

Exceptional Student Services Unit 1560 Broadway, Suite 1100 Denver, CO 80202-5149

Weld SD RE-5J 62050 Rachael Browning 110 S. Centennial Dr., Ste. A Miliken, CO, 80543-3215

April 17, 2017

A condition of accepting Federal (IDEA) resources for meeting the individual needs of students with disabilities requires that Administrative Units be given an annual Determination based on several factors. Additionally, the Colorado Department of Education (CDE) must publicly report annually on the Administrative Unit's progress toward meeting the State Performance Plan (SPP) targets as required by 34 CFR §300.602(b)(1)(i)(A). Further, 34 CFR §300.6041 mandates that the CDE use the same categories that the United States Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP), uses for making State determinations.

In making the determination, the CDE considered data submitted by Weld SD RE-5J in the following manner:

- 1. A Compliance Matrix that includes scoring on SPP Compliance Indicators and other compliance elements
- 2. A Results Matrix that includes scoring on Results Elements
- 3. A Compliance Score and Compliance Determination
- 4. A Results Score and Results Determination
- 5. An **AU Percentage** based on the Compliance Score and the Results Score. The Compliance Score is weighted at **75%** and the Results Score is weighted at **25%** to calculate the RDA Percentage.
- 6. A consideration of **Special Conditions**
- 7. The AU's overall **Determination**

SPP compliance indicators:

Indicator 4A: Whether the AU has significant discrepancy from the state in the number suspensions/expulsions of students with disabilities for greater than 10 days in a school year.

Indicator 4B: Whether the AU has disproportionate representation by race/ethnicity in the number of suspensions/expulsions of students with disabilities for greater than 10 days in a school year due to inappropriate policies, procedures or practices.

Indicator 9: Whether the Administrative Unit (AU) has disproportionate representation of students with disabilities by race or ethnicity due to inappropriate identification.

Indicator 10: Whether the AU has disproportionate representation of students with disabilities by race or ethnicity in a specific disability category due to inappropriate identification.

Indicator 11: Percent of children for whom an evaluation was completed within 60 calendar days.

Indicator 12: Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.

Indicator 13: Percent of youth with Individual Education Plans (IEP) aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes appropriate measurable postsecondary goals.

Timely and Accurate Data Submission: The timeliness and accuracy of data submitted by the AUs under section 616 and 618 of the IDEA.

SPP Results Indicators:

Indicator 1: Graduation rate of youth with IEPs graduating with a regular diploma

Indicator 2: Dropout rate of youth with IEPs

Indicator 3: Statewide Assessments participation, regular assessment mean scale score, and alternate assessment proficiency rate

In addition, the following results elements are also included:

1. Median Growth Percentile in ELA and Math

- 2. Rise Up in ELA and Math (No data in 2017 determination)
- 3. Keep Up in ELA and Math (No data in 2017 determination)

Indicator 7: Preschool skills includes the percent of preschool children who showed substantial growth and those who reached age expectations by the time they exited the program in positive socio-emotional skills, acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and use of appropriate behaviors.

Indicator 14: Secondary Transition/Post School Outcomes percent of youth who had IEPs; are no longer in secondary school and who have been employed, enrolled in postsecondary school, or both, within one year of leaving school

Based on the information above, the CDE must determine whether Weld SD RE-5J:

- 1. Meets Requirements;
- 2. Needs Assistance;
- 3. Needs Intervention; or
- 4. Needs Substantial Intervention

The CDE has evaluated the criteria listed above and determined that Weld SD RE-5J <u>Meets</u> for the implementation of Part B of the IDEA for SY2015-16. Please access https://www.cde.state.co.us/cdesped/rda for various resources related to the determinations.

CDE is committed to supporting Weld SD RE-5J in its efforts to improve results for children with disabilities and looks forward to working with you over the next year.

If you have any questions regarding this determination or the process, please contact Toby King at 303.866.6964 or by <u>e-mail</u>.


Sincerely,

Toby King, Interim Executive Director

Exceptional Student Services Unit

Posted to https://www.cde.state.co.us/cdesped/determinations on 4/17/2017.





