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## BACKGROUND

The purpose of the $2 I^{\text {st }}$ Century Community Learning Center ( $\left.2\right|^{\text {st }}$ CCLC) program, established under Part B of Title IV of the No Child Left Behind Act of 200I, is to provide for the establishment or expansion of Community Learning Centers (Centers) to assist students from high-poverty and low-performing schools in meeting academic achievement standards in core subjects, provide out-of-school time programs to reinforce and complement the regular academic programs, and offer families of participating students opportunities for literacy and educational development.

The Colorado Department of Education (CDE) is the designated state educational agency (SEA) responsible for awarding, administrating and supervising Colorado $2{ }^{\text {st }}$ CCLC programs. A grantee is defined as the entity serving as the fiduciary agent for a given $2{ }^{\text {st }}$ CCLC grant. CDE monitors and evaluates funded programs and activities; provides capacity building, training and technical assistance; comprehensively evaluates the effectiveness of programs and activities; and provides training and technical assistant to eligible applicants and award recipients.

Grantees are required to complete Annual Performance Reports (APR) into an online database funded by the U.S. Department of Education. The database is called the Profile and Performance Information Collection System (PPICS.) PPICS collects and manages comprehensive information on 2 Ist ${ }^{\text {st }}$ CCLC program characteristics, services, and performance data over a wide-range of outcomes including Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA) indicators. The CDE grantees enter profile and APR data into PPICS. This report analyzes the PPICS data submitted by CDE's grantees for the summers of 2011 and 2012 and for the 20I0-20 II and 20II-2012 school years. It is important to note that not all Grantees enter all data required by PPICS. In addition, depending on when the Grantee was funded (or defunded), some Grantees missed specific reporting requirements.

## GRANTEES

This report profiles data from the Colorado Department of Education's fifth cohort of grantees for the 201020II and 20II-20I2 reporting years the first two years of five years funded under the grant. The fifth cohort consists of 3 I grantees affiliated with 69 Centers. Grantee entities are displayed in Table I.

Table I: Grantees \& Corresponding Centers

| GRANTEE | CENTER(S) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Adams 12 Five Star Schools - V | - North Star Elementary School |
| Adams County School District I4-V | - Hanson Elementary School - Monaco Elementary School |
| Alicia Sanchez Elementary School - V | - Sanchez Elementary School |
| Aurora Public School District - V | - Fletcher Elementary School - Vaughn Elementary School <br> - Sable Elementary School |
| Boulder Valley School District Re-2 - V | - Casey Middle School •University Hill Elem School <br> - Columbine Elementary School |
| Colorado Springs School District II - V | - Hunt Elementary School |
| Cripple Creek-Victor School District Re-I -V | - Cripple Creek-Victor Jr-Sr |
| Denver Public Schools, District I - VI | - Force Elementary School - Place Bridge Academy <br> - Johnson Elementary School - Rachael B. Noel Middle School |
| Denver Public Schools, District I - V2 | - Colfax Elementary School - Eagleton Elementary School <br> - Cowell Elementary School - Munroe Elementary School |
| Denver Public Schools, School District I - V | - Bruce Randolph - Cole Arts And Science Academy <br> - Lake Middle School - Skinner Middle School |
| Englewood Schools - V | Wm E Bishop Elementary School |
| Garfield Re-2-V | - Wamsley Elementary School |
| Greeley 6-V | - Ann Heiman Elementary <br> - Centennial Elementary School <br> - Franklin Middle School <br> - Heath Middle School <br> - Jackson Elementary School <br> - John Evans Middle School <br> - Madison Elementary School <br> - Northridge High School <br> - Shawsheen Elementary School |
| Hanover $28-\mathrm{V}$ | - Hanover Jr-Sr High School - Prairie Heights Elementary |
| Harrison School District 2 -V | - Carmel Middle School - Fox Meadow Middle School |
| Huerfano School District Re-I - V | - John Mall High School |
| Jefferson County School District - VI | - Arvada K-8 - Foster Elementary School |
| Jefferson County School District - V2 | - Jefferson High School - Wheat Ridge Middle School |
| Lake County School District - V | - Lake Co Intermediate School |
| Mesa County Valley School District 51-V | - Clifton Elementary School - Rocky Mountain Elementary <br> - Mt Garfield Middle School School |
| Montezuma-Cortez Re-I - V | - Cortez Middle School - Kemper Elementary School |
| Montrose County Relj - VI | - Centennial Junior High School |
| Montrose County Relj - V2 | - Olathe Elementary School - Olathe Middle School |
| Poudre School District - V | - Irish Elementary School - Putnam Elementary School - Lincoln Junior High School |
| Pueblo City Schools District 60 - V | - Freed Middle School - Lemuel Pitts Middle School <br> - James H Risley Middle School |
| Pueblo County District 70 - V | - Pueblo West Elementary School |
| Summer Scholars - VI | - Ford Elementary School - Oakland Elementary School |
| Summer Scholars - V2 | - Columbine Elementary School $\quad$ - Moore Elementary School |
| Trinidad School District I-V | - Trinidad Middle School |
| YMCA of The Pikes Peak Region-Lorraine-V | - Teen After School Project - Fountain Valley YMCA |
| YMCA of The Pikes Peak Region-Sierra - V | - YMCA Of The Pikes Peak Region-Sierra |

In 201I, only 67 Centers reported all data. In 20I2, all 69 Centers reported data. The missing Centers in 20II, were Prairie Heights elementary (served by Hanover 28) and Kemper elementary school (served by Montezuma-Cortex re-I) in addition, although one Center was dropped during 2010-201I, this Center's data is included in cases where it was reported in state PPICS reports (Goals Academy). See Appendix B for a summary of each Center's Level Programming.

