

Exceptional Student Services Unit 1560 Broadway, Suite 1100 Denver, CO 80202-5149

Mari Stevenson DURANGO 9-R Durango 9-R 201 East 12th Street Durango, CO 81301

April 27, 2023

Dear Mari Stevenson,

As a condition for accepting Federal (IDEA) funds dedicated to meeting the individual needs of students with disabilities, the CDE must annually review the Administrative Unit (AU)'s performance to determine if the AU:

- 1) Meets the requirements and purposes of Part B of IDEA,
- 2) Needs assistance in implementing the requirements of Part B of IDEA,
- 3) Needs intervention in implementing the requirements of Part B of IDEA, or
- 4) Needs substantial intervention in implementing the requirements of Part B of IDEA.

34 C.F.R. § 300.603(b)(1)

Based on an evaluation using the criteria identified below, the CDE has made the following determinations for DURANGO 9-R:

- Compliance Determination: Meets Requirements
- Results Determination: Meets Requirements

Overall Determination for DURANGO 9-R: **Meets Requirements** for the implementation of Part B of the IDEA for SY2021-2022.

The AU's performance is measured based on information provided by the AU, information obtained through monitoring visits, and other public information made available to the AU. In making the determination in Spring 2023, the CDE considered data submitted by DURANGO 9-R throughout SY2021-2022 in the following manner:



1. A **Compliance Matrix** that includes scoring on SPP/APR Compliance Indicators and other compliance elements

- 2. A Results Matrix that includes scoring on Results Elements
- 3. A Compliance Score and Compliance Determination
- 4. A Results Score and Results Determination

5. An **AU Percentage** based on the Compliance Score and the Results Score. The Compliance Score is weighted at **50%** and the Results Score is weighted at **50%** to calculate the RDA Percentage.

- 6. A consideration of Special Conditions
- 7. The AU's overall Determination

SPP/APR compliance indicators and other compliance elements examined in the current determination:

Indicator 4A: Whether the AU has significant discrepancy from the state in the rate of out-of-school suspensions/expulsions of students with disabilities that sum up to greater than 10 days in a school year.

Indicator 4B: Whether the AU has disproportionate representation by race/ethnicity in the rate of outof-school suspensions/expulsions of students with disabilities that sum up to greater than 10 days in a school year, and the disproportionate representation is due to inappropriate policies, procedures, or practices.

Indicator 9: Whether the AU has disproportionate representation of students with disabilities by race/ethnicity due to inappropriate policies, procedures, or practices.

Indicator 10: Whether the AU has disproportionate representation of students with disabilities by race/ethnicity in a specific disability category due to inappropriate policies, procedures, or practices. **Indicator 11**: Percent of children who were evaluated within 60 calendar days of receiving parental consent for initial evaluation.

Indicator 12: Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.

Indicator 13: Percent of youth ages 15 and above with an IEP that includes each of the required components for secondary transition.

Timely and Accurate Data Submission: The timeliness and accuracy of data submitted by the AUs under section 616 and 618 of the IDEA.

SPP/APR results indicators and other results elements examined in the current determination:

Indicator 1: Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from high school with a regular diploma.
Indicator 2: Percent of youth ages 14 and above with IEPs who exited from school due to dropping out.
Indicator 3: Statewide assessments participation, regular assessment mean scale score, and alternate assessment proficiency rate.

In addition, the following results elements are also included:

- 1. Median Growth Percentile in ELA and Math
- 2. Rise Up in ELA and Math (No data in SY2021-2022)
- 3. Keep Up in ELA and Math (No data in SY2021-2022)
- 4. For information only: Regular assessment mean scale score in ELA and Math with "IEP

exiters" included. (Please see "How CO Made Determinations 2023," for detailed information.) **Indicator 7:** Percent of preschool children who showed substantial growth and those who reached age expectations by the time they exited the program in positive socio-emotional skills, acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and use of appropriate behaviors.

Indicator 14: Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school, and

1. Whom AUs attempted to contact.

2. Participated in the post-school outcome interview.

3. Interview results indicate that the youth is enrolled in higher ed or some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.

Please access <u>http://www.cde.state.co.us/cdesped/determperf</u> for various resources related to the determinations.

CDE is committed to supporting DURANGO 9-R in its efforts to improve results for children with disabilities and looks forward to working with you over the next year.

If you have any questions regarding this determination or the process, please contact Gloria Durosko at <u>Durosko g@cde.state.co.us</u>.

