

Exceptional Student Services Unit 1560 Broadway, Suite 1100 Denver, CO 80202-5149

Judy Gudvangen EL PASO 11, COLORADO SPRINGS El Paso County SD 11 1115 North El Paso Street Colorado Springs, CO 80903-2519

April 27, 2023

Dear Judy Gudvangen,

As a condition for accepting Federal (IDEA) funds dedicated to meeting the individual needs of students with disabilities, the CDE must annually review the Administrative Unit (AU)'s performance to determine if the AU:

- 1) Meets the requirements and purposes of Part B of IDEA,
- 2) Needs assistance in implementing the requirements of Part B of IDEA,
- 3) Needs intervention in implementing the requirements of Part B of IDEA, or
- 4) Needs substantial intervention in implementing the requirements of Part B of IDEA.

34 C.F.R. § 300.603(b)(1)

Based on an evaluation using the criteria identified below, the CDE has made the following determinations for EL PASO 11, COLORADO SPRINGS:

- Compliance Determination: Meets Requirements
- Results Determination: Meets Requirements

Overall Determination for EL PASO 11, COLORADO SPRINGS: **Meets Requirements** for the implementation of Part B of the IDEA for SY2021-2022.

The AU's performance is measured based on information provided by the AU, information obtained through monitoring visits, and other public information made available to the AU. In making the determination in Spring 2023, the CDE considered data submitted by EL PASO 11, COLORADO SPRINGS throughout SY2021-2022 in the following manner:



1. A **Compliance Matrix** that includes scoring on SPP/APR Compliance Indicators and other compliance elements

- 2. A Results Matrix that includes scoring on Results Elements
- 3. A Compliance Score and Compliance Determination
- 4. A Results Score and Results Determination

5. An **AU Percentage** based on the Compliance Score and the Results Score. The Compliance Score is weighted at **50%** and the Results Score is weighted at **50%** to calculate the RDA Percentage.

- 6. A consideration of Special Conditions
- 7. The AU's overall Determination

SPP/APR compliance indicators and other compliance elements examined in the current determination:

Indicator 4A: Whether the AU has significant discrepancy from the state in the rate of out-of-school suspensions/expulsions of students with disabilities that sum up to greater than 10 days in a school year.

Indicator 4B: Whether the AU has disproportionate representation by race/ethnicity in the rate of outof-school suspensions/expulsions of students with disabilities that sum up to greater than 10 days in a school year, and the disproportionate representation is due to inappropriate policies, procedures, or practices.

Indicator 9: Whether the AU has disproportionate representation of students with disabilities by race/ethnicity due to inappropriate policies, procedures, or practices.

Indicator 10: Whether the AU has disproportionate representation of students with disabilities by race/ethnicity in a specific disability category due to inappropriate policies, procedures, or practices. **Indicator 11**: Percent of children who were evaluated within 60 calendar days of receiving parental consent for initial evaluation.

Indicator 12: Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.

Indicator 13: Percent of youth ages 15 and above with an IEP that includes each of the required components for secondary transition.

Timely and Accurate Data Submission: The timeliness and accuracy of data submitted by the AUs under section 616 and 618 of the IDEA.

SPP/APR results indicators and other results elements examined in the current determination:

Indicator 1: Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from high school with a regular diploma.
Indicator 2: Percent of youth ages 14 and above with IEPs who exited from school due to dropping out.
Indicator 3: Statewide assessments participation, regular assessment mean scale score, and alternate assessment proficiency rate.

In addition, the following results elements are also included:

- 1. Median Growth Percentile in ELA and Math
- 2. Rise Up in ELA and Math (No data in SY2021-2022)
- 3. Keep Up in ELA and Math (No data in SY2021-2022)
- 4. For information only: Regular assessment mean scale score in ELA and Math with "IEP

exiters" included. (Please see "How CO Made Determinations 2023," for detailed information.) **Indicator 7:** Percent of preschool children who showed substantial growth and those who reached age expectations by the time they exited the program in positive socio-emotional skills, acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and use of appropriate behaviors.

Indicator 14: Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school, and

1. Whom AUs attempted to contact.

2. Participated in the post-school outcome interview.

3. Interview results indicate that the youth is enrolled in higher ed or some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.

Please access <u>http://www.cde.state.co.us/cdesped/determperf</u> for various resources related to the determinations.

CDE is committed to supporting EL PASO 11, COLORADO SPRINGS in its efforts to improve results for children with disabilities and looks forward to working with you over the next year.

If you have any questions regarding this determination or the process, please contact Gloria Durosko at <u>Durosko g@cde.state.co.us</u>.