AU Compliance Matrix



Administrative Unit: 62050 - Weld Re-5J, Johnstown

		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
Indicator		Performance	Points Eligible	Points Earned		
4A: Significant discrepancy in the rate of suspension and expulsion from the state rate.		0.7%	2	2		
2 = The rate of children with disabilities who received suspensions/expulsions for > 10 days in a school year was below 3.4% 1 = Rate is above threshold for current year only 0 = Rate is above threshold for current year and previous year						
4B: Significant discrepancy in the rate of suspensions and expulsions from the state rate by race and ethnicity, <u>and</u> the AU's policies, procedures, or practices were found to be contributing to the significant discrepancy.		No Significant Discrepancy	2	2		
2 = No racial category was found with significant discrepancy for Indicator 4B 1 = At least one racial category was found with significant discrepancy for current year 0 = At least one racial category was found with significant discrepancy for current and pr	revi	ious year				
Indicator 9: Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services due to inappropriate identification.		No Disproportionate Representation	2	2		
Indicator 10: Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories due to inappropriate identification.		No Disproportionate Representation	2	2		
2 = No racial category was found with disproportionate representation due to inappropriate identification for Indicators 9 and 10. 1 = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the current year 0 = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the current and previous year						
Indicator 11: Timely initial evaluation		100%	2	2		
Indicator 12: IEP developed and implemented by third birthday.		100%	2	2		
Indicator 13: Secondary transition		100%	2	2		
2 = Indicators were at least 95% compliant 1 = Indicators were at least 75% and less than 95% compliant 0 = Indicators were less than 75% compliant in the current year <u>OR</u> less than 95% compliant for the current and previous year						
Timely and Accurate Data Submission		Yes	2	2		
Special Education December Count, Special Education End of Year Collection, Special Education Discipline Collection, and Indicator 13 file review 2 = All above submissions were both timely and accurate 1 = One or two of the above submissions were late and/or inaccurate 0 = Three or four of the above submissions were late and/or inaccurate						
Total Points Available:	1 [16			
Total Points Available: 16 Compliance Points Earned: 16						
Compliance Score: 100 out of 100						
Compliance Determination: Meets Requirement						
	-					

AU Results Matrix



Administrative Unit: 62050 - Weld Re-5J, Johnstown

	Administrative onit. 62000 - Weld Re-00, Johnstown								
	ELA		81%	ELA	Math	MATH			
	Participated Excused Parent Opt-out Unexcused OSEP Participation Rate	Participated 152 Excused 0 Parent Opt-out 22 Unexcused 13 OSEP Participation Rate 81.3 CO IEP Participation Rate 92.1			Participated Excused Parent Opt-out Unexcused OSEP Participation Rate CO IEP Participation Rate			86% 0.0% 10.7% 3.2% 5.1%	
							Points Points		
	STATE Assessment (Part of Ind 3b)		N	\frac{1}{\sqrt{\chi}}\%	Percentile	Rubric	Eligible	Earned	
	ELA Participation		165	92.1%	_	95%≤AU = 3	3	0	
	Math Participation		167	96.4%	-	AU<95% = 0	3	3	
	(Part of Ind 3c)		N	Mean Scale Score	AU's Percentile	Rubric	Points Eligible	Points Earned	
	ELA Mean Scale Score (reg)		140	696.9	27	AU ≥ 712 = 9 712> AU ≥701.9 = 6 701.9> AU ≥693.6 = 3 AU <693.6 = 0	9	3	
t 15%	Math Mean Scale Sore (reg)		149	700.0	38	AU ≥ 711.8 = 9 711.8> AU ≥701.1 = 6 701.1> AU ≥694.2 = 3 AU <694.2 = 0	9	3	
emen	Alternate (Part of Ind	3c)	N	%	701.1> AU ≥694.2 : AU <694.2 : AU's Percentile Rubric	Rubric	Points Eligible	Points Earned	
Academic Achievement 15%	ELA Prof Rate (Alt)		n<16	%	0	$AU \ge 48.8\% = 6$ $48.8\% > AU \ge 31.6\% = 4$ $31.6\% > AU \ge 17.9\% = 2$ AU < 17.9% = 0	0	0	
	Math Prof Rate (Alt)		n<16	%	0	$AU \ge 26.7\% = 6$ $26.7\% > AU \ge 14.1\% = 4$ $14.1\% > AU \ge 6.9\% = 2$ AU < 6.9% = 0	0	0	
	Preschool Achievement and Growth (Ind7)*		N	% Succeeded	AU's Percentile	Rubric 0 .5 1 1.5	Points Eligible	Points Earned	
	A. Positive social- emotional skills	Growth		72.2%	12	73.9%·····82%·····91.5%	1.5	0	
		Achievement		69.6%	57	59.6%·····67.5%·····82.8%	1.5	1	
	B. Acquisition & Use of	Growth	22	66.7%	8	72.1%·····80.4%·····91.5%	1.5 0	0	
	Knowledge and Skills	Achievement	23	73.9%	67	55.9%·····69.3%·····81.8%	1.5	1	
	C. Use of appropriate	Growth		84.6%	81	66.7%·····76.2%·····86.6%	1.5	1	
	behaviors to meet their needs	Achievement		78.3%	67	61.8%·····71.4%·····86%	1.5	1	
	Achievement Points Earned: 13.0 out of 33								

