels of performance expected at each grade level and align depth of knowledge and rigor. ☐ Teachers engage in vertical articulation to ensure there are no gaps or unnecessary overlaps in curriculum. ☐ Standards and grade level expectations are communicated effectively to families. ☐ Teachers use protocols to guide ongoing, collaborative planning focused on daily and longterm grade-level expectations. ☐ 90% of selected texts are on grade level.
2.2 Vision for instruction	A clearly articulated model for instruction and pedagogy does not exist.	□While routines may be similar from classroom to classroom, a consistent set of research based instructional strategies has yet to be adopted by the entire school. □Similar instructional strategies may exist within grade level teams or within pockets of the school.	□ A consistent set of research based, non-negotiable practices is understood and implemented by all teachers. □ Instructional plans reflect elements of the instructional model. □ The instructional model is reflected in the selection of instructional materials, classroom activities, and use of instructional technology. □ School leadership understands what is required to implement a rigorous cycle of teaching and learning, and ensures that common research-based instructional practices are consistently evident in all classrooms.	In addition to "Proficient": □ Refinement and ongoing adjustment of instructional practices directly respond to the unique needs and strengths of all learners. □ Teachers are able to differentiate instruction through elements of the instructional model. □ The instructional model is used to promote individually tailored delivery of standards based content.
2.3 Equitable access to content for all learners	☐Teachers do not plan for instruction or planning is minimal (ie, page numbers, topics).	□ Although instructional planning is implemented across the school, plans tend to lack detail related to scaffolds necessary to ensure all students are able to access grade level content. □ Higher order thinking is inconsistently seen in classrooms. Instruction lacks alignment among standard, background knowledge, and student contextual knowledge. □ A comprehensive approach to meeting the needs of non-English- speaking students is lacking, and teachers are	□ Effective planning for best first instruction ensures equitable and challenging learning experiences, using appropriate scaffolds to allow all students to access grade-level content. □ Teachers plan rigorous standards-aligned tasks that require students to use higher order thinking skills, and plan supports for completing tasks through purposeful student grouping, use of a variety of explanations and multiple representations of concepts and ideas, connections to background knowledge, interests, and learning styles, and strategies to ensure students are cognitively engaged. □ Teachers demonstrate an understanding of common misconceptions or common mistakes and attend to them in planning for instruction. □ The school implements a comprehensive and coherent approach to meet the needs of students	In addition to "Proficient": ☐ Instructional planning ensures equitable and challenging learning experiences that scaffold increasing depth, breadth, and cognitive complexity to prepare all students for success at the next level. ☐ Planning emphasizes relevance and application of acquired knowledge and skills to real world situations. ☐ Students building-wide regularly engage in authentic learning experiences that focus on applying knowledge, problem solving, and development and use of academic language. ☐ Teachers regularly incorporate strategies and metacognition to ensure all students are cognitively engaged.

		unable to determine whether misunderstandings are due to	who are non-English-speaking and/or who have limited English proficiency.	
		language barriers or content barriers.	☐ Teachers frequently monitor student access to content and determine whether misunderstandings are due to language barriers or content barriers, and adjust instruction accordingly.	
2.4 Assessment system and data culture	□ A variety of assessment methods and strategies is not available and/or used to continuously measure student learning and inform instruction.	□ A variety of assessment methods and strategies is available. □ An assessment system is in place. □ A lack of training or a lack of alignment between the assessment and the teaching-learning cycle results in assessment data not being used to inform instruction.	 □ The school implements a system of assessments that aligns with the school's improvement strategies and supports the work of collaborative teacher teams. □ A building-wide assessment strategy includes checking for understanding, formative assessment, and curriculum based interim/summative measures that align to state standards. □ Common interim assessments are administered 3-6 times each year and are readily available to teachers as a means of defining the required level of rigor associated with each standard. □ Assessments are aligned to state standards, and aligned to the instructional sequence followed by the school. □ Assessment data is readily available to teachers and students. □ Assessment data allows for school level, grade level, classroom level and student level analysis and allows teachers to analyze by standard and item. □ Teachers use student data and current performance levels when planning instruction. 	In addition to "Proficient": The school implements a balanced system of in the moment, formative, and interim assessments that are aligned to state standards as well as the school's curricular program/scope and sequence. Assessment data supports the work of collaborative teacher teams in planning as well as in determining the effectiveness of teaching strategies. Assessment data is used by teachers to analyze student performance by standard and item. Teachers continually monitor and adjust instruction and content based on data.
