Hub Input for Accountability Spoke Committee
September 12, 2016

| Decision Points and Areas of Misalignment | Green font represents comments submitted by more than one group or person |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Considerations Recommended for the Spoke Committee | Data or Information That Would Help Decision-Making | Questions |
| English learner progress measure(s) and English learner assessment policy (1st year in US) <br> In collaboration with assessment spoke | - Would like to see where we are. <br> - Baseline is first year and growth in future years <br> - What data do we have on each district re when they engage in testing? $\rightarrow$ Options A or B? <br> - Consider an additional subgroup of these students; consider common arguments | - How many do not complete assessment due to frustration? <br> - Which districts have the most/highest percentage of these students? <br> - How many district opt not to test these students in the first year and why? <br> - Is it beneficial to separate 1st year El kids to see growth \& achievement faster? <br> - How many districts have a high \# of newly arrived ELs? <br> - How many districts opt out of first year? | - What data loss do we have with each racial group? <br> - What would be the additional cost of requiring all students to take? <br> - What would a sub-subgroup of these students looks like? <br> - Is this feasible? |
| "Other indicator" of school quality or student success | - Student \& parent satisfaction <br> - Attendance/chronic absenteeism <br> - Certificates / Industry credentials, AP, Concurrent enrollment - might have to be phased in as a flexible package (like grad guidelines) <br> - What measures we already have? <br> - Consider ways to incorporate parental satisfaction <br> - Consider ways to incorporate parent and student satisfaction surveys | - Other states considering or using this data (precedent)? <br> - Cost of survey work annual in each district <br> - Satisfaction research in other educational fields \& over seas | - Are there funds available to do surveys? |


| Decision Points and Areas of Misalignment | Green font represents comments submitted by more than one group or person |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Considerations Recommended for the Spoke Committee | Data or Information That Would Help Decision-Making | Questions |
| Participation requirements <br> - Parent excuses counted as nonproficient and non-participants <br> - 95\% participation (including parent excusals) included as an impact in accountability ratings | - Consider Colo's current law \& SBE policy <br> - Consider positive incentives for 95\% participation <br> - Require student posting of scores on transcript <br> - What are the pieces / waivers we can go after with USDE? <br> - Kids should be counted even if they do not test to avoid incentivizing systematic encouragement of opt out <br> - Is there a way to turn high participation into a positive incentive instead of a punitive issue? <br> - Kids who don't take tests have to be counted. | - Success stories in reducing opt out percentages at the district level? Salida? Jeffco? <br> - Any districts that have turned around low participation rates? | How do we address contradiction in statute itself? <br> §1111(b)(2)(K): "Nothing in this paragraph shall be construed as preempting a State or local law regarding the decision of a parent to not have the parent's child participate in the academic assessments." <br> - What wiggle room does the state have? <br> - Is there a way to get valid/reliable data at a lower percentage? <br> - Can we prove it to USDE? <br> - Is a waiver here possible? <br> - How might that work? <br> - How could we sell it? <br> - Is there a \% other than 95 that would allow/satisfy the validity to calculate data, achievement, etc. |
| Long-term goals and interim measures | - Justify current policy <br> - Should consider raising expectations <br> - How is grad rate calculated? 4? 5? 6? 7? | - Possibility of formative assessments as interim measurements? | - What are other states doing here? <br> - Is there a way to tie these goals to AGP? Or is that already the case? <br> - Can we measure growth goals? |


| Decision Points and Areas of Misalignment | Green font represents comments submitted by more than one group or person |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Considerations Recommended for the Spoke Committee | Data or Information That Would Help Decision-Making | Questions |
| N size and reporting rules | - Should stick to 16 <br> - Impact on small rural districts on reporting? what is the impact on reporting for 16 v . 20? <br> - Stick with 16 | - Impact analysis - show the \# of schools that would have data suppressed if minority group is divided up into each major race/ethnicity. <br> - How we can maximize into to the public | - How does this affect data suppression? <br> - What are the effects of increasing/decreasing this number on privacy \& district/school ratings? |
| Method for identifying and exiting comprehensive and targeted support schools <br> In collaboration with school improvement committee | - When public reporting, use easier to understand ratings <br> - Summative rating that is easy to understand <br> - this should be an additional consideration <br> - Do we need 5 levels to id accountability? Do we need to change SB 163? Do we need another criteria to consider: External factors, migration, FRL, EL, etc. <br> - Parent friendly / public facing easier to read ratings |  |  |

