

Small Group Questions

1. The state plan was drafted in response to the federal guidelines associated with the ELCF grant application. What's missing? How could it be refined?
2. What recommendations do you have for prioritizing assurance areas (buckets) given the limited resources available currently
3. How do you see council coordinators participating in this work moving forward?
4. Other input?

Group 1

1. Missing social/emotion development; after screening supports; health; oral health, nutrition
2. Looked at K assessment with the right tool; strengthening families; connect with the need early on-services needed finding it early
3. Planning and implementation
4. Basically talking about criteria and evidence based criteria and then have program follow criteria; what is evidence based criteria for successful family engagement and see what approaches would be most effective.

Group 2

1. Balance between fully implemented and partially implemented met-not sure what they discussed
2. Keeping a strong focus on state systems because strong local systems equal strong state systems
3. Coordinator involvement-would like active ongoing involvement
4. Conversation around if the plan is that we require bachelor's for our providers we want to see pay follow that and dollars to help with those degrees

Group 3

1. Emphasis on other screenings besides what is done in the medical area
2. Common statewide standards for a number of things to ensure local implementation that is coordinated TQRIS and the need for the professional development task force-bachelor's degree; measurement to show making a difference for children
3. Ensure council coordinator's are engaged

Group 4

1. Communications and the need for a public engagement plan at the state level; data conversation linked to lack of universal definition of school readiness at the state level; longitudinal data and barriers to local data sharing
2. Had a hard time prioritizing and couldn't raise one over the other; broad statewide approach; move the needle on something and establish a state goal in something to move the work forward
3. Communications; public engagement; best practices; have experience to share; common messages; ECLC should be actively involved; outreach to stakeholders

Group 5

1. Addressed the issue in general and looked at it overall and asked the question where did the application get traction and good feedback? TQRIS; state and local systems development.
2. Leverage what we are already doing well. Sustainability and could grow in a really good direction
3. Utilize ECCLA as a fiscal planning resource

Group 6

1. Recommendations-missing didn't talk about this much
2. Implementing results matter into the K entry assessment and having those standardized assessments-statewide recommendations or standards that we could all meet; systems building, TQRIS
3. Continue systems building and the rest will follow; family engagement and partnerships and start early