2.5 Multi-tiered Systems of Support	□ The school provides no supports for students who are struggling academically or in need of acceleration. □ There is no problemsolving process for decisions about supports and interventions.	□The school has supports for academically struggling or advanced students but there is not a systematic method of identifying students to receive those services. □There is an informal problemsolving process for decisions about supports and interventions. □Effectiveness of programming is infrequently evaluated.	□ The school has a MTSS problem-solving process in place to identify students for intervention and acceleration with clear criteria and protocols. □ The decision about supports and interventions are made only using outcome OR fidelity data. □ The school has a variety of supports available. □ Supports available are research-based. □ Feedback loops exist between special programs and Tier I instructional programs. □ Effectiveness of programming is regularly evaluated.	In addition to "Proficient": The school regularly monitors progress data for available supports. The school's intervention policies are clearly communicated to stakeholders. Fidelity and outcome data are used to determine decisions about adjusting supports and interventions. The student's family actively participates in the MTSS process.
Indicator	Below Standard (1)	Developing (2)	Proficient (3)	Exemplary (4)
2.6 Use of instructional time	☐ More than 20% of class time spent redirecting students ☐ Less than 80% of students	□ 10- 20% of class time is spent redirecting students. □ 80-90% of students transition within the given amount of	☐ 5-10% of class time is spent redirecting students. ☐ 90-99% of students transition within the given amount of time when prompted by the teacher.10% of students or more are off task and there are missed	In addition to "Proficient": ☐ Staff and students maximize their use of time. There is no downtime. ☐ Less than 5% of class time spent redirecting

	transition within the given amount of time. 20% of students or more are off task and there are missed opportunities to maximize time on task.	time. 15% of students or more are off task and there are missed opportunities to maximize instructional time. At least 50% of lesson	□ opportunities to maximize instructional time. □ At least 75% of lessons are well planned, paced, and executed. □ Most teachers are adept at managing and using class time.	students. 100% of students transition within the given amount of time with minimal cues and reminders from teachers. 100% of students are on task. Teachers meticulously use every moment of class
	☐Observed teachers struggle with pacing and fail to use class time in a constructive manner.	schedules are moderately- well planned, paced, and executed.		time to prioritize instructional time on task. Extra time is given for students to meet rigorous learning standards while also engaging in enrichment opportunities beyond the instructional core.
2.7 Time Allocation	□There is not time in the schedule to ensure adequate needs (ex. Students cannot access courses or interventions needed within a given semester). □The schedule includes ≤ 5 hours of instruction per day. □The schedule includes ≤ 60 minutes of ELA time.	□ Students would benefit from increased instructional and/or intervention time. □ The school calendar and daily schedule could be improved to increase time on task. □ The schedule includes > 5 and ≤ 5.5 hours of instruction per day. □ The schedule includes > 60 and ≤ 90 minutes of ELA time.	 □The school has taken steps to increase instructional time on task through extended learning opportunities. □The school implements a thoughtful and strategic school calendar and daily schedule. □The schedule includes > 5.5 and ≤ 6 hours of instruction per day. □The schedule includes > 90 and ≤ 120 minutes of ELA time. □The school designs the schedule to meet individual student and teacher needs. □Passing periods and lunch are minimized to maximize instructional time. □Allocation of instructional time reflects highest priority areas. 	In addition to "Proficient": The school has multiple extended learning opportunities available to students. The schedule includes > 6 hours of instruction per day. The schedule includes > 120 minutes of ELA time. The school strategically designs the schedule to ensure adequate learning time in core subjects, extended learning time for prioritized instructional areas, additional time to support individualized instruction, and educator collaboration.

