

Exceptional Student Services Unit 1560 Broadway, Suite 1100 Denver, CO 80202-5149

Amy Lloyd EL PASO 2, HARRISON El Paso SD 2 1060 Harrison Road Colorado Springs, CO 80905-3586

April 27, 2023

Dear Amy Lloyd,

As a condition for accepting Federal (IDEA) funds dedicated to meeting the individual needs of students with disabilities, the CDE must annually review the Administrative Unit (AU)'s performance to determine if the AU:

- 1) Meets the requirements and purposes of Part B of IDEA,
- 2) Needs assistance in implementing the requirements of Part B of IDEA,
- 3) Needs intervention in implementing the requirements of Part B of IDEA, or
- 4) Needs substantial intervention in implementing the requirements of Part B of IDEA.

34 C.F.R. § 300.603(b)(1)

Based on an evaluation using the criteria identified below, the CDE has made the following determinations for EL PASO 2, HARRISON:

- Compliance Determination: Needs Intervention
- Results Determination: Meets Requirements

Overall Determination for EL PASO 2, HARRISON: **Needs Assistance** for the implementation of Part B of the IDEA for SY2021-2022.

The AU's performance is measured based on information provided by the AU, information obtained through monitoring visits, and other public information made available to the AU. In making the determination in Spring 2023, the CDE considered data submitted by EL PASO 2, HARRISON throughout SY2021-2022 in the following manner:



- 1. A **Compliance Matrix** that includes scoring on SPP/APR Compliance Indicators and other compliance elements
- 2. A **Results Matrix** that includes scoring on Results Elements
- 3. A Compliance Score and Compliance Determination
- 4. A Results Score and Results Determination
- 5. An **AU Percentage** based on the Compliance Score and the Results Score. The Compliance Score is weighted at **50%** and the Results Score is weighted at **50%** to calculate the RDA Percentage.
- 6. A consideration of Special Conditions
- 7. The AU's overall **Determination**

SPP/APR compliance indicators and other compliance elements examined in the current determination:

Indicator 4A: Whether the AU has significant discrepancy from the state in the rate of out-of-school suspensions/expulsions of students with disabilities that sum up to greater than 10 days in a school year.

Indicator 4B: Whether the AU has disproportionate representation by race/ethnicity in the rate of out-of-school suspensions/expulsions of students with disabilities that sum up to greater than 10 days in a school year, and the disproportionate representation is due to inappropriate policies, procedures, or practices.

Indicator 9: Whether the AU has disproportionate representation of students with disabilities by race/ethnicity due to inappropriate policies, procedures, or practices.

Indicator 10: Whether the AU has disproportionate representation of students with disabilities by race/ethnicity in a specific disability category due to inappropriate policies, procedures, or practices.

Indicator 11: Percent of children who were evaluated within 60 calendar days of receiving parental consent for initial evaluation.

Indicator 12: Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.

Indicator 13: Percent of youth ages 15 and above with an IEP that includes each of the required components for secondary transition.

Timely and Accurate Data Submission: The timeliness and accuracy of data submitted by the AUs under section 616 and 618 of the IDEA.

SPP/APR results indicators and other results elements examined in the current determination:

Indicator 1: Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from high school with a regular diploma.

Indicator 2: Percent of youth ages 14 and above with IEPs who exited from school due to dropping out.

Indicator 3: Statewide assessments participation, regular assessment mean scale score, and alternate assessment proficiency rate.

In addition, the following results elements are also included:



- 1. Median Growth Percentile in ELA and Math
- 2. Rise Up in ELA and Math (No data in SY2021-2022)
- 3. Keep Up in ELA and Math (No data in SY2021-2022)
- 4. For information only: Regular assessment mean scale score in ELA and Math with "IEP exiters" included. (Please see "How CO Made Determinations 2023," for detailed information.)

Indicator 7: Percent of preschool children who showed substantial growth and those who reached age expectations by the time they exited the program in positive socio-emotional skills, acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and use of appropriate behaviors.