Sincerely,

Paul Foster, Ed.D Executive Director; State Director of Special Education Exceptional Student Services Unit

Posted to http://www.cde.state.co.us/cdesped/determperf on 5/5/2023



AU Determination 2023 for 21050 - El Paso 11, Colorado Springs

AU Percentage		82.3%	AU	Determi	nation:		Meets Req	uirements	
Needs As	sistance		Meets					COLORAD Department of Edu	
60	70	80		90	1	00			
*Special Conditions:	None								
Special conditions can move	an AU into a lower R	DA determinati	on category.						
Sub-scores									
Compliance Score	100.0	Needs Interventi	Noo	ds Assistance	Meet	Your AU	= Compliance Determination	Meets Requirements	x 50%
	out of 100	70 75	80	85	90 95	1	00		
				_					
Results Score	194.0	Needs Intervention	Needs Assistance	Your AU	Meets		= Results Determination	Meets Requirements	x 50%
	out of 300	60	120	180	240	3	00		

AU Compliance Matrix 2023

COLORADO Department of Education

(🐼

Administrative Unit: 21050 - El Paso 1	1,	Colorado S	prings					
Indicator	F	Performance	Points Eligible	Points Earned				
4A: Significant discrepancy in the rate of suspension and expulsion from the state rate.		1.12	2	2				
e = The rate of children with disabilities who received suspensions/expulsions for > 10 days in a school year was below 2.62 = Rate is above threshold for current year = Rate is above threshold for the current and previous two school years								
4B: Significant discrepancy in the rate of suspensions and expulsions from the state rate by race and ethnicity.		lo Significant Discrepancy	2	2				
 2 = No racial category was found with significant discrepancy for Indicator 4B 1 = At least one racial category was found with significant discrepancy for current year 0 = At least one racial category was found with significant discrepancy for the current and the previous two school years, and 2) policies, procedures, and/or practices were found to contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards. 								
Indicator 9: Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services due to inappropriate identification.	N	o disproportionate representation	2	2				
Indicator 10: Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups	N	o disproportionate		2				
in specific disability categories due to inappropriate identification.		representation	2	2				
in specific disability categories due to inappropriate identification. 2 = No racial category was found with disproportionate representation due to inappropriat 1 = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the cu 0 = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the cu	te id	representation lentification for India		Z				
2 = No racial category was found with disproportionate representation due to inappropriat 1 = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the cu 0 = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the cu	te id	representation lentification for India		2				
 2 = No racial category was found with disproportionate representation due to inappropriat 1 = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the cu 	te id	representation lentification for India at year at and previous year	cators 9 and 10.					
2 = No racial category was found with disproportionate representation due to inappropriat 1 = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the cu 0 = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the cu Indicator 11: Timely initial evaluation	te id	representation lentification for India at year at and previous year 100%	cators 9 and 10. 2	2				
2 = No racial category was found with disproportionate representation due to inappropriat 1 = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the cu 0 = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the cu Indicator 11: Timely initial evaluation Indicator 12: IEP developed and implemented by third birthday.	te idu urren urren	representation lentification for India at and previous year 100% 100% 100%	cators 9 and 10. 2 2 2 2	2 2				
2 = No racial category was found with disproportionate representation due to inappropriat 1 = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the cu 0 = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the cu Indicator 11: Timely initial evaluation Indicator 12: IEP developed and implemented by third birthday. Indicator 13: Secondary transition 2 = Indicators were at least 95% compliant 1 = Indicators were at least 75% and less than 95% compliant	te idu urren urren	representation lentification for India at and previous year 100% 100% 100%	cators 9 and 10. 2 2 2 2	2 2				
 2 = No racial category was found with disproportionate representation due to inappropriat 1 = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the cu 0 = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the cu Indicator 11: Timely initial evaluation Indicator 12: IEP developed and implemented by third birthday. Indicator 13: Secondary transition 2 = Indicators were at least 95% compliant 1 = Indicators were at least 75% and less than 95% compliant 0 = Indicators were less than 75% compliant in the current year <u>OR</u> less than 95% compliant 	te idu urren urren	representation lentification for India t year at and previous year 100% 100% 100% for the current and Yes	cators 9 and 10. 2 2 2 previous year 2	2 2 2				
2 = No racial category was found with disproportionate representation due to inappropriate 1 = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the cu 0 = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the cu Indicator 11: Timely initial evaluation Indicator 12: IEP developed and implemented by third birthday. Indicator 13: Secondary transition 2 = Indicators were at least 95% compliant 1 = Indicators were at least 75% and less than 95% compliant 0 = Indicators were less than 75% compliant in the current year <u>OR</u> less than 95% compliant Special Education December Count, Special Education End of Year Collection, Special I Indicator 13 file review 2 = All above submissions were both timely and accurate 1 = One or two of the above submissions were late and/or inaccurate	te idu urren urren	representation lentification for India t year at and previous year 100% 100% 100% for the current and Yes	cators 9 and 10. 2 2 2 previous year 2	2 2 2				
2 = No racial category was found with disproportionate representation due to inappropriat 1 = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the cu 0 = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the cu Indicator 11: Timely initial evaluation Indicator 12: IEP developed and implemented by third birthday. Indicator 13: Secondary transition 2 = Indicators were at least 95% compliant 1 = Indicators were at least 75% and less than 95% compliant 0 = Indicators were at least 75% compliant in the current year OR less than 95% compliant 0 = Indicator 13 file review 2 = All above submissions were both timely and accurate 1 = One or two of the above submissions were late and/or inaccurate 0 = Three or four of the above submissions were late and/or inaccurate 0 = Three or four of the above submissions were late and/or inaccurate	te idu urren urren bliant Educ	representation lentification for India t year at and previous year 100% 100% 100% for the current and Yes cation Discipline Co	cators 9 and 10. 2 2 2 previous year 2 Ulection, and 16 16 16	2 2 2				
2 = No racial category was found with disproportionate representation due to inappropriat 1 = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the cu 0 = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the cu Indicator 11: Timely initial evaluation Indicator 12: IEP developed and implemented by third birthday. Indicator 13: Secondary transition 2 = Indicators were at least 95% compliant 1 = Indicators were at least 75% and less than 95% compliant 0 = Indicators were less than 75% compliant in the current year <u>OR</u> less than 95% compliant Timely and Accurate Data Submission Special Education December Count, Special Education End of Year Collection, Special Indicator 13 file review 2 = All above submissions were both timely and accurate 1 = One or two of the above submissions were late and/or inaccurate 0 = Three or four of the above submissions were late and/or inaccurate Total Points Available:	te idu urren urren bliant Educ	representation lentification for India at and previous year 100% 100% 100% for the current and Yes cation Discipline Co	cators 9 and 10.	2 2 2 2				