	3. TURNAROUND LEADERSHIP					
Indicator	Below Standard (1)	Developing (2)	Proficient (3)	Exemplary (4)		
3.1 Distributed Leadership	□51% or more of school decisions are made in isolation, without input from staff or stakeholders. □ Little or no opportunities exist for teacher leadership. □ No leadership team exists.	□Shared decision making is promoted, although structures and processes to support shared decision making may be lacking. □A leadership team meets regularly. □Stakeholder input is sought at least 50% of the time. □Teacher leadership opportunities exist.	□ Leadership implements structures and processes to support shared decision making, including ongoing work with a School Leadership Team. □ Inclusive organizational structures encourage shared mission and direction and foster mutual accountability for school goals and student learning. □ Leadership fosters relationships with diverse stakeholders to ensure multiple perspectives are considered in decision making. □ Stakeholder input is sought at least 75% of the time. □ School leaders promote teacher leadership capacity within the school.	In addition to "Proficient": School leadership intentionally empowers staff to act as leaders of the school. School follows a defined process and structure for decision making and the leadership team meets regularly and has systems for working together to maximize student achievement. A diverse stakeholder group has a voice in decision making and ways in which student achievement at the school may be improved. A formalized process for teacher leadership is actively implemented. The school leadership team operates with clearly defined roles, responsibilities, expectations, norms and decision rules.		
3.2 Instructional Leadership	□ School leadership lacks an understanding of the student population and its needs. □ Leadership maintains a focus on managerial tasks rather than the instructional program.	□The school leader is able to articulate research informed instructional strategies that align with the needs of the school as well a classroom based evidence of these strategies. □Leadership monitors several key areas of academic achievement. □Leadership seeks and shares additional research to address lack of improvement.	□ School leader has a vision for instruction, evidence of which is reflected in classroom instruction and the school's instructional model. □ School leadership monitors the instructional program in its entirety, ensuring alignment between learning standards, objectives, classroom instruction, and organizational goals. □ School leadership regularly meets face to face with all teachers, following observations, to provide meaningful, clear and timely feedback aligned with teacher performance goals, designed to help teachers improve their instructional practice.	In addition to "Proficient": School leadership aggressively monitors the instructional program in its entirety, ensuring alignment between standards, objectives, classroom instruction, and organizational goals. School leadership builds teacher capacity related to standards, instruction, and assessment with a focus on integrating the use of research based practices. School leadership uses recognized, high quality coaching tools that are consistently used to help teachers improve their practice.		
3.3 Strategic Leadership	□ Limited protocols and processes exist to diagnose the current state of the school. □ No evidence of strategic planning or goal setting.	□ Leadership implements protocols and processes that are inconsistently utilized to diagnose the current state of the school. □ Planning demonstrates evidence of goal setting. □ Creates simple lists of tasks or items, without prioritizing importance or timelines.	□ Leadership implements protocols and processes to diagnose the current state of the school (including review of data, school practices, and instructional practices) are clear and transparent to all staff. □ Plans strategically by setting clear outcome targets and goals, methodical benchmarks to achieve those goals, and monitors progress of those goals. □ Organizes a complex activity into steps in a logical way (based on time, importance, resources needed, or other factors). □ Thinks analytically by analyzing a difficult problem from several perspectives before arriving at a detailed solution. □ Applies past knowledge of similarities, differences,	In addition to "Proficient": Strategic planning demonstrates alignment between vision/mission, strategic goals, student learning needs, instructional program strategies, and professional development plans. Breaks apart complex problems or processes in order to identify multiple sets of steps or parts, then anticipates multiple next steps and likely barriers before arriving at a detailed solution. Takes multiple actions to reduce risk and ensure success by anticipating barriers and planning ahead. Demonstrates ability to assess various courses of action before committing to particular path.		

			gaps, and trends to analyze new situations.	
3.4 Results-Driven	□ Identifies school challenges and needs but evidence setting goals or crafting strategic priorities. □ No system exists at leadership and whole-staff level to monitor, analyze, and assess progress towards goals. □ Leadership rarely reviews and responds data.	☐ Identifies and prioritizes goals for self, students, OR other adults but goals are not aligned to school challenges and needs. ☐ A system is in place at leadership OR whole-staff level to assess progress towards goals but progress monitoring and analyze are infrequent. ☐ Actions and next steps are determined independent of analysis of progress.	 □ Identifies and prioritizes goals for self, students, and other adults rooted in an understanding and analysis of school challenges and needs. □ Clear process in place for crafting strategic priorities and implementing plans to address them. □ Clear systems in place at leadership and whole-staff level to monitor, analyze, and assess progress towards goals. □ Actions and next steps are driven by analysis of progress. 	In addition to "Proficient": □ Sustains pursuit of measurable progress towards addressing problems and achieving results. □ Empowers others to take ownership of systems and processes to monitor, analyze, and assess progress towards goals. □ Raises the bar when a first goal is met; merely improving is not satisfactory.
