Level: EMH

School: HOPE ON-LINE - 3995

District: DOUGLAS COUNTY RE 1 - 0900 (1 Year<sup>1</sup>)

## **Priority Improvement**

Will enter Year 3\* of Priority Improvement or Turnaround

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible <sup>2</sup>	
Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	27.1%	( 4.1 out of 15 points )	
Academic Growth	Approaching	46.4%	( 16.2 out of 35 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	45.8%	( 6.9 out of 15 points )	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Does Not Meet	31.7%	( 11.1 out of 35 points )	
Test Participation <sup>3</sup>	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		38.3%	( 38.3 out of 100 points )	

<sup>2</sup>Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

<sup>3</sup>Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

<b>Test Participation Rat</b>	es															
		% of Stu	dents Tested			Participa	tion Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total S	Students	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.4%	99.5%	98.3%	99.2%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	629	618	297	1544	633	621	302	1556
Mathematics	99.4%	99.4%	99.0%	99.3%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	628	617	299	1544	632	621	302	1555
Writing	99.2%	99.0%	99.0%	99.1%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	628	615	299	1542	633	621	302	1556
Science	97.9%	98.5%	100.0%	98.7%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	183	201	167	551	187	204	167	558
Colorado ACT	-	-	99.3%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	152	-	_	-	153	-





<sup>\*</sup> on July 1, 2013

Performance Indicators							Level: El	ementary Scho
School: HOPE ON-LINE							<b>District: DOUGLAS COUNTY F</b>	RE 1 - 0900 (1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	597	41.21	9	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	596	35.4	3	'
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	596	18.62	2	
Science	2	4		Approaching	169	21.3	15	
Total	5	16	31.3%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	346	40	53	No
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	349	29	69	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	347	35	67	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1	2		Approaching	379	44	38	Yes
Total	5	14	35.7%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequat Growth?
Reading	6	16	37.5%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	247	38	57	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	290	36	58	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	=
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	154	44	60	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	204	42	71	No
Mathematics	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	248	28	71	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	293	32	72	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	156	31	70	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	204	29	83	No
Writing	5	16	31.3%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	247	33	70	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	291	35	70	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	2	4	4	Approaching	154	40	69	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	274	35	75	No
Students needing to catch up	-	•		20001100111000				

						Leve	I: Middle Schoo
						<b>District: DOUGLAS COUNTY R</b>	E 1 - 0900 (1 Yea
Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
1	4		Does Not Meet	571	39.58	5	
1	4		Does Not Meet	570	16.67	3	
1	4		Does Not Meet	568	29.05	9	
1	4		Does Not Meet	185	13.51	5	
4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
						Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		Median Growth Percentile		Growth?
2	4		Approaching		48	64	No
1	4		Does Not Meet			95	No
2	4		Approaching		49	81	No
1	2		Approaching	170	43	49	No
6	14	42.9%	Approaching				
Points Farned	Points Fliaible	% Points	Ratina	Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate Growth?
					- rerecine	Growth reference	<u> </u>
		30/6		270	40	67	No
							No
			11 0				No No
							No
							No
	· ·	35%		340	+3		INO
		3370		378	39	95	No
							No
2	4						No
	4						No
1	4						No
10	20	50%	Approaching				
2	4		Approaching	375	49	83	No
2	4		Approaching	447	48	83	No
2	4		Approaching	58	45	95	No
2	4		Approaching Approaching	58 243	45 54	95 82	No No
	1 1 1 1 4  Points Earned  2 1 2 1 6  Points Earned  2 2 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 1 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 2	1	1       4         1       4         1       4         1       4         4       16       25%         Points Eligible % Points         2       4         1       4         2       4         1       2         6       14       42.9%         Points Eligible % Points         10       20       50%         2       4         2       4         2       4         2       4         2       4         2       4         2       4         2       4         2       4         2       4         2       4         2       4         2       4         2       4         2       4         1       4         2       4         1       4         2       4         2       4         1       4         2       4         2       4         2	1 4 Does Not Meet 2 4 Approaching 1 4 Approaching 1 2 Approaching 1 2 Approaching 6 14 42.9% Approaching Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating Approaching	1         4         Does Not Meet         571           1         4         Does Not Meet         568           1         4         Does Not Meet         185           1         4         Does Not Meet         185           4         16         25%         Does Not Meet         185           2         4         Approaching         537           1         4         Does Not Meet         535           2         4         Approaching         533           1         2         Approaching         170           6         14         42.9%         Approaching         Subgroup           Points Earned         Points Eligible         % Points         Rating         N           10         20         50%         Approaching         N           10         20         50%         Approaching         451           2         4         Approaching         59           2         4         Approaching         346           7         20         35%         Does Not Meet           1         4         Does Not Meet         449           2         4         Approachin	1         4         Does Not Meet         571         39.58           1         4         Does Not Meet         570         16.67           1         4         Does Not Meet         568         29.05           1         4         Does Not Meet         185         13.51           4         16         25%         Does Not Meet         185         13.51           4         16         25%         Does Not Meet         185         13.51           2         4         Approaching         537         48           1         4         Does Not Meet         535         39           2         4         Approaching         533         49           2         4         Approaching         533         49           4         4.         Approaching         533         49           4         4.         Approaching         533         49           4         4.         Approaching         170         43           5         4         Approaching         379         48         49           2         4         Approaching         451         48         49         49 <t< td=""><td>  Points Earned   Points Eligible   % Points   Rating   N   % Proficient/Advanced   School's Percentile    </td></t<>	Points Earned   Points Eligible   % Points   Rating   N   % Proficient/Advanced   School's Percentile