Indicator 14: Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school, and

- 1. Whom AUs attempted to contact.
- 2. Participated in the post-school outcome interview.
- 3. Interview results indicate that the youth is enrolled in higher ed or some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.

Please access http://www.cde.state.co.us/cdesped/determperf for various resources related to the determinations.

CDE is committed to supporting EL PASO 2, HARRISON in its efforts to improve results for children with disabilities and looks forward to working with you over the next year.

If you have any questions regarding this determination or the process, please contact Gloria Durosko at Durosko g@cde.state.co.us.

Sincerely,

Paul Foster, Ed.D


Executive Director; State Director of Special Education

Exceptional Student Services Unit

Posted to http://www.cde.state.co.us/cdesped/determperf on 5/5/2023







AU Compliance Matrix 2023



Administrative Unit: 21020 - El Paso 2, Harrison

4A: Significant discrepancy in the rate of suspension and expulsion from the state rate. 2 - The rate of children with disabilities who received suspensions/expulsions for > 10 days in a school year was below 2.62 1 - Rate is above threshold for the current and previous two school years 4B: Significant discrepancy in the rate of suspensions and expulsions from the state rate by race and ethnicity. 4B: Significant discrepancy in the rate of suspensions and expulsions from the state rate by race and ethnicity. 2 - No racial category was found with significant discrepancy for current year 0 - At least one racial category was found with significant discrepancy for current even on the state rate by race found to centricitie to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards. Indicator 9: Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services due to inappropriate identification. Indicator 10: Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services due to inappropriate identification. Indicator 10: Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories due to inappropriate identification. Indicator 10: Disproportionate representation due to inappropriate identification for indicators 9 and 10. 2 - No racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the current and previous year 2 - No racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the current and previous year Indicator 11: Timely initial evaluation 99% 2 2 Indicator 12: IEP developed and implemented by third birthday. 90% 2 0 Indicator 13: Secondary transition 2 - Indicators were at least 95% compliant 1 - Indicators were at least 95% compliant 1 - Indicators were at least 95% compliant in the current year QR less than 95% com	Indicator	Performance	Points Eligible	Points Earned						
### Significant discrepancy in the rate of suspensions and expulsions from the state rate by race and ethnicity. ### Significant discrepancy in the rate of suspensions and expulsions from the state rate by race and ethnicity. ### Significant discrepancy in the rate of suspensions and expulsions from the state rate by race and ethnicity. ### Significant discrepancy for Indicator 4B ### I Discrepancy for African American ### I Discrepancy for African American American American American ### I Discrepancy for African American A		1.16	2	2						
## AB: Significant discrepancy in the rate of suspensions and expulsions from the state rate by race and ethnicity. ## African American 2	1 = Rate is above threshold for current year									
## At least one racial category was found with significant discrepancy for the current and the previous two school years, and 2) policies, procedures, and/or practices were found to contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedurel safeguards. Indicator 9: Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services due to inappropriate identification. Indicator 10: Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services due to inappropriate identification. Indicator 10: Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories due to inappropriate identification. 2 = No racial category was found with disproportionate representation due to inappropriate identification for Indicators 9 and 10. 1 = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the current and previous year Indicator 11: Timely initial evaluation 99% 2 2 Indicator 12: IEP developed and implemented by third birthday. 90% 2 0 Indicator 13: Secondary transition 100% 2 2 Indicators were at least 95% compliant 1 = Indicators were at least 75% and less than 95% compliant 0 = Indicators were at least 75% and less than 95% compliant 1 = Indicators were east least 75% and less than 95% compliant 1 = Indicators were east least Submission Timely and Accurate Data Submission Yes 2 1 Special Education December Count, Special Education End of Year Collection, Special Education Discipline Collection, and Indicator 13 file review 2 = All above submissions were late and/or inaccurate 1 = One or two of the above submissions were least and/or inaccurate 1 = One or two of the above submissions were least and/or inaccurate 1 = One or two of the above submissions were least and/or inaccurate 1 = One or two of the above submissions were		Discrepancy for	2	1						
in special education and related services due to inappropriate identification. Indicator 10: Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories due to inappropriate identification. 2 2 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1 = At least one racial category was found with significant discrepancy for current year 0 = At least one racial category was found with significant discrepancy for the current and the previous two school years, and 2) policies, procedures, and/or practices were found to contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the									