## Trends in Colorado Attendance Data'

For comparison, the total numbers of student regular attendees and all other student attendees are displayed in the following chart. The greatest numbers of students served was in 2008 (19,818 students). Numbers of all other student attendance years were 2004 (4,765 students), 2005 ( 7,65 I students), 2006 ( 18,757 students), 2007 ( 18,496 students), 2009 ( 13,907 students), 2010 ( 6,860 students), 2011 ( 14,527 students) and 2012 ( 16,847 students). The percentages of student attendees that met the definition of regular attendee from 2004 to 2012 were $43 \%$ (2004), $43 \%$ (2005), $45 \%$ (2006), $42 \%$ (2007), $39 \%$ (2008), $42 \%$ (2009), $45 \%$ (2010), $35 \%$ (20II) and 34\% (20I2).

## Chart I: Trends in Colorado Attendance



[^0]
## 2010-201| School Year: <br> Center Characteristics \& Outcomes

## CENTER CHARACTERISTICS

## Target Populations Served

In PPICS there are two classifications of student attendee data. ${ }^{2}$ The first classification counts all students who attend a Center. The second classification represents a subset of all students. This second classification considers a student to be a "Regular Student" when the student attends a Center for 30 days or more during a reporting period (which for most grantees is typically, the first year they are awarded during May or June through April or May of the next year).

For the 2010-20II reporting period, regular students comprised $35 \%$ of the total student population. The total student attendee population was 14,527 and the total regular student attendees equaled 5,098 . The average number of students per Center was 217 and the average number of regular attendees was $76^{3}$.

The majority of student attendees served by the 69 Centers were Latino or Hispanic. The specific breakdown of reported attendee ethnicity is as follows:

- American Indian - Total Student Attendees: 289 Regular Student Attendees: 31
- Asian/Pacific Islander - Total Student Attendees: 317 Regular Student Attendees: 60
- Black/African American - Total Student Attendees: I,2I5 Regular Student Attendees: 461
- Latino - Total Student Attendees: 8,846 Regular Student Attendees: 3,318
- White - Total Student Attendees: 3,704 Regular Student Attendees: I,206
- Unknown - Total Student Attendees: 329 Regular Student Attendees: 65

In addition, both genders were served approximately in equal proportions; 7,199 males (2,546 regular student attendees) and 7,309 females ( 2,591 regular student attendees) ${ }^{4}$.

Of the 20I0-20II total student attendees ${ }^{5}$ :

- $32 \%$ were limited English proficient students.
- $70 \%$ were eligible for free and reduced lunch.
- $10 \%$ were students with special needs or disabilities.

[^1]Of regular student attendees:

- $38 \%$ were limited English proficient students.
- $75 \%$ were eligible for free and reduced lunch.
- II\% were students with special needs or disabilities.


## Student Attendee Data

As stated earlier, regular attendees are defined by students who attended a Center for 30 days or more during the reporting period. Attendance figures for the 20II APR data show that the:

- Average Number of Student Attendees: 217
- Average Number of Regular Student Attendees: 76
- Percentage of Student Attendees Meeting the Definition of Regular Student Attendees: 35\%
- Total Number of Student Attendees: 14,527
- Total Number of Regular Student Attendees: 5,098

Table 2 below categorizes Centers by the number of attendees served during the 2010-201I school year. Of note, $75 \%$ of Centers serving regular students had fewer than 100 attendees. Fifteen percent of Centers served over 300 total student attendees.

Table 2: Total Student Attendees \& Total Regular Attendees ${ }^{6}$ in 2010-2011

| Number of Attendees Served in 2010-20 II | Total Student Attendees |  | Total Regular Attendees |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of Centers | Percentage of Centers | Number of Centers | Percentage of Centers |
| Less than 100 | 8 | 12\% | 50 | 75\% |
| 100 to 150 | 14 | 21\% | 12 | 18\% |
| I5I to 200 | 16 | 24\% | 4 | 6\% |
| 201 to 250 | 11 | 16\% | I | 1\% |
| 251 to 300 | 8 | 12\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Over 301 | 10 | 15\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Total with Reported Data | 67 | 100\% | 67 | 100\% |

## Distribution of Attendees by Grade Level Categories

The distributions of attendees during the 2010-201I school year within four categories PreK-K (Prekindergarten and Kindergarten), Elementary (Grades I-5), Middle (Grades 6-8), and High School (Grades $9-12$ ) are displayed below. The total numbers do not reflect students whose grade in school was unknown (66 for all student attendees and none for regular student attendees).