*Growth = Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by time of exit from the program; Achievement = functioning within age expectations by time of exit

	Median Growth Percentile	N	Median Growth %ile	AU's Percentile	Rubric	Points Eligible	Points Earned
Academic Growth 50%	ELA	117	30.0	5	$AU \ge 47 = 15$ $47 > AU \ge 39.13 = 10$ $39.13 > AU \ge 33 = 5$ AU < 33 = 0	15	0
	Math	121	36.0	23	$AU \ge 47 = 15$ $47 > AU \ge 40.7 = 10$ $40.7 > AU \ge 34.5 = 5$ AU < 34.5 = 0	15	5
	Rise Up**	N	% Rise Up	AU's Percentile	Rubric	Points Eligible	Points Earned
	ELA				90th≤AU = 45	45	30
Acade	Math	no data	no data		50th≤AU<90th = 30 15th≤AU<50th = 15 AU<15th = 0	45	30
	Keep Up**	N	% Keep Up	AU's Percentile	Rubric	Points Eligible	Points Earned
	ELA				90th≤AU = 15 50th≤AU<90th = 10	15	10
	Math	no data	no data		15th≤AU<50th = 5 AU<15th = 0	15	10
			vth Points		85	out of	150
	** We did not have Rise Up or Keep Up data for	r SY 15-16, so a	all AUs received 30		ts respectively.	Ditte	D. C. C.
	Graduation Rate (Ind1)	N	%Graduated	Highest Rate Percentile	Rubric	Points Eligible	Points Earned
	4 Year Grad Rate	22	59.10%	rercentite	AU ≥ 92.3% = 21	Lugible	Larried
	5 Year Grad Rate	21	81.00%		92.3% > AU ≥ 79.2% = 14	2.4	4.4
%	6 Year Grad Rate	16	68.80%	61	79.2% > AU ≥ 66.9% = 7	21	14
35%	7 Year Grad Rate	n<16	85.70%		AU < 66.9% = 0		
liness	Dropout Rate (Ind2)	N	%Dropped Out	AU's Percentile	Rubric	Points Eligible	Points Earned
Workforce Readiness	Dropout Rate	n<16	%	0	$AU < 6.5\% = 42$ $6.5\% \le AU < 19\% = 28$ $19\% \le AU < 34.2\% = 14$ $AU \ge 34.2\% = 0$	0	0
Work	Post-School Outcomes (Ind14)	N	N %		Rubric	Points Eligible	Points Earned
and	Contacts Attempted N = Students in Sample % = Contact attempted	n<16	%		AU = 100% = 6 AU < 100% = 0	0	0
Postsecondary	Students Participated N = # in adjusted sample % = of students who participated out of adjusted sample	n<16	%		60% ≤ AU = 6 60% > AU = 0	0	0
	Outcome (Enrolled in higher ed, or in some other postsecondary ed or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment)	n<16	%	Percentile	$AU \ge 91.5\% = 30$ $91.5\% > AU \ge 75.3\% = 20$ $75.3\% > AU \ge 59.8\% = 10$ AU < 59.8% = 0	0	0
	Academ	nic Grov	vth Points	Earned:	14	out of	21
	Academic Achievement:				17.73	out of	45
	Academic Growth: PS and Workforce Readiness: Final Results Score:			85.00	out of	150	
				70.00	out of	105	
				172.73	out of		
	Results Determination:				Meets Req		
	170 to 300 = Meets Require	ements	110 to 169 =	· Needs Assista			
lf th	e AU's final points eligible are less than 300		scores have been	n adjusted to me	eet the full scale of 45, 150, or	105 proporti	onally.