



Administrative Unit State Performance Plan Indicator Profile 2009-2010

Administrative Unit: Adams/Arapahoe 28J, Aurora

Total Student Enrollment	36,967
Students with Disabilities Served (TOTAL)	3,917
Infants Aged 0-2	0
Aged 3-5	553
Aged 6-21	3,364

Colorado's State Performance Plan (2009) Data Indicators

Indicator 1: Graduation Rates¹

Graduation Rates	AU Rate	State Target ²	State Actual ³
Percent of students with disabilities ages 14 - 21 who graduated with a regular diploma.	25.43%	63%	52%

Definition is aligned with ESEA

Indicator 2: Drop-Out Rates1

Drop-Out Rates	AU Rate	State Target	State Actual ²
Percent of students with disabilities ages 14 - 21 who dropped out or moved and are not known to be continuing.	4.0%	2.4%	2.3%

² Target set by Office of Federal Programs Administration, CDE for ESEA

³ Does not include students in Eligible Facilities or State Operated Programs

¹ Definition is aligned with <u>ESEA</u>
² Does not include students in Eligible Facilities or State Operated Programs

Adams/Arapahoe 28J, Aurora Indicator Profile Page 2 of 4

Indicator 3: Assessment

Participation and Performance Rates	AU	State Target	State Performance
Participation rate of students with disabilities for Reading assessments	97.18%	100%	97.04%
Participation rate of students with disabilities for Math assessments	97.18%	100%	97.27%
Proficiency rate for Reading assessments: CSAP & CSAPA	35.44%	59.0%	54.07%
Proficiency rate for Math assessments: CSAP & CSAPA	35.62%	53.5%	47.63%
Percent of Districts in Colorado Making AYP Objectives for Progress for the Disability Subgroup		28.0%	13.64%

Indicator	1.	Sucnan	cion	/Evnu	leion
inaicator	4:	Suspen	SION	CXDU.	ISTOTI

Discipline Rates Per 100 Students	
Overall state rate of students with disabilities with long-term suspensions/expulsions	0.455 Students per 100
Cut-off for Significant Discrepancy from State Median	2.73 Students per 100
AU Rate	1.63 Students per 100
AU Rate Exceed Benchmark?	No
Does the AU demonstrate disproportionate representation of suspensions/expulsions by race/ethnicity that is inappropriate?	TBD

Indicator 5: School Age Least Restrictive Environment (LRE)

Program/Placement	AU	State Target	State Performance
Percent of students with disabilities in regular class at least 80% of the time	74.5%	71.1%	70.1%
Percent of students with disabilities in regular class less than 40% of the time	13.7%	7.4%	9.2%
Percent of students with disabilities in separate schools/facilities, homebound or hospital	3.1%	3.8%	3.1%

Indicator 6: Preschool LRE

Program/Placement	AU	State Target	State Performance
Percent of preschool students with disabilities in early childhood settings			

Indicator 7: Preschool Outcomes See below

Indicator 8: Parent Involvement

Survey Responses	AU	State Target	State Performance
Percent of who responded to the parent survey and reported that schools facilitated parent involvement to improve services and results.	46.3%	60%	51.2%

Indicator 9: Disproportionality – Child with a Disability

Indicator 10: Disproportionality - Eligibility Category

Disproportionality	Inappropriate Identification	State Target	State Performance	Disproportionality	Inappropriate Identification	State Target	State Performance
Does the district have disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification?	No	0%	0%	Does the district have disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification?	No	0%	1.7%

Indicator 11: Child Find

Evaluation/Eligibility Timelines	AU	State Target	State Performance
Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate, who were evaluated and eligibility was determined within 60 days	94.3%	100.00%	99%

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Transition from Part C to Part B	AU	State Target	State Performance
Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, whose eligibility was determined with an IEP implemented by their third birthday (includes students found not eligible).	91.2%	100%	99%

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Transition	AU	State Target	State Performance
Percent of youth aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post- secondary goals	*	100.00%	85%

^{*}AU not included in sample; was sampled in 2008-09

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Transition	AU	State Target	State Performance
Percent of youth who had IEPs, are no longer in secondary school, and have been:			
a.) Enrolled in higher education	17%	NA	31.1%
b.) Enrolled in higher education or competitively employed	37%	NA	56.2%
c.) Enrolled in higher education or some other education; or competitively employed or in some other employment	48%	NA	60.2%
Within one year of leaving high school.			

Adams/Arapahoe 28J, Aurora Indicator Profile Page 4 of 4

Indicator 7: Preschool Outcomes

Summary Statements	AU Performance	State Target	State Performance			
Outcome A: Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships)						
Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome A, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program	64%	77.8%	83.1%			
The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome A by the time they exited the program	66%	76.6%	84.3%			
Outcome B: Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)						
Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome B, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program	60%	76.3%	75.7%			
The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome B by the time they exited the program	52%	71.5%	73.5%			
Outcome C: Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs						
Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome C, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program	61%	76.0%	79.8%			
The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome C by the time they exited the program	68%	74.5%	84.2%			