AU Results Matrix 2023



	Administrative Unit: 21050 - El Paso 11, Colorado Springs							
	State Assessme	ent Partici	ipation	Detail				
	ELA Participated	1094		ELA	Math	MATH Participated	1087	
	Excused Parent Opt-out Unexcused	1 133 51				Excused Parent Opt-out Unexcused	1 140 51	
	OSEP Participation Rate CO IEP Participation Rate	85.5 95.5				OSEP Participation Rate CO IEP Participation Rate	85	.0%
	State Assessment Participation (Part of Indicator 3a) ELA Participation		N 1094	% 95.5%	AU's Percentile	Rubric	Points Eligible 3	Points Earned 3
	Math Participation		1094	95.4%	31	95%≤AU = 3 AU<95% = 0	3	3
	Regular Assessme	nt	Ν	Mean Scale Score	AU's Percentile	Rubric	Points Eligible	Points Earned
	ELA Mean Scale Score (reg)	Current IEP * IEP Exiter * Combined	904 165 1069	698.0 713.2 700.4	24	$AU \ge 712.0 = 9$ $712 > AU \ge 701.9 = 6$ $701.9 > AU \ge 693.6 = 3$ AU < 693.6 = 0	9	3
ent 15%	Math Mean Scale Sore (reg) * IEP Exiter and Combined provided for information only	Current IEP * IEP Exiter * Combined	896 163 1059	701.0 713.0 702.9	37	$AU \ge 711.8 = 9$ $711.8 > AU \ge 701.1 = 6$ $701.1 > AU \ge 694.2 = 3$ AU < 694.2 = 0	9	3
em	Alternate Assessm (Part of Indicator 3c)		N	%	AU's Percentile	Rubric	Points Eligible	Points Earned
Academic Achiev	ELA Prof Rate (Alt)		107	21.5%	39	$AU \ge 48.8\% = 6$ $48.8\% > AU \ge 31.6\% = 4$ $31.6\% > AU \ge 17.9\% = 2$ AU < 17.9% = 0	6	2
Acad	Math Prof Rate (Alt)		107	21.5%	59	$\begin{array}{l} AU \geq 26.7\% = 6\\ 26.7\% > AU \geq 14.1\% = 4\\ 14.1\% > AU \geq \ 6.9\% = 2\\ AU < \ 6.9\% = 0 \end{array}$	6	4
	Note: Preschool Achieve	ment and				Dubrie	Points	Points
	Growth (Indicator 7)**		N	% Succeeded	AU's Percentile	Rubric 0 .5 1.0 1.5	Eligible	Earned
	A. Positive social- emotional skills	A1. Growth A2. Achievement	113	84.1%	81 75	73.9%·····82%·····91.5% 59.6%·····67.5%·····82.8%	1.5	1.0
	B. Acquisition & Use of Knowledge and Skills	B1. Growth B2. Achievement	113	71.7% 80.5% 61.1%	69 37	59.6%·····67.5%·····82.8% 72.1%·····80.4%·····91.2% 55.9%·····69.3%·····81.8%	1.5 1.5 1.5	1.0 1.0 0.5

intervedge und bin	DZ. ACHIEVEIIIEIIL		01.1/0	57	55.7/0	1.5	0.5
C. Use of appropria behaviors to meet		113	82.4%	80	66.7%76.2%86.6%	1.5	1.0
needs	C2. Achievement		70.8%	66	61.8%71.4%86.0%	1.5	0.5
Note:							
	Achievement Points Earned:					out of	45