in specific disability categories due to inappropriate identification. 2 = No racial category was found with disproportionate representation due to inappropriate identification for Indicators 9 and 10. 1 = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the current year 0 = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the current and previous year Indicator 11: Timely initial evaluation 99% 2 Indicator 12: IEP developed and implemented by third birthday. 90% 2 Indicator 13: Secondary transition 100% 2 2 = Indicators were at least 95% compliant 1 = Indicators were at least 75% and less than 95% compliant 0 = Indicators were less than 75% compliant in the current year OR less than 95% compliant for the current and previous year Timely and Accurate Data Submission Special Education December Count, Special Education End of Year Collection, Special Education Discipline Collection, and Indicator 13 file review 2 = All above submissions were both timely and accurate 1 = One or two of the above submissions were late and/or inaccurate 0 = Three or four of the above submissions were late and/or inaccurate Total Points Available: Compliance Points Earned: Compliance Score: 75 out of 100	in special education and related services due to inappropriate		2	2						
1 = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the current year 0 = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the current and previous year Indicator 11: Timely initial evaluation 99% 2 2 Indicator 12: IEP developed and implemented by third birthday. 90% 2 0 Indicator 13: Secondary transition 100% 2 2 Indicators were at least 95% compliant 1 = Indicators were at least 75% and less than 95% compliant 0 = Indicators were at least 75% and less than 95% compliant 0 = Indicators were less than 75% compliant in the current year OR less than 95% compliant for the current and previous year Timely and Accurate Data Submission Yes 2 1 Special Education December Count, Special Education End of Year Collection, Special Education Discipline Collection, and Indicator 13 file review 2 = All above submissions were both timely and accurate 1 = One or two of the above submissions were late and/or inaccurate 0 = Three or four of the above submissions were late and/or inaccurate Total Points Available: Compliance Points Earned: Compliance Score: 75 out of 100			2	2						
Indicator 12: IEP developed and implemented by third birthday. 100% 2 2	1 = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the current year									
Indicator 13: Secondary transition 2 = Indicators were at least 95% compliant 1 = Indicators were at least 75% and less than 95% compliant 0 = Indicators were less than 75% compliant in the current year OR less than 95% compliant for the current and previous year Timely and Accurate Data Submission Yes 2 1 Special Education December Count, Special Education End of Year Collection, Special Education Discipline Collection, and Indicator 13 file review 2 = All above submissions were both timely and accurate 1 = One or two of the above submissions were late and/or inaccurate 0 = Three or four of the above submissions were late and/or inaccurate Total Points Available: Compliance Points Earned: Compliance Score: 75 out of 100	Indicator 11: Timely initial evaluation	99%	2	2						
2 = Indicators were at least 95% compliant 1 = Indicators were at least 75% and less than 95% compliant 0 = Indicators were less than 75% compliant in the current year OR less than 95% compliant for the current and previous year Timely and Accurate Data Submission Special Education December Count, Special Education End of Year Collection, Special Education Discipline Collection, and Indicator 13 file review 2 = All above submissions were both timely and accurate 1 = One or two of the above submissions were late and/or inaccurate 0 = Three or four of the above submissions were late and/or inaccurate Total Points Available: Compliance Points Earned: Compliance Score: 75 out of 100	Indicator 12: IEP developed and implemented by third birthday.	90%	2	0						
1 = Indicators were at least 75% and less than 95% compliant 0 = Indicators were less than 75% compliant in the current year OR less than 95% compliant for the current and previous year Timely and Accurate Data Submission Yes 2 1 Special Education December Count, Special Education End of Year Collection, Special Education Discipline Collection, and Indicator 13 file review 2 = All above submissions were both timely and accurate 1 = One or two of the above submissions were late and/or inaccurate 0 = Three or four of the above submissions were late and/or inaccurate Total Points Available: Compliance Points Earned: Compliance Score: 75 out of 100	Indicator 13: Secondary transition	100%	2	2						
Special Education December Count, Special Education End of Year Collection, Special Education Discipline Collection, and Indicator 13 file review 2 = All above submissions were both timely and accurate 1 = One or two of the above submissions were late and/or inaccurate 0 = Three or four of the above submissions were late and/or inaccurate Total Points Available: Compliance Points Earned: Compliance Score: 75 out of 100	1 = Indicators were at least 75% and less than 95% compliant									
Indicator 13 file review 2 = All above submissions were both timely and accurate 1 = One or two of the above submissions were late and/or inaccurate 0 = Three or four of the above submissions were late and/or inaccurate Total Points Available: Compliance Points Earned: Compliance Score: 75 out of 100	Timely and Accurate Data Submission	Yes	2	1						
Compliance Points Earned: 12 Compliance Score: 75 out of 100	Indicator 13 file review 2 = All above submissions were both timely and accurate 1 = One or two of the above submissions were late and/or inaccurate									
Compliance Score: 75 out of 100	Total Points Available:									
	·									
Compliance Determination. Needs intervention	·									
90 to 100 = Meets Requirements 80 to 89 = Needs Assistance 0 to 79 = Needs Intervention	·	0 to 79 = Needs Intervention								