[^2]Table 3: Distribution of Attendees by Grade Level Categories in 2010-2011

| Grade Level | All Students | Regular Students |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| PreK-K | 778 | 247 |
| Elementary | 6,288 | 2,976 |
| Middle | 6,268 | 1,722 |
| High | 1,010 | 131 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{1 4 , 3 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 0 7 6}$ |

Compared to the other three grade-categories, a greater percentage of Elementary students met the definition of regular attendees than other grade-level categories. For each of these grade-level categories of students, the percentages of elementary school students who met the definition of regular attendees is proportionally skewed in favor of Elementary School, especially in contrast to High School. The percentages of all students within each school level category that met the definition of regular attendee were:

- PreK-K students: $32 \%$
- Elementary: $47 \%$
- Middle: 27\%
- High School: I3\%

Within Elementary school students, the highest percentage of regular attendees served was in the 3 rd (14\%) grade. Of Middle school students, the highest percentage of regular attendees was in the $6^{\text {th }}$ ( $13 \%$ ) grade, and of High school students, the highest percentage of regular attendees was in the IIth grade (less than I\%).

## Staff \& Partner Data

The total staff for the 20I0-20II school year was I,428. There was I,2I9 paid staff, which makes up $85 \%$ of the total staff. Almost $50 \%$ of the paid staff were school-day teachers (includes former and substitute teachers). Volunteers made up $17 \%$ of total staff. Of the 209 volunteers, $30 \%$ were high school or college students and $10 \%$ were parents. See Appendix C for the full breakdown of volunteers and paid staff.

There was a total of 621 staff during the summer of 2011 including 536 paid staff and 85 volunteers. Of the paid summer staff, $44 \%$ were teachers or former teachers in 2010-201I. Grantees reported 246 partners and $41 \%$ of these were subcontractors. See Appendix D for the type of contribution that partners and subcontractors provided.

## Operations \& Feeder School Data

The median number of weeks that Centers were in operation during the school year was 32; during the summer it was five. During both the regular school year and the summer, the median number of hours that state Centers were open was five days a week. The median number of hours of operation during the school year per week was 13.5. All Centers offered their services after school. During the summer, the median number of hours of operation per week was 20, and $100 \%$ of Centers offered services during the week days. Colorado's 68 Centers had 73 feeder schools for the school year 2010-201I and for summer 201I. Almost all Centers (91\%) had no more than one feeder school.

[^3]
## Services \& Activities

Sixty-eight Centers reported data about their services and activities during School Year 2010-201I (63 Centers provided programming during the Summer 20II). Information about these services and activities is displayed in Table 4 and Table 5. Two categories of services and activities, (I) academic enrichment and (2) recreational services, were provided by the vast majority of Colorado Centers, while tutoring and homework help were provided by approximately half of the Centers. Tables $6 \& 7$ provide information on the percentage of activities and hours within the category of activities for all Centers.

Table 4: Categories of Student \& Adult Services \& Activities Provided by 2010-2011 Centers for School Year

| Student and Adult Activity or <br> Service Category | \# of <br> Centers | \% of 68 <br> Centers | Average \# <br> of Hours / <br> Day | Average \# <br> of Days / <br> Week |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student | Academic enrichment | 61 | 90 | 1.4 | 1.97 |
| Student | Recreational activity | 58 | 85 | 1.42 | 1.77 |
| Student | Tutoring | 43 | 63 | 1.28 | 2.27 |
| Student | Homework help | 41 | 60 | 1.29 | 2.99 |
| Student | Other student activity or service | 31 | 46 | 1.47 | 1.62 |
| Student | Community service/service learning | 31 | 46 | 1.72 | 1.44 |
| Student | Leadership | 27 | 40 | 1.26 | 1.53 |
| Student | Mentoring | 18 | 26 | 1.3 | 1.44 |
| Student | Drug/violence prevention, <br> counseling/education | 16 | 24 | 1.56 | 1.58 |
| Student | Career/job training for youth | 15 | 22 | 1.43 | 1.62 |
| Student | Supplemental education services | 13 | 19 | 1.11 | 1.76 |
| Student | Expanded library service hours | 5 | 7 | 1.33 | 1.5 |
| Adult | Family literacy for adults | 28 | 41 | 2.1 | 1.83 |
| Adult | Parent involvement | 28 | 41 | 2.1 | 1.83 |
| Adult | Career/job training for adults | 13 | 19 | 1.71 | 1.94 |