27

Total

60

45%

Approaching

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: High Schoo
School: HOPE ON-LINE						D	istrict: DOUGLAS COUNTY RE	1 - 0900 (1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	274	37.23	4	
Mathematics	1	4	'	Does Not Meet	276	5.8	3	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	276	15.58	4	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	154	16.23	6	
Total	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	237	55	73	No
Mathematics	2	4	1	Approaching	239	41	99	No
Writing	2	4	1	Approaching	239	54	98	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1.5	2		Meets	73	63	75	No
Total	8.5	14	60.7%	Approaching				
1000				, the recuiring				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	149	56	73	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	176	56	85	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	44	42	98	No
English Learners	3	4	'	Meets	71	60	91	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	162	54	93	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	151	44	99	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	177	41	99	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4	1	Approaching	44	47	99	No
English Learners	2	4	1	Approaching	71	47	99	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	214	40	99	No
Writing	12	20	60%	Approaching		·		
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	151	54	97	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	177	54	98	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	43	50	99	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	71	60	99	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	200	56	99	No
Total	35	60	58.3%	Approaching	200	30	33	140
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Farned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	1	4	70 T OIIIG	Does Not Meet		71/353/ <b>350</b> /179	30.6/39.9/ <b>44.6</b> /38.5%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	0.75	3	25%	Does Not Meet			30.37 <b>14.0</b> 7 30.370	3070
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.25	1	-J/0	Does Not Meet		107/126/ <b>110</b> /53	35.5/36.5/ <b>53.6</b> /45.3%	80%
Minority Students	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		168/182/ <b>186</b> /89	29.8/37.9/ <b>42.5</b> /27%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		26/21/ <b>18</b> /N<16	19.2/52.4/ <b>55.6</b> /-%	80%
English Learners	0.25	0		Poes Not Meet		6/N<16/N<16/N<16		80%
Dropout Rate	2	4		Annroaching	11/1	1317	-/-/-% 7.4%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	1	4		Approaching  Does Not Meet	,	152	15.8	20.0
·			21 70/			132	13.0	20.0
Total	4.75	15	31.7%	Does Not Meet				