AU Results Matrix 2023



Administrative Unit: 21020 - El Paso 2, Harrison

State Assessme	ent Partic	ipation	Detail				
ELA							
Participated	841				Participated	834	
Excused	0				Excused	0	
Parent Opt-out	32				Parent Opt-out	33	
Unexcused	14				Unexcused	18	
OSEP Participation Rate	94.8%				OSEP Participation Rate	94	.2%
CO IEP Participation Rate	98.4%				CO IEP Participation Rate	97	.9%
State Assessment Participation (Part of Indicator 3a)		N	57 %	AU's Percentile	Rubric	Points Eligible	Points Earned
ELA Participation		841	98.4%	73	95%≤AU = 3	3	3
Math Participation		834	97.9%	73	95%≤AU = 3 AU<95% = 0	3	3
Regular Assessmen	nt	037	Mean Scale	/3		Points	Points
(Part of Indicator 3b)		N	Score	AU's Percentile	Rubric	Eligible	Earned
ELA Mean Scale Score (reg)	Current IEP	681	703.0	E4	$AU \ge 712.0 = 9$ $712 > AU \ge 701.9 = 6$ $701.9 > AU \ge 693.6 = 3$ AU < 693.6 = 0	9	6
(105)	* IEP Exiter	80	745.6	51			0
	* Combined	761	707.5				
Math Mean Scale Sore (reg)	Current IEP	674	702.3	49	$AU \ge 711.8 = 9$ $711.8 > AU \ge 701.1 = 6$ $701.1 > AU \ge 694.2 = 3$		
(reg)	* IEP Exiter	80	725.5				6
* IEP Exiter and Combined provided for information only	* Combined	754	704.8		AU < 694.2 = 0		
Alternate Assessment		N	%	AU's Percentile	Rubric	Points Eligible	Points Earned
Alternate Assessment (Part of Indicator 3c) ELA Prof Rate (Alt)		105	44.8%	81	AU \geq 48.8% = 6 48.8% > AU \geq 31.6% = 4 31.6% > AU \geq 17.9% = 2 AU < 17.9% = 0	6	4
Math Prof Rate (Alt)		105	41.0%	92	$AU \ge 26.7\% = 6$ $26.7\% > AU \ge 14.1\% = 4$ $14.1\% > AU \ge 6.9\% = 2$ AU < 6.9% = 0	6	6
Note:							
Preschool Achieve Growth (Indicator 7)**	ment and	N	% Succeeded	AU's Percentile	Rubric 0 .5 1.0 1.5	Points Eligible	Points Earned
A. Positive social- emotional skills	A1. Growth	40	41.4%	5	73.9%·····82%·····91.5%	1.5	0.0
	A2. Achievement		45.0%	5	59.6%·····67.5%·····82.8%	1.5	0.0
B. Acquisition & Use of Knowledge and Skills	B1. Growth	40	43.8%	2	72.1%·····80.4%·····91.2%	1.5	0.0
	B2. Achievement		40.0%	12	55.9%·····69.3%·····81.8%	1.5	0.0
C. Use of appropriate	C1. Growth	40	56.3%	12	66.7%·····76.2%·····86.6%	1.5	0.0
behaviors to meet their needs	C2. Achievement		47.5%	8	61.8%·····71.4%·····86.0%	1.5	0.0
Note:							
		Achieve	ment Poin	ts Earned:	28.0	out of	45