Sincerely,

Paul Foster, Ed.D Executive Director; State Director of Special Education Exceptional Student Services Unit

Posted to http://www.cde.state.co.us/cdesped/determperf on 5/5/2023



AU Percentage 83.1% AU Determination: Meets Requirements Needs Assistance Meets Meets COLORADO Department of Education					
Meeus Assistance Meeus					
Personal and the second s					
60 70 80 90 100					
*Special Conditions: None					
Special conditions can move an AU into a lower RDA determination category.					
Sub-scores					
Compliance Score100.0Needs InterventionNeeds AssistanceMeetsComplianceMeets DeterminationMeets Requirementsx 50%					
out of 100 70 75 80 85 90 95 100					
Results Score198.5Needs InterventionNeeds AssistanceNeeds Image: AssistanceNeeds AssistanceResults DeterminationMeets Requirementsx 50%					
out of 300 60 120 180 240 300					

AU Compliance Matrix 2023

Administrative Unit: 34010 - Durango

Indicator	Performance	Points	Points		
		Eligible	Earned		
4A: Significant discrepancy in the rate of suspension and expulsion from the state rate.	0.58	2	2		
2 = The rate of children with disabilities who received suspensions/expulsions for > 10 days in a school year was below 2.62 1 = Rate is above threshold for current year 0 = Rate is above threshold for the current and previous two school years					
4B: Significant discrepancy in the rate of suspensions and expulsions from the state rate by race and ethnicity.	No Significant Discrepancy	2	2		
 2 = No racial category was found with significant discrepancy for Indicator 4B 1 = At least one racial category was found with significant discrepancy for current year 0 = At least one racial category was found with significant discrepancy for the current and the previous two school years, and 2) policies, procedures, and/or practices were found to contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards. 					
Indicator 9: Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services due to inappropriate identification.	No disproportionate representation	2	2		
Indicator 10: Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories due to inappropriate identification.	No disproportionate representation	2	2		
1 = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the cu	urrent year	cators 9 and 10.			
1 = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the cu 0 = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the cu	urrent year	cators 9 and 10. 2	2		
1 = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the cu 0 = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the cu Indicator 11: Timely initial evaluation	urrent year urrent and previous year		2		
1 = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the cu 0 = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the cu Indicator 11: Timely initial evaluation Indicator 12: IEP developed and implemented by third birthday.	urrent year urrent and previous year 100%	2			
 1 = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the culo = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the culo = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the culo = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the culo = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the culo = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the culo = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the culo = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the culo = Indicator 11: Timely initial evaluation Indicator 12: IEP developed and implemented by third birthday. Indicator 13: Secondary transition 2 = Indicators were at least 95% compliant 1 = Indicators were at least 75% and less than 95% compliant 	100% 100% 100%	2 2 2	2		
 2 = No racial category was found with disproportionate representation due to inappropriat 1 = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the cu 0 = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the cu Indicator 11: Timely initial evaluation Indicator 12: IEP developed and implemented by third birthday. Indicator 13: Secondary transition 2 = Indicators were at least 95% compliant 1 = Indicators were at least 75% and less than 95% compliant 0 = Indicators were less than 75% compliant in the current year <u>OR</u> less than 95% comp 	100% 100% 100%	2 2 2	2		
 1 = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the cuone and a category was found with disproportionate representation for the cuone at least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the cuone category was found with disproportionate representation for the cuone category was found with disproportionate representation for the cuone category was found with disproportionate representation for the cuone category was found with disproportionate representation for the cuone category was found with disproportionate representation for the cuone category was found with disproportionate representation for the cuone category was found with disproportionate representation for the cuone category and indicator 11: Timely initial evaluation Indicator 12: IEP developed and implemented by third birthday. Indicator 13: Secondary transition 2 = Indicators were at least 95% compliant 1 = Indicators were at least 75% and less than 95% compliant 0 = Indicators were less than 75% compliant in the current year <u>OR</u> less than 95% compliant 	urrent year urrent and previous year 100% 100% 100%	2 2 2 previous year 2	2		
 1 = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the cc. 0 = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the cc. Indicator 11: Timely initial evaluation Indicator 12: IEP developed and implemented by third birthday. Indicator 13: Secondary transition 2 = Indicators were at least 95% compliant 1 = Indicators were at least 75% and less than 95% compliant 0 = Indicators were less than 75% compliant in the current year <u>OR</u> less than 95% comp Timely and Accurate Data Submission Special Education December Count, Special Education End of Year Collection, Special Indicator 13 file review 2 = All above submissions were both timely and accurate 1 = One or two of the above submissions were late and/or inaccurate 	urrent year urrent and previous year 100% 100% 100%	2 2 2 previous year 2	2		
 1 = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the cc. 0 = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the cc. Indicator 11: Timely initial evaluation Indicator 12: IEP developed and implemented by third birthday. Indicator 13: Secondary transition 2 = Indicators were at least 95% compliant 1 = Indicators were at least 75% and less than 95% compliant 0 = Indicators were at least 75% compliant in the current year <u>OR</u> less than 95% comp Timely and Accurate Data Submission Special Education December Count, Special Education End of Year Collection, Special Indicator 13 file review 2 = All above submissions were both timely and accurate 1 = One or two of the above submissions were late and/or inaccurate 0 = Three or four of the above submissions were late and/or inaccurate 1 = One or two of the above submissions were late and/or inaccurate 	urrent year urrent and previous year 100% 100% 100% iliant for the current and Yes Education Discipline Co	2 2 2 2 1 previous year 2 1lection, and 16 16	2		
 1 = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the ca 0 = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the ca Indicator 11: Timely initial evaluation Indicator 12: IEP developed and implemented by third birthday. Indicator 13: Secondary transition 2 = Indicators were at least 95% compliant 1 = Indicators were at least 75% and less than 95% compliant 0 = Indicators were less than 75% compliant in the current year <u>OR</u> less than 95% comp Timely and Accurate Data Submission Special Education December Count, Special Education End of Year Collection, Special Indicator 13 file review 2 = All above submissions were both timely and accurate 1 = One or two of the above submissions were late and/or inaccurate 0 = Three or four of the above submissions were late and/or inaccurate 	urrent year urrent and previous year 100% 100% 0liant for the current and Yes Education Discipline Co	2 2 2 previous year 2 Ilection, and	2 2 2		