Table 5: Categories of Student \& Adult Services \& Activities Provided by Centers for Summer 2011

|  | Student and Adult Activity or <br> Service Category | \# of <br> Centers | \% of 63 <br> Centers | Average \# <br> of Hours / <br> Day | Average <br> \# of <br> Days / <br> Week |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student | Academic enrichment | 48 | $76 \%$ | 3.07 | 3.97 |
| Student | Recreational activity | 23 | $37 \%$ | 2.67 | 3.25 |
| Student | Tutoring | 12 | $19 \%$ | 3.5 | 4.5 |
| Student | Other student activity or service | 9 | $14 \%$ | 1.59 | 2.4 l |
| Student | Community service/service learning | 9 | $14 \%$ | 1.59 | 2.4 l |
| Student | Leadership | 4 | $6 \%$ | 3.45 | 2.5 |
| Student | Drug/violence prevention, <br> counseling/education | 4 | $6 \%$ | 3.8 | 3 |
| Student | Career/job training for youth | 3 | $5 \%$ | 2 | 2.33 |
| Student | Supplemental education services | 3 | $5 \%$ | 2 | 2.33 |
| Student | Expanded library service hours | 3 | $5 \%$ | 2 | 2.33 |
| Student | Homework help | 1 | $2 \%$ | 3 | 4 |
| Student | Mentoring | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| Adult | Family literacy for adults | 7 | $11 \%$ | 3 | 4.43 |
| Adult | Parent involvement | 2 | $3 \%$ | 2 | 1 |
| Adult | Career/job training for adults | 1 | $2 \%$ | 2 | 1 |

Table 6: Percentage of Activities within Activity Category for All 2010-20II Centers ${ }^{8}$

| Service <br> Target | Activity | \% During Regular School Year | \% During Summer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student | Academic enrichment | 35.62\% | 43\% |
| Student | Recreational activity | 21.54\% | 29.47\% |
| Student | Tutoring | 6.6\% | 5.8\% |
| Student | Homework help | 5.11\% | 0\% |
| Student | Activities that promote youth leadership | 3.36\% | 1.93\% |
| Student | Community service/service learning | 3.11\% | 4.35\% |
| Student | Career/job training for youth | 3.24\% | 0.48\% |
| Student | Mentoring | 2.12\% | 0\% |
| Student | Drug/violence prevention, counseling/education | 1.62\% | 0.97\% |
| Student | Supplemental education services | 4.98\% | 1.45\% |
| Student | Expanded library service hours | 0.25\% | 1.45\% |
| Adult | Family literacy for adults | 2.62\% | 3.38\% |
| Adult | Parent involvement | 2.49\% | 0.48\% |
| Adult | Career/job training for adults | 1.49\% | 0.48\% |
| Total Number of Activities Offered |  | 803 | 207 |

Table 7: Percentage of Hours Focusing on Activity Categories for All 2010-20II Centers:

| Service <br> Target | Activity | \% During <br> Regular <br> School Year | \% During <br> Summer |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Student | Academic enrichment | $34.49 \%$ | $47.69 \%$ |
| Student | Recreational activity | $15.69 \%$ | $20.45 \%$ |
| Student | Tutoring | $9.39 \%$ | $10.63 \%$ |
| Student | Homework help | $11.16 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Student | Activities that promote youth leadership | $1.63 \%$ | $1.94 \%$ |
| Student | Community service/service learning | $1.77 \%$ | $1.17 \%$ |
| Student | Career/job training for youth | $2.66 \%$ | $1.57 \%$ |
| Student | Mentoring | $0.79 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Student | Drug/violence prevention, counseling/education | $1.71 \%$ | $0.25 \%$ |
| Student | Supplemental education services | $3.51 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ |
| Student | Expanded library service hours | $0.41 \%$ | $1.04 \%$ |
| Adult | Family literacy for adults | $4.65 \%$ | $5.89 \%$ |
| Adult | Parent involvement | $0.92 \%$ | $0.08 \%$ |
| Adult | Career/job training for adults | $3.04 \%$ | $0.11 \%$ |
| Total Number | of Activities Hours Offered | $\mathbf{4 7 5 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{9 4 I 7}$ |

Information about academic subject-specific services and activities is displayed in Table 8. Reading and math were the academic subjects that were most frequently provided.

[^4]Table 8: Percentages of Activities \& Hours Supporting Academic Subject Areas Provided by All 2010-201। Centers.

| Academic Subject Area | Percentage <br> of Activities <br> SY | Percentage <br> of Activities <br> Summer | Percentage <br> of Hours <br> SY | Percentage <br> of Hours <br> Summer |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading or literacy education activities | $36.99 \%$ | $50.24 \%$ | $47.23 \%$ | $58.15 \%$ |
| Mathematics education activities | $26.77 \%$ | $29.47 \%$ | $40.47 \%$ | $43.47 \%$ |
| Health or nutrition related activities | $20.55 \%$ | $21.74 \%$ | $19.38 \%$ | $33.15 \%$ |
| Science education activities | $15.94 \%$ | $28.5 \%$ | $21.14 \%$ | $36.04 \%$ |
| Arts and music education activities | $26.03 \%$ | $22.22 \%$ | $22.59 \%$ | $34.51 \%$ |
| Cultural activities or social studies | $20.05 \%$ | $21.74 \%$ | $19.93 \%$ | $29.44 \%$ |
| Telecommunications and technology education | $8.72 \%$ | $15.94 \%$ | $13.01 \%$ | $24.91 \%$ |
| Entrepreneurial education programs (business) | $7.6 \%$ | $8.21 \%$ | $4.12 \%$ | $14.01 \%$ |
| Note: A single activity may target multiple subjects: Percentages do not sum to $100 \%$ |  |  |  |  |

## CENTER OUTCOMES

## Teacher Survey Data

Improvements in academic behaviors were assessed by teachers who completed a survey developed by the $21^{\text {st }}$ CCLC Initiative. These were the percentages of improved behaviors in 2010-201I for regular attendees based on information from up to 4,062 completed Colorado teacher surveys:
The total teacher survey response rate was $79 \%$.

