

Accountability Work Group Meeting – March 1, 2018 – Help Us Prioritize

Group with Lisa E., Shannon, Kirk, and Oliver

What Questions Will Arise?

- 1) Why would a school get identified through ESSA, not state need to show clear examples
- 2) Discuss the funding show how schools will get the supports
- 3) Does administration understand the dual system?
- 4) General consumer does not need to understand the details if schools are not identified and lead with support
- 5) How does the federal system incorporate the UIP process?
- 6) Comparative

- 1) Districts/schools that get identified for non-participation needs their own
- Need visuals side-by-side
 (similarities/differences) and CO values
 against fed requirements
- Clearly explain what supports are available under each identification
- 4) Alternative ED messaging around 4-year graduate rate



What Questions Will Arise?

-Why would a school get Identified through ESSA, not state show dear examples

-discurs the funding show how schools will get the
supports

-Does Administration understand the dual system

-General consumer doesn't need to understand the details if schools aren't identified - load of support

-Him does the federal system incorperate the upp process?

-comparative

What are the Best Tools/Strategies to Address those Questions?

-districts/schools that get identified for non-participation needs their ann

THA:

sul by side (similarities/ differences)

Visuals <

- Co values - against fed requirements

clearly explain what supports are available under each identification.

Alternative ED messaging around 4 yr. grad rate





Accountability Work Group Meeting - March 1, 2018 - Help Us Prioritize

Group with Leslie, Luis, and Christine M.

What Questions Will Arise?

- Questions will vary by audience practitioners – what is used for reporting v. accountability?
- 2) Parents where to find best info on school quality, which system is the most accurate/applicable?
- 3) Why are we doing any of this (accountability)?

- 1) Crosswalk of fed to state systems to be able to compare how they are different
- 2) Needs to be communicated more clearly that civil rights and transparency and actionable info is the rationale
- 3) Distinguishing various levels of accountability and purposes of assessment CDE could create template
 - o Ready v. READ Act Assessment
 - o CMAS v. PSAT/SAT
- 4) Clear communication to school leaders about identification/ESSA requirements, including to schools who are not identified for CS or TS

(Sccontability)

What Questions Will Arise?

Questions will vary by audiench.

Practitioners-what's used for reporting vs. accountability?

Parents-where to find best info on School quality, which system is the most accurate applicable?

why are we doing any of this

; peady vs. PEAD ACT 255ESS. vs. CMAS vs. PSAT/SAT

What are the Best Tools/Strategies to Address those Questions?

Crosswalk of fed to state systems to be able to compare how they re different

> Needs to be communicated more clearly that civil rights + transparency + actionable info is the rationale

Distinguishing various levels of accountability? Purposes of assessment-ODE could create template

clear communication to school leaders about identification / ESSA requirements, including to Schools who aren't identified for CS or TS





Accountability Work Group Meeting – March 1, 2018 – Help Us Prioritize

Group with Lori, Amie, and Janeen

What Questions Will Arise?

- In the federal system, how was the "nonproficient" rating calculated? – need documentation
- 2) Federal v. State Accountability systems? Why are they different?
- 3) Will schools/districts be able to use federal and/or state systems data for request to reconsider?
- 4) Which federal accountability rules are directly tied to funding for schools/districts?
- 5) What does "participation" mean and how does it impact accountability both federal and state?

- 1) Documentation that explains the calculation for "non-proficient"
- 2) Communication streams from CDE, districts, etc., and what expectations are based on group for communication
- 3) N/A
- 4) List funding that is connected to accountability rules
- 5) CDE website that has a page/link dedicated to explanation/impacts
- 6) Must communicate which info for accountability and which for information

What Questions Will Arise?

- (1) In the federal system, how was the "not proficient" rating calculated? (documentation)
- (2) Federal vs. State Accountability Systems? Why are they different?
- 3) Will schools/districts be able to use federal and/or state systems/datafor Reg. for Reconsider?
- (4) Which federal accountability rules are directly tied to funding for schools/distnets?
- (5) What does "participation" mean and how does it impact accountability federal and state?

What are the Best Tools/Strategies to Address those Questions?

- (1) Documentation that explains the calculation for "not proficient"
- (2) Communication streams from CDE, Districts, etc., and what expectations are based on group for communication
- (3) N/A
- (4) List funding that is connected to accountability rules
- (5) website (CDE) that has a page/link dedicated to explanation/impacts

*Must communicate which into for accountability + which for information





Accountability Work Group Meeting - March 1, 2018 - Help Us Prioritize

Group with Mary and Elena

What Questions Will Arise?

- 1) What are the consequences of each individual system (Federal and state)?
- 2) Why do we have two systems?
 - What are the differences between the two systems?
 - o Do the two systems align? If so, how?
- 3) What is the difference between targeted and comprehensive?
- 4) How do parent refusals impact the school and district ratings?
- 5) Which system/rating should I be paying more attention to?
- 6) What happens if the two ratings are significantly different?

- 1) Comparison charts/tables/visualizations might need to mirror the reporting structure (3 levels) for reporting to different constituencies?
- 2) Don't put out information on the two systems until the Federal is finalized
- 3) Technical help/expertise to help present and explain to various groups (educators, public, parents)
- Communication toolkit for schools and districts

What Questions Will Arise?

What Are the conscious or each indivious system (Fed & System)?

Which conscoronces your precedence?

Why he we have two systems?

What Are you differences by the your?

What is the difference by your deter and comprehensive?

As the your systems Alich? If so-her!

How do pasens returned in the party of the system (Rotting Should I be party mare Arturian to?)

What happens it for the Artings Are similarly difference.

What are the Best Tools/Strategies to Address those Questions?

Comparison Charts / TAbles / Viscalizations

miant new you mirror The Reporting

staucture (3 levels) Fun Reporting to

structure (3 levels) Fun Reporting to

structure constituences?

Don'y got out into on the your Systems

yill the Fed 13 Finglized.

Technical help/expartise to help prosunt

t explain you various Groups Cencratures,

prolit, perents).

Communication toolkiss For Schools of

Distauts.

MAATY & Eles A





Accountability Work Group Meeting – March 1, 2018 – Help Us Prioritize

Group with Heather, Judy, and Skupa

What Questions Will Arise?

- 1) Four-year graduation rate for AEC how does it fit in with pathways and grad guidelines?
- 2) 5% transcript devil lost in details
- 3) What is the implications of two different systems?
- 4) What system will be popular school rating use at schools?
- 5) What is relevancy of state data at this point? Public and board may not even pay attention anymore?

- 1) Level/red for kids
- 2) Communication

Heather & Judy Supa What questions? 1) - 4 year grad nate - for AEC ->
- how does t let with tenter pathways?
and grad guideling?

(2) 690 - 6 Level / red for lids AEC? So banscipt; Communication duel lost in details (3) What is the implication of 2 -stream syptems? (9) What stopt will be popular school rabing use? - At schools (3) What is pulmany of state data at may not even pay attention anymore?