4

Scoring Guide Level: EMH

	rformance Indicators on the School Performance Fram	errork neport			Datina	Point	Value	Total Possible nor EMU Laura	Framowork Do
formance Indicat					Rating	Point	value	Total Possible per EMH Leve	rramework Po
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or adve								
A d	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009				Exceeds		4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile	<u>`                                </u>			Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile		seline).		Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 b.				Does Not Meet		1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth per	centile and its median student growth	percentile was:		- 1	TCAP	CELA		
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	3	2	14	
	• below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets		1.5	(4 for each	
A d	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	1	content area	25
Academic	• below 30.			L	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student gro	wth percentile and its median studen	t growth percentile was:	T T		TCAP	CELA	English	
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4	2	language	
	• below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2	1	-	
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student • at or above 60.	growtn percentile and its median stud	ient growth percentile was:	т	Evenede		4	-	
	• below 60 but at or above 45.				Exceeds Meets		3	-	
	below 60 but at or above 45.      below 45 but at or above 30.			+	Approaching		2	60	
Acadamia				-			1	(4 for each of 5	
Academic	• below 30.	-tdt	diam at damt amazzatla		Does Not Meet		1	· `	45
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate	student growth percentile and its med	aian stuaent growth percent	tile was:	- 1		•	subgroups in 3	15
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds		4	subject areas)	
	• below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets		2	-	
	• below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching		1	-	
	• below 40.	. The colorelle and direction water (di			Does Not Meet		-		
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate	: The school's graduation rate/al	saggregatea graduation	rate was:	- 1	Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.				Exceeds	4	1	-	
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.				Meets	3	0.75	-	
	at or above 65% but below 80%.      below 65%.				Approaching	1	0.5	-	
				L	Does Not Meet	1	0.25	4.5	
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:							16	
ostsecondary and					Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readine		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Meets		3	indicator)	
	at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009)	-10 baseline).			Approaching		2	-	
	• above 10%.				Does Not Meet		1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average	Colorado ACT composite score w	as:						
	• at or above 22.				Exceeds		4		
	at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-1)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Meets		3		
	at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-1)	.0 baseline).			Approaching		2	-	
	• below 17.				Does Not Meet		1		L
-Points for each	performance indicator		Cut-Points for plan ty	ype assignr	ment				
Cı	it Point: The school earned of the points eligible on t	his Indicator.		<b>Cut Point:</b>	The school	earned	of the to	tal Framework points eligible	e.
chievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or ab	ove 60%				Performance
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework		ove 47% - belo	w 60%			Improvement
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or ab	ove 33% - belo	w 47%		Pr	iority Improvem
_	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 3	33%				Turnaround
ool plan type ass									
7,511,43,7,5-650	Plan description								
formance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Pe	erformance Plan	A school may not impleme	nt a Drioritu	Improvement	and/or Tu	rnaround f	llan for langer than a combined to	stal of
			, ,	•				lan for longer than a combined to	rtai UI
provement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an I	·	•			•		ture or close the school. The five iately following the fall in which tl	
ority Improveme	nt Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Pr								

5

## 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

## Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

#### Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

## Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading Mat			Math			Writing		Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41


## Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.53	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

### Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

6



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

SPF 2012 - 0900 - 3995

## **Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness**

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

#### State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

# This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	27.2	35.9	38.5
Anticipated Year	2009	22.9	38.2	44.6	
of Graduation	2010	26.2	39.9		
	2011	30.6			

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	31.8	39.3	45.3
Anticipated Year	2009	23.9	41.7	53.6	
of Graduation	2010	26.4	36.5		
	2011	35.5			

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	14.9	23.4	27
Anticipated Year	2009	20.5	34.2	42.5	
of Graduation	2010	26.1	37.9		
	2011	29.8			

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	26.3	38.9	55.6	
of Graduation	2010	22.7	52.4		
	2011	19.2			

#### **English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

#### State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

# This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	27.2	35.9	38.5
Anticipated Year	2009	22.9	38.2	44.6	
of Graduation	2010	26.2	39.9		
	2011	30.6			
	Aggregated	26.3	36.7	41.6	38.5

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	31.8	39.3	45.3
Anticipated Year	2009	23.9	41.7	53.6	
of Graduation	2010	26.4	36.5		
	2011	35.5			
	Aggregated	28.7	37.9	48.8	45.3

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	14.9	23.4	27
Anticipated Year	2009	20.5	34.2	42.5	
of Graduation	2010	26.1	37.9		
	2011	29.8			
	Aggregated	25.4	32	36.1	27

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	26.3	38.9	55.6	
of Graduation	2010	22.7	52.4		
	2011	19.2			
	Aggregated	22.4	44.2	61.3	N<16

## English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

7

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

SPF 2012 - 0900 - 3995