- $76 \%$ had better academic performance
- $70 \%$ improved participation in class
- $67 \%$ completed homework to teachers' satisfaction.
- $63 \%$ improved in turning in homework on time
- $65 \%$ were more attentive in class
- $64 \%$ were more motivated to learn when they came to school
- $67 \%$ got along better with other students
- $57 \%$ behaved better in class
- $47 \%$ showed improvement in volunteering for extra credit or more responsibilities
- $46 \%$ improved regular class attendance


## Government Performance \& Results Act (GPRA) Measures

## State Results

In accordance with the Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA) of 1993, the US Department of Education has identified a series of indicators for the 21 st CCLC program regarding participant progress in academics, homework completion, class participation and improvements in student behavior.

Tables 9 and IO display summaries of the status of these GPRA indicators for Colorado's $2{ }^{\text {st }}$ CCLC programs. As shown in Table 9, the greatest gains were observed in homework completion, class participation and improvements in student behavior.

Table 9: Attainment of performance measures for $2{ }^{\text {st }}$ CCLC objective I in 2010-20II: Participants in 2 Ist $^{\text {st }}$ CCLC programs will demonstrate educational and social benefits and exhibit positive behavioral changes.

| Performance Measures | 20I0-20II |
| :--- | :---: |
|  | State |
| I.I The percentage of Elementary 2Ist Century regular program participants who <br> improve from not proficient to proficient or above in reading on state assessments. | 32.68 |
| I.2 The percentage of Middle/High school 2Ist Century regular program <br> participants who improve from not proficient to proficient or above in mathematics <br> on state assessments. | 18.87 |
| I.3 The percentage of Elementary 2Ist Century regular program participants with <br> teacher-reported improvement in homework completion and class participation. | 69.40 |
| I.4 The percentage of Middle and High school 2Ist Century regular program <br> participants with teacher-reported improvement in homework completion and class <br> participation. | 64.1 II |
| I.5 The percentage of all 2Ist Century regular program participants with teacher- <br> reported improvement in homework completion and class participation. | 66.78 |
| I.6 The percentage of Elementary 2 Ist Century regular program participants with <br> teacher-reported improvements in student behavior. | 65.44 |
| I.7 The percentage of Middle and High school 2Ist Century regular program <br> participants with teacher-reported improvements in student behavior. | 57.78 |
| I.8 The percentage of all 2Ist Century regular program participants with teacher- <br> reported improvements in student behavior. | 61.91 |

Table 10: Attainment of performance measures for $21^{\text {st }}$ CCLC objective 2 in 2010-201I: 2 Ist CCLC grantees will offer high-quality enrichment opportunities that positively affect student outcomes such as school attendance and academic performance, and result in decreased disciplinary actions or other adverse behaviors.

| Performance Measures | 2010-20II |
| :--- | :---: |
| 2.I The percentage of 2Ist Century Centers reporting emphasis in at least one <br> core academic area. | 45.91 |
| 2.2 The percentage of 2Ist Century Centers offering enrichment and support <br> activities in other areas. | 73.62 |

## Center Results ${ }^{9}$

## 2010-201I Performance Measures I.I, I. 3 and I. 6

Three 2 Ist $^{\text {st }}$ CCLC performance measures (I.I, I. 3 and I.6) pertain to students in the elementary grades. Table II displays attainment percentages for 2010-20II for the Colorado Centers that served elementary-level students. These performance measures target regular program Elementary participants (I.I) who improve from proficient or above in the Reading CSAP; (I.3) with teacher-reported improvements in homework completion and class participation; and (I.6) with teacher-reported improvements in student behavior.

[^5]Table II: Percent Attainment of Measures for Elementary Students - School Year 2010-201 I