**Growth = Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by time of exit from the program; Achievement = functioning within age expectations by time of exit

AU Results Matrix 2023 Continued



COLORADO Department of Education

	Administrative Unit: 21050 - El Paso 11, Colorado Springs						
	Modian Crowth Deresetile		Median Growth			Points	Points
	Median Growth Percentile	Ν	%ile	AU's Percentile	Rubric	Eligible	Earned
	ELA	317	30.0	14	$AU \ge 47 = 15$ $47 > AU \ge 39.1 = 10$ $39.1 > AU \ge 33 = 5$ AU < 33 = 0	15	0
Academic Growth 50%	Math	195	46.0	70	$AU \ge 47 = 15$ $47 > AU \ge 40.7 = 10$ $40.7 > AU \ge 34.5 = 5$ AU < 34.5 = 0	15	10
ic G	Rise Up	Ν	% Rise Up	AU's Percentile	Rubric	Points Eligible	Points Earned
dem	ELA	no data	no data		90th≤AU = 4 50th≤AU<90th = 3	45	30
Aca	Math	no data	no data		15th≤AU<50th = 2 AU<15th = 1	45	30
	Кеер Up	N	% Keep Up	AU's Percentile	Rubric	Points Eligible	Points Earned
	ELA	no data	no data		90th≤AU = 4 50th≤AU<90th = 3	15	10
	Math	no data	no data		15th≤AU<50th = 2 AU<15th = 1	15	10
	Acad	demic Gr	owth Poin	ts Earned:	90	out of	150
	Graduation Rate			Highest Rate		Points	Points
		N	%Graduated	Percentile	Rubric	Eligible	Earned
	4 Year Grad Rate 5 Year Grad Rate	179	58.1 % 69.2 %	_	AU ≥ 92.3% = 21 92.3% > AU ≥ 79.2% = 14		
	6 Year Grad Rate	201 189	75.1%	15	92.3% > AU ≥ 79.2% = 14 79.2% > AU ≥ 66.9% = 7	21	7
	7 Year Grad Rate	174	72.4%		AU < 66.9% = 0		
35%	Note:	17.1					
	IEP Dropout Exiter Rate		%Dropout			Points	Points
ines	Indicator 2	N	Exiters	AU's Percentile	Rubric	Eligible	Earned
and Workforce Readiness	Rate N = students age ≥ 14 who exited schools % = students who exited due to dropping out	185	2.7%	8	$\begin{array}{rll} AU < 6.5\% &= \textbf{42} \\ 6.5\% \leq AU < 19\% &= \textbf{28} \\ 19\% \leq AU < 34.2\% &= \textbf{14} \\ AU \geq 34.2\% &= \textbf{0} \end{array}$	42	42
ork	Note:						
≶ ס	Post-School Outcomes					Points	Points
	Indicator 14	Ν		%	Rubric	Eligible	Earned
lary	Contacts Attempted N = Students in Sample % = Contact attempted	100	100	0.0%	AU = 100% = 6 AU < 100% = 0	6	6
Postse	Students Participated N = # in adjusted sample % = of students who participated out of adjusted sample	100	65	5.0%	60% ≤ AU = 6 60% > AU = 0	6	6
	Outcome (Enrolled in higher ed, or in some other postsecondary ed or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment)	65	75.4%	Percentile Rank 25	$AU \ge 91.5\% = 30$ $91.5\% > AU \ge 75.3\% = 20$ $75.3\% > AU \ge 59.8\% = 10$ AU < 59.8% = 0	30	20
		a second s	force Poin			out of	

PS and Workforce Points Earned:

	Academic Achievement:	23.0 out of 45				
	Academic Growth:					
	PS and Workforce Readiness:					
	Final Results Score:	194.0 out of 300.0				
	Results Determination:	Meets Requirements				
170 to 300 = Meets Requirements	110 to 169 = Needs Assistance	0 to 109 = Needs Intervention				
If the AU's final points eligible are less than 300, the original scores have been adjusted to meet the full scale of 45, 150, or 105 proportionally.						