3.5 Management	□ Gives in to others, even if conflicts with work goals. □ Does not give directions or communicate expectations. □ Rarely captures appropriate/accurate evidence of staff practice. □ Poor-performing staff members are rarely held accountable.	□ Leadership attempts to manage time. □ Gives detailed direction needed to communicate to others what needs to be done to accomplish work tasks □ Captures inadequate evidence of staff practice for making effective performance management decisions. □ Inconsistently holds poorperforming staff members accountable through a defined process.	□ Leadership is organized, strategically manages their own time, and prioritizes competing demands for time and attention based on schoolwide goals and areas of need. □ Ensures each staff position has clear performance expectations aligned with school mission; schoolwide expectations aligned with school mission; and school-wide expectations for instruction and culture. □ Consistent and transparent process for setting goals, monitoring performance, and assessing progress of each staff member to help improve professional and instructional practices. □ Accurately captures evidence of staff practice to make effective performance management decisions □ Holds poor-performing staff members immediately accountable for results through a thoughtful, fair, and transparent process.	In addition to "Proficient": Creates systems of support and development for all staff members acknowledging that support for high performance is as important as support for low performance. Holds all staff accountable for performance and strengthens the organizational capacity for high performance.
Indicator	Below Standard (1)	Developing (2)	Proficient (3)	Exemplary (4)
3.6 Influencing for	☐Difficult decisions are made without buy-in and	☐Communication is limited and not inclusive of various	Leverages multiple stakeholders to change ingrained behaviors and influence the thinking and mindset of	In addition to "Proficient": ☐Uses various tactics to help staff empathize with —

Results	result in turbulent	_ audiences and messages.	_ others.	or "put themselves in the shoes of" – those whom
	responses. Leader does not engage multiple stakeholders in changes. Communication is poor and ineffective.	■Works to include some stakeholder groups in changes, but some resistance or lack of buy-in exists. ■Occasionally tailors communication to appeal to multiple stakeholder groups. ■Few trusted influencers inside or outside of the school exist to champion change efforts.	□Thinks ahead about likely reactions and adapts or tailors communication to appeal to level and interest of audience, and takes more than one action to obtain desired impact in each situation. □Verbal and written communication is clear, concise, and effective. □Difficult decisions are communicated and resolved in way that seeks to build buy-in and minimize unproductive divisions. □Communication seeks to foster collaboration and consensus, while balancing need for decisiveness and efficiency. □Builds capacity in staff to reflect and improve on their	 they serve. Achieves early, visible wins not just for success in their own right, but to make it harder for others to oppose further change. Gains support of trusted influencers among staff and community and then work through these people to influence others.
3.7 Strategic Budgeting	☐The school has little to no decision making authority related to budget and resource allocation.	□The school has the authority to negotiate some decisions related to budget or shifted resources, however final decisions are made at the district level.	 own practice. The school has control over budgetary decisions including but not limited to staffing structure, teacher compensation, technology, and curricular supplements. Leadership sets specific action plans and has the authority to shift resources to align with those priorities. The school has a process for budget creation. The school has a process to determine effectiveness of resources allocated and non-instructional spending is minimized. 	In addition to "Proficient": ☐ The school has complete fiscal autonomy within an allotted school budget. ☐ The school uses a rigorous approach to measure the impact of differentiated budget decisions on student outcomes. ☐ The school has a clear process for budget creation that involves multiple stakeholders and relevant data.

	4. TALENT					
	Indicator	Below Standard (1)	Developing (2)	Proficient (3)	Exemplary (4)	
4.1	Staff recruitment, allocation, and strategic retention	☐The school has little or no control or strategy related to staff recruitment, allocation, or retention.	□The school follows district recruitment and hiring processes and timelines to access a pool of highly qualified candidates. □Staffing assignments are based on seniority or politics.	 □ The school has control over hiring decisions and recruits and hires teachers with commitment to and competence in the school's philosophy, design, instructional model, and priorities. □ The school has a recruitment and hiring process and timeline that ensures access to highly qualified candidates. □ School leadership implements a strategic approach to staffing assignments that matches teacher skills to student needs. □ The school has the authority to make the final determination about whether to strategically retain or non-renew teachers based on performance or fit. 	In addition to "Proficient": ☐ New hires are deliberately recruited and selected to fill missing staff capacity and gaps in expertise on teaching teams. ☐ A rigorous interview process includes lesson demonstrations, assessment of expertise, philosophy, and commitment. ☐ Teachers are assigned to differentiated roles to extend the reach of highly effective teachers. ☐ Teachers who consistently underperform on regular, rigorous, and fair evaluations are exited efficiently, while effective teachers are deliberately retained. ☐ Compensation systems are designed to attract and retain high performers. ☐ The school has control over all decisions related to staff recruitment, allocation, and retention.	