| GRANTEE | CENTER | $\begin{gathered} \text { I.I } \\ \text { READING } \end{gathered}$ | I. 3 HOMEWORK | $\begin{gathered} 1.6 \\ \text { BEHAVIOR } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adams 12 Five Star Schools | North Star ES | 30\% | 76.35\% | 73.10\% |
| Adams 14 | Hanson ES | 0\% | 79.82\% | 69.74\% |
| Adams 14 | Monaco ES | 25\% | 64.84\% | 60.05\% |
| Alicia Sanchez | Alicia Sanchez ES | 22.22\% | 61.90\% | 58.22\% |
| Aurora | Fletcher ES | 100\% | 85.71\% | 87.94\% |
| Aurora | Sable ES | 18.18\% | 71.67\% | 62.47\% |
| Aurora | Vaughn ES | 20\% | 71.16\% | 70.66\% |
| Boulder Valley School District | Columbine ES | 33.33\% | 90.20\% | 84.16\% |
| Boulder Valley School District | University Hill ES | 0\% | 92.86\% | 86.85\% |
| Colorado Springs School District II | Hunt ES | 60\% | 65.52\% | 56.57\% |
| Denver County School District VI | Force ES | 40\% | 67.76\% | 70.01\% |
| Denver County School District VI | Johnson ES | NA | NA | NA |
| Denver County School District VI | Place Bridge Acad. | NA | NA | NA |
| Denver County School District V2 | Colfax ES | 50\% | 72.73\% | 69.49\% |
| Denver County School District V2 | Cowell ES | 28.57\% | 68.51\% | 64.44\% |
| Denver County School District V2 | Eagleton ES | 50\% | 75.29\% | 69.35\% |
| Denver County School District V2 | Munroe ES | 14.29\% | 71.83\% | 68.51\% |
| Denver County School District V3 | Cole Arts \& Science | NA | NA | NA |
| Englewood | Bishop ES | 25\% | 58.20\% | 57.18\% |
| Garfield | Wamsley ES | 0\% | 83.82\% | 76.37\% |
| Greeley 6 | Centennial ES | 0\% | 59.09\% | 56.76\% |
| Greeley 6 | Heinman ES | 16.67\% | 62.50\% | 60.37\% |
| Greeley 6 | Jackson ES | 18.75\% | 47.65\% | 44.73\% |
| Greeley 6 | Madison ES | 16.67\% | 67.26\% | 61.24\% |
| Greeley 6 | Shawsheen ES | 33.33\% | 68.44\% | 71.02\% |
| JEFFCO VI | Foster ES | 50\% | 79.59\% | 80.13\% |
| Mesa County Valley 5I | Clifton ES | 50\% | 80\% | 64.04\% |
| Mesa County Valley 51 | Rocky Mountain ES | 30.77\% | 67.47\% | 65.88\% |
| Montrose V2 | Olathe ES | 36.84\% | 75.68\% | 62.84\% |
| Poudre | Irish ES | 83.33\% | 78.57\% | 75.69\% |
| Poudre | Putnam ES | 0\% | 57.99\% | 49.54\% |
| Pueblo 70 | Pueblo West ES | 100\% | 79.59\% | 76.71\% |
| Summer Scholars VI | Ford ES | 0\% | 65.38\% | 68\% |
| Summer Scholars VI | Oakland ES | 25\% | 85\% | 63.33\% |
| Summer Scholars V2 | Columbine ES | 0\% | 60.71\% | 58.33\% |
| Summer Scholars V2 | Dora Moore ES | 20\% | 78.43\% | 77.55\% |
| Summer Scholars V2 | Harrington ES | 66.67\% | 38.24\% | 36.82\% |
| Summer Scholars V2 | Swansea ES | 14.29\% | 74.58\% | 69.78\% |

## 2010-201I Performance Measures I.2, I. 4 and I. 7

Three $\left.2\right|^{\text {st }}$ CCLC performance measures (I.2, I. 4 and I.7) pertain to students in the middle and high school grades. Table 12 displays attainment percentages for 2010-20II for the Colorado Centers that served secondary students. These performance measures target regular program Middle/ High School participants (I.2) who improve from proficient or above in the Mathematics CSAP and teacher-reported improvement in homework completion and class participation (I.4); and student behavior (I.7).

Table I2: Percent Attainment of Measures for Middle / High School Students in2010-20II

| GRANTEE | CENTER | I.2 MATH | $\begin{gathered} \text { l. } 4 \\ \text { HOMEWORK } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { I. } 7 \\ \text { BEHAVIOR } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Boulder Valley School District | Casey MS | 0\% | 72.22\% | 70.52\% |
| Cripple Creek | Cripple Creek JR-SR | 100\% | 71.43\% | 77.08\% |
| Denver County School District VI | Noel MS | NA | NA | NA |
| Denver County School District | Lake MS | 5.26\% | 53.33\% | 52.11\% |
| Denver County School District V3 | Bruce Randolf | 0\% | 86.96\% | 65.93\% |
| Denver County School District V3 | Skinner MS | 22.22\% | 79.67\% | 69.71\% |
| Greeley 6 | Franklin (Maplewood) MS | 9.09\% | 44.76\% | 40.11\% |
| Greeley 6 | Heath MS | 14.29\% | 63.08\% | 53.17\% |
| Greeley 6 | John Evans MS | 0\% | 69.37\% | 66.87\% |
| Greeley 6 | Northridge HS | 0\% | 59.52\% | 55.12\% |
| Hanover | Hanover JR-SR | 0\% | 73.68\% | 70.08\% |
| Harrison | Carmel MS | 59.09\% | 48.58\% | 43.57\% |
| Harrison | Fox Meadow MS | 45.45\% | 47.58\% | 43.83\% |
| Huerfano | John Mall HS | 0\% | 63.64\% | 64.29\% |
| JEFFCO V2 | Jefferson HS | 0\% | 58.33\% | 59.12\% |
| JEFFCO V2 | Wheatridge MS | 38.46\% | 70.55\% | 66.38\% |
| Lake County | Lake County Intermediate | 0\% | 60\% | 57.94\% |
| Mesa County Valley 51 | Mt. Garfield | 8.33\% | 84.75\% | 64.89\% |
| Montezuma-Cortez School District | Cortez MS | 33.33\% | 84.03\% | 57.41\% |
| Montrose VI | Centennial | 16.13\% | 54.64\% | 47.35\% |
| Montrose V2 | Olathe MS | 18.03\% | 57.04\% | 51.32\% |
| Poudre | Lincoln IB World | 0\% | 66.18\% | 62.68\% |
| Pueblo 60 | Freed MS | 50\% | 76.92\% | 62.39\% |
| Pueblo 60 | Pitts MS | 25\% | 81.82\% | 82.08\% |
| Pueblo 60 | Risley MS | 0\% | 66.67\% | 69.12\% |
| Trinidad | Trinidad | 22.58\% | 80.45\% | 71.24\% |
| YMCA-Lorraine | Lorraine | 20\% | 48.28\% | 45.74\% |
| YMCA-Sierra | Sierra HS | 25\% | 82.76\% | 86.02\% |