COLORADO Department of Education

AU Results Matrix 2023



COLORADO Department of Education

	Administrative Unit: 34010 - Durango							
	State Assessment Participation Detail							
	ELA		÷	ELA	Math	MATH		
	Participated	246				Participated	241	
	Excused	0				Excused	1	
	Parent Opt-out	96				Parent Opt-out	100	
	Unexcused	11				Unexcused	11	
	OSEP Participation Rate	69.7%				OSEP Participation Rate	68	.3%
	CO IEP Participation Rate	95.7%				CO IEP Participation Rate	95	.3%
	State Assessment							
	Participation						Points	Points
	(Part of Indicator 3a)		N	%	AU's Percentile	Rubric	Eligible	Earned
	ELA Participation		246	95.7%	31	95%≤AU = 3	3	3
	Math Participation		241	95.3%	27	AU<95% = 0	3 Doints	3 Points
	Regular Assessme: (Part of Indicator 3b)	nt	Ν	Mean Scale Score	AU's Percentile	Rubric	Points Eligible	Points Earned
		Current IEP	211	705.7		AU ≥ 712.0 = 9		
	ELA Mean Scale Score (reg)				63	712 > AU ≥ 701.9 = 6	9	6
		* IEP Exiter	18	738.6		$701.9 > AU \ge 693.6 = 3$	/	Ū
		* Combined	229	708.3		AU < 693.6 = 0		
%	Math Mean Scale Sore (reg)	Current IEP	206	701.9		AU ≥ 711.8 = 9 711.8 > AU ≥ 701.1 = 6		
15		* IEP Exiter	16	726.0	42	701.1 > AU ≥ 694.2 = 3	9	6
ent	* IEP Exiter and Combined provided for information only	* Combined	222	703.7		AU < 694.2 = 0		
chievement	Alternate Assessment			07		Dubrie	Points	Points
iev	(Part of Indicator 3c)		N	%	AU's Percentile	Rubric AU ≥ 48.8% = 6	Eligible	Earned
Ach			23	34.8%	61	$48.8\% > AU \ge 31.6\% = 4$,	
	ELA Prof Rate (Alt)					31.6% > AU ≥ 17.9% = 2		4
cademic						AU < 17.9% = 0		
Acad						AU ≥ 26.7% = 6 26.7% > AU ≥ 14.1% = 4		
	Math Prof Rate (Alt)		19	21.1%	25	$14.1\% > AU \ge 6.9\% = 2$	6	4
						AU < 6.9% = 0		
	Note: SY2020-21 and SY2021-22							
	Preschool Achieve	ment and	N	% Succeeded	AU's Percentile	Rubric 0 .5 1.0 1.5	Points Eligible	Points Earned
	Growth (Indicator 7)**	A1. Growth	14	73.7%	54	73.9%·····82%·····91.5%	1.5	0.0
	A. Positive social- emotional skills	A1. Growth A2. Achievement	32					
				78.1%	88	59.6%·····67.5%·····82.8%	1.5	1.0
	B. Acquisition & Use of Knowledge and Skills	B1. Growth	32	89.5%	88	72.1%·····80.4%·····91.2%	1.5	1.0
	C. Use of appropriate	B2. Achievement		81.3%	93	55.9%69.3%81.8%	1.5	1.0
	behaviors to meet their	C1. Growth	32	75.0%	54	66.7%·····76.2%·····86.6%	1.5	0.5
	needs Note:	C2. Achievement		78. 1%	85	61.8%·····71.4%·····86.0%	1.5	1.0
Achievement Points Earned: 30.5 out of 45							45	
			Achieve	ment Poin	is carried:	30.5	out of	40