	Talent Development	□ The school does not offer professional learning opportunities at least on a quarterly basis. □ Teacher teams either do not meet, or meetings lack clarity of roles and outcomes or do not meet frequently enough to have an impact. □ Support for new and struggling teachers is lacking.	□ The school offers ongoing professional learning opportunities at least on a monthly basis, however topics are selected without considering student data, teacher development needs, or the instructional model. □ Educators regularly engage in collaborative team processes, however the purpose of these teams may be unclear or the tools and protocols to ensure effectiveness are lacking. □ While support for new and struggling teachers may exist, it is not provided in a systematized way.	 ☐ High-quality professional learning opportunities are provided at least on a monthly basis and designed to promote effective implementation of the instructional model and improve overall instructional practice. ☐ A school culture of feedback and reflection is created in order to intentionally develop all teachers professionally according to skill and need. ☐ Educators meet during regularly scheduled, uninterrupted times to collaborate, establish team improvement goals, and use data to inform instructional plans. ☐ Leadership requires teachers to demonstrate their learned competency in a regular and assessable way. ☐ New and struggling teachers are provided with ongoing targeted support. ☐ The school celebrates the accomplishments of outstanding teachers. ☐ School leaders ensure training and mentoring is provided to low-performing teachers to improve their performance. ☐ Ineffective classroom practice is addressed immediately and effectively. 	In addition to "Proficient": ☐ High-quality, job-embedded professional learning opportunities are differentiated and informed by ongoing analysis of student performance, instructional data, educators' learning needs, and organizational goals. Direct ties to the instructional model exist. ☐ Teacher teams are viewed as a way of doing business. ☐ Leadership evaluates the quality of professional learning opportunities through videotape reflection protocols or bi-weekly observations and face to face feedback. ☐ Opportunities exist for career advancement. ☐ New and struggling teachers are provided with ongoing, deep, targeted support.	
In	dicator	Below Standard (1)	Developing (2)	Proficient (3)	Exemplary (4)	
4.2	Evaluation	☐ School leaders do not	☐ A process of supervision and	Evaluations are used to improve professional and	In addition to "Proficient":	

	effectively or fully implement a transparent process for supervision and evaluation of teachers. Support for struggling teachers is lacking. Teachers communicate frustration or lack of understanding of the process.	evaluation is fully implemented; however, quality of feedback and support for low-performing teachers is insufficient to improve teacher performance. Teachers are unclear about the evaluation process.	 instructional practices, including the development of annual growth goals and regular and meaningful feedback to staff members. Evaluations are consistent, predictable (i.e. not sporadic), meaningful and coupled with clear, actionable next steps and professional supports (as appropriate). Individual professional growth plans are in place and being implemented for each educator. Teachers understand the evaluation process. School utilizes transparent student achievement goals in partnership with teachers in evaluation process. 	 Evaluations are used to improve professional and instructional practices, including the development of annual growth goals and regular and meaningful feedback to staff members to improve performance related to job responsibilities and growth goals. Teachers understand the evaluation process and regard it as an important factor in their professional growth.
4.4 Collaborative structures	■ Educators lack adequate and/or recurring professional development and/or common planning time. ■ Common planning time is currently disorganized and the time is not used effectively. As a result, staff members are unable to develop and/or share practices on a regular basis.	 ■ Most academic teams have common planning periods (less than 1 hour/week); however, the school has failed to secure vertical and horizontal planning. ■ Collaborative planning time is used at a basic level (e.g., organization of resources or topics not directly related to classroom instruction). 	 All academic teams have common planning periods (1-2 hours/week) and they are seldom interrupted by non-instructional tasks. Staff members use this time to discuss instructional strategies, discuss student work, develop curricular resources, and use data to adjust instruction. Common planning periods are tightly protected and only interrupted by emergencies. The school has established tight protocols to ensure that common planning time is used effectively. 	In addition to "Proficient": All educators have weekly common planning time for vertical and horizontal planning (more than 2 hours/week).