## 2010-2011 Performance Measures 1.5 and I. 8

Two $2 I^{\text {st }}$ CCLC performance measures ( 1.5 and I .8 ) pertain to regular program participants in Centers offering services in 2010-201I. These performance measures target regular program participants (I.5) with teacher-reported improvement in homework completion and class participation; and (I.8) with teacherreported improvements in student behavior (see Table 13).

Table 13. Percent Attainment of Measures for All Students in 2010-201I

| GRANTEE | CENTER | I.5 HOMEWORK | $\begin{gathered} 1.8 \\ \text { BEHAVIOR } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adams 12 Five Star Schools | North Star ES | 76.35\% | 73.10\% |
| Adams 14 | Hanson ES | 79.82\% | 69.74\% |
| Adams 14 | Monaco ES | 64.84\% | 60.05\% |
| Alicia Sanchez | Alicia Sanchez ES | 61.90\% | 58.22\% |
| Aurora | Fletcher ES | 85.71\% | 87.94\% |
| Aurora | Sable ES | 71.67\% | 62.47\% |
| Aurora | Vaughn ES | 71.16\% | 70.66\% |
| Boulder Valley School District | Casey MS | 72.22\% | 70.52\% |
| Boulder Valley School District | Columbine ES | 90.20\% | 84.16\% |
| Boulder Valley School District | University Hill ES | 92.86\% | 86.85\% |
| Colorado Springs School District II | Hunt ES | 65.52\% | 56.57\% |
| Cripple Creek | Cripple Creek JR-SR | 71.43\% | 77.08\% |
| Denver County School District VI | Force ES | 67.76\% | 70.01\% |
| Denver County School District VI | Johnson ES | 46.18\% | 46.15\% |
| Denver County School District VI | Noel MS | 54.63\% | 53.39\% |
| Denver County School District VI | Place Bridge Acad. | 72.93\% | 70.80\% |
| Denver County School District V2 | Colfax ES | 72.73\% | 69.49\% |
| Denver County School District V2 | Cowell ES | 68.51\% | 64.44\% |
| Denver County School District V2 | Eagleton ES | 75.29\% | 69.35\% |
| Denver County School District V2 | Munroe ES | 71.83\% | 68.51\% |
| Denver County School District V3 | Bruce Randolf | 86.96\% | 65.93\% |
| Denver County School District V3 | Cole Arts \& Science | 66.90\% | 57.16\% |
| Denver County School District V3 | Skinner MS | 79.67\% | 69.71\% |
| Denver County School District | Lake MS | 53.33\% | 52.11\% |
| Englewood | Bishop ES | 58.20\% | 57.18\% |
| Garfield | Wamsley ES | 83.82\% | 76.37\% |
| Greeley 6 | Centennial ES | 59.09\% | 56.76\% |
| Greeley 6 | Franklin (Maplewood) MS | 44.76\% | 40.11\% |
| Greeley 6 | Heath MS | 63.08\% | 53.17\% |
| Greeley 6 | Heinman ES | 62.50\% | 60.37\% |
| Greeley 6 | Jackson ES | 47.65\% | 44.73\% |
| Greeley 6 | John Evans MS | 69.37\% | 66.87\% |
| Greeley 6 | Madison ES | 67.26\% | 61.24\% |

Table 13. Percent Attainment of Measures for All Students in 2010-2011 (continued)

| GRANTEE | CENTER | I. 5 HOMEWORK | $\begin{gathered} \text { I.8 } \\ \text { BEHAVIOR } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Greeley 6 | Northridge HS | 59.52\% | 55.12\% |
| Greeley 6 | Shawsheen ES | 68.44\% | 71.02\% |
| Hanover | Hanover JR-SR | 73.68\% | 70.08\% |
| Harrison | Carmel MS | 48.58\% | 43.57\% |
| Harrison | Fox Meadow MS | 47.58\% | 43.83\% |
| Huerfano | John Mall HS | 63.64\% | 64.29\% |
| JEFFCO VI | Foster ES | 79.59\% | 80.13\% |
| JEFFCO VI | Russell/Arvada K-8 | 84.62\% | 75.79\% |
| JEFFCO V2 | Jefferson HS | 58.33\% | 59.12\% |
| JEFFCO V2 | Wheatridge MS | 70.55\% | 66.38\% |
| Lake County | Lake County Intermediate | 60\% | 57.94\% |
| Mesa County Valley 51 | Clifton ES | 80\% | 64.04\% |
| Mesa County Valley 51 | Mt. Garfield | 84.75\% | 64.89\% |
| Mesa County Valley 5I | Rocky Mountain ES | 67.47\% | 65.88\% |
| Montezuma-Cortez School District | Cortez MS | 84.03\% | 57.41\% |
| Montrose VI | Centennial | 54.64\% | 47.35\% |
| Montrose V2 | Olathe MS | 57.04\% | 51.32\% |
| Montrose V2 | Olathe ES | 75.68\% | 62.84\% |
| Poudre | Irish ES | 78.57\% | 75.69\% |
| Poudre | Lincoln IB World | 66.18\% | 62.68\% |
| Poudre | Putnam School ES | 57.99\% | 49.54\% |
| Pueblo 60 | Freed | 76.92\% | 62.39\% |
| Pueblo 60 | Pitts | 81.82\% | 82.08\% |
| Pueblo 60 | Risley | 66.67\% | 69.12\% |
| Pueblo 70 | Pueblo West ES | 79.59\% | 76.71\% |
| Summer Scholars VI | Ford ES | 65.38\% | 68\% |
| Summer Scholars VI | Oakland ES | 85\% | 63.33\% |
| Summer Scholars V2 | Columbine ES | 60.71\% | 58.33\% |
| Summer Scholars V2 | Dora Moore ES | 78.43\% | 77.55\% |
| Summer Scholars V2 | Harrington ES | 38.24\% | 36.82\% |
| Summer Scholars V2 | Swansea ES | 74.58\% | 69.78\% |
| Trinidad | Trinidad | 80.45\% | 71.24\% |
| YMCA-Lorraine | Lorraine | 48.28\% | 45.74\% |
| YMCA-Sierra | Sierra HS | 82.76\% | 86.02\% |

## 2010-2011 Performance Measures 2.1 and 2.2

All 2010-201I Centers reported on GPRA measures 2.I: percentage of 21 st Century Centers reporting emphasis in at least one core academic area and 2.2: percentage of 21 st Century Centers offering enrichment and support activities in other areas. Table 14 shows the percentage of attainment in these two areas. These measures are mutually exclusive and are not expected to add to $100 \%$.

Table 14. Percent of 2010-20IICenters Emphasizing One Core Academic Area \& Offering Enrichment

| GRANTEE | CENTER | 2.I Emphasis in at least one core academic area | 2.2 Offers enrichment \& support activities |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adams 12 Five Star Schools | North Star ES | 75\% | 66.67\% |
| Adams 14 | Hanson ES | 41.38\% | 68.97\% |
| Adams 14 | Monaco ES | 29.03\% | 77.42\% |
| Alicia Sanchez | Alicia Sanchez ES | 57.14\% | 52.38\% |
| Aurora | Fletcher ES | 18.18\% | 90.91\% |
| Aurora | Sable ES | 22.73\% | 95.45\% |
| Aurora | Vaughn ES | 25\% | 85\% |
| Boulder Valley School District | Casey MS | 57.14\% | 71.43\% |
| Boulder Valley School District | Columbine ES | 66.67\% | 66.67\% |
| Boulder Valley School District | University Hill ES | 66.67\% | 66.67\% |
| Colorado Springs School District II | Hunt ES | 66.67\% | 33.33\% |
| Cripple Creek | Cripple Creek JR-SR | 36.54\% | 53.85\% |
| Denver County School District VI | Force ES | 40.62\% | 81.25\% |
| Denver County School District VI | Johnson ES | 45.71\% | 68.57\% |
| Denver County School District VI | Noel MS | 11.63\% | 89.92\% |
| Denver County School District VI | Place Bridge Acad. | 45.71\% | 68.57\% |
| Denver County School District V2 | Colfax ES | 50\% | 75\% |
| Denver County School District V2 | Cowell ES | 12.50\% | 87.50\% |
| Denver County School District V2 | Eagleton ES | 18.18\% | 81.82\% |
| Denver County School District V2 | Munroe ES | 38.46\% | 76.92\% |
| Denver County School District V3 | Bruce Randolf | 33.33\% | 87.88\% |
| Denver County School District V3 | Cole Arts \& Science | 16.95\% | 84.75\% |
| Denver County School District V3 | Skinner MS | 10.42\% | 91.67\% |
| Denver County School District | Lake MS | 21.88\% | 93.75\% |
| Englewood | Bishop ES | 63.16\% | 57.89\% |
| Garfield | Wamsley ES | 75\% | 50\% |
| Greeley 6 | Centennial ES | 71.88\% | 46.88\% |
| Greeley 6 | Franklin (Maplewood) MS | 75\% | 62.50\% |
| Greeley 6 | Heath MS | 62.86\% | 71.43\% |
| Greeley 6 | Heinman ES | 67.31\% | 76.92\% |
| Greeley 6 | Jackson ES | 50\% | 58.33\% |
| Greeley 6 | John Evans MS | 79.31\% | 86.21\% |

Table 14. Percent of 2010-201I Centers Emphasizing One