**Growth = Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by time of exit from the program; Achievement = functioning within age expectations by time of exit

AU Results Matrix 2023 Continued



COLORADO Department of Education

	Administrative Unit: 34010 - Durango							
			Median Growth			Points	Points	
	Median Growth Percentile	Ν	%ile	AU's Percentile	Rubric	Eligible	Earned	
%Nc	ELA	83	28.5	9	$AU \ge 47 = 15$ $47 > AU \ge 39.1 = 10$ $39.1 > AU \ge 33 = 5$ AU < 33 = 0	15	0	
	Math	49	33.0	13	$\begin{array}{rl} AU \geq 47 &= 15 \\ 47 > AU \geq 40.7 = 10 \\ 40.7 > AU \geq 34.5 &= 5 \\ AU < 34.5 &= 0 \end{array}$	15	0	
כ כ	Rise Up	Ν	% Rise Up	AU's Percentile	Rubric	Points Eligible	Points Earned	
	ELA	no data	no data		90th≤AU = 4 50th≤AU<90th = 3	45	30	
ACG	Math	no data	no data		15th≤AU<50th = 2 AU<15th = 1	45	30	
	Кеер Up	Ν	% Keep Up	AU's Percentile	Rubric	Points Eligible	Points Earned	
	ELA				90th≤AU = 4 50th≤AU<90th = 3	15	10	
	Math	no data	no data		15th≤AU<50th = 2 AU<15th = 1	15	10	
	Acad	demic Gr	owth Poin	ts Earned:	80	out of	150	
	Graduation Rate	Ν	%Graduated	Highest Rate Percentile	Rubric	Points Eligible	Points Earned	
	4 Year Grad Rate	40	65.0%	Fercentite	AU ≥ 92.3% = 21	Lugible	Lameu	
	5 Year Grad Rate	47	89.4%		92.3% > AU ≥ 79.2% = 14			
	6 Year Grad Rate	31	87.1%	71	$79.2\% > AU \ge 66.9\% = 7$	21	14	
	7 Year Grad Rate	39	71.8%	_	AU < 66.9% = 0			
2	Note:							
	IEP Dropout Exiter Rate		%Dropout			Points	Points	
	Indicator 2	N	Exiters	AU's Percentile	Rubric	Eligible	Earned	
	Rate N = students age ≥ 14 who exited schools % = students who exited due to dropping out	31	6.5%	16	$\begin{array}{rrrr} AU <\! 6.5\% &= 42 \\ 6.5\% \leq AU < 19\% &= 28 \\ 19\% \leq AU <\! 34.2\% &= 14 \\ AU \geq 34.2\% &= 0 \end{array}$	42	42	
5	Note:							
	Post-School Outcomes Points Points							
3	Indicator 14	Ν	%		Rubric	Eligible	Earned	
	Contacts Attempted N = Students in Sample % = Contact attempted	33	10	0.0%	AU = 100% = 6 AU < 100% = 0	6	6	
	Students Participated N = # in adjusted sample % = of students who participated out of adjusted sample	33	63	8.6%	60% ≤ AU = 6 60% > AU = 0	6	6	
	Outcome (Enrolled in higher ed, or in some other postsecondary ed or training program; or competitively employed or in some other	21	85.7%	Percentile Rank 63	AU ≥ 91.5% = 30 91.5% > AU ≥ 75.3% = 20 75.3%> AU ≥59.8% = 10	30	20	

employment)

PS and Workforce Points Earned:

	Academic Achievement:	30.5 out of 45		
	Academic Growth:	80.0 out of 150		
	PS and Workforce Readiness:	88.0 out of 105		
	Final Results Score:	198.5 out of 300.0		
	Results Determination:	Meets Requirements		
170 to 300 = Meets Requirements	110 to 169 = Needs Assistance	0 to 109 = Needs Intervention		
If the AU's final points eligible are less than 300, the original scores have been adjusted to meet the full scale of 45, 150, or 105 proportionally.				