^{**}Growth = Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by time of exit from the program; Achievement = functioning within age expectations by time of exit

COLORADO **AU Results Matrix 2023 Continued** Department of Education Administrative Unit: 21020 - El Paso 2, Harrison Points Points Median Growth Median Growth Percentile Ν %ile AU's Percentile Rubric Eligible Earned $AU \ge 47 = 15$ $47 > AU \ge 39.1 = 10$ ELA 292 41.0 75 15 10 $39.1 > AU \ge 33 = 5$ AU < 33 = 020% $AU \ge 47 = 15$ $47 > AU \ge 40.7 = 10$ Academic Growth Math 169 46.0 70 15 10 $40.7 > AU \ge 34.5 = 5$ AU < 34.5 = 0**Points Points** Rise Up N % Rise Up AU's Percentile Rubric Eligible **Earned** 90th≤AU = 4 ELA 45 30 50th≤AU<90th = 3 no data no data Math 45 30 AU<15th = Points **Points** Keep Up Ν % Keep Up AU's Percentile Rubric Eligible Earned 90th≤AU = 4 ELA 15 10 50th≤AU<90th = 3 no data no data 10 Math 15 AU<15th = Academic Growth Points Earned: 100 out of 150 Highest Rate Points Points **Graduation Rate** Ν Percentile Eligible **Earned** %Graduated Rubric 4 Year Grad Rate 61.8% $AU \ge 92.3\% = 21$ 76 5 Year Grad Rate 62.9% $92.3\% > AU \ge 79.2\% = 14$ 70 42 21 14 6 Year Grad Rate 82.4% $79.2\% > AU \ge 66.9\% = 7$ 74 7 Year Grad Rate 80.0% 55 AU < 66.9% = 0Note: **IEP Dropout Exiter Rate** Readiness **Points** %Dropout Points **Indicator 2** Exiters AU's Percentile Rubric Eligible Earned Ν AU <6.5% = **42** Rate $6.5\% \le AU < 19\% = 28$ 74 27.0% 81 42 14 Workforce $N = students age \ge 14$ who exited schools $19\% \le AU < 34.2\% = 14$ % = students who exited due to dropping out $AU \ge 34.2\% = 0$ Note: **Post-School Outcomes Points Points** Indicator 14 Rubric Eligible **Earned** Contacts Attempted AU = 100% = 6100.0% 84 N = Students in Sample 6 6 AU < 100% = 0% = Contact attempted Students Participated $60\% \le AU = 6$ N = # in adjusted sample 51.2% 84 0 6 60% > AU = 0% = of students who participated out of adjusted $AU \ge 91.5\% = 30$ Outcome (Enrolled in higher ed, or in some Percentile $91.5\% > AU \ge 75.3\% = 20$ other postsecondary ed or training program; or 43 81.4% 30 20 75.3%> AU ≥59.8% = 10 competitively employed or in some other Rank 48 AU < 59.8% = 0employment) PS and Workforce Points Earned: 54 out of 105 Academic Achievement: out of 45 28.0 Academic Growth: 100.0 out of 150 PS and Workforce Readiness: out of 105 54.0 Final Results Score: **182.0** out of **300.0 Meets Requirements Results Determination:** 0 to 109 = Needs Intervention

110 to 169 = Needs Assistance

If the AU's final points eligible are less than 300, the original scores have been adjusted to meet the full scale of 45, 150, or 105 proportionally.

170 to 300 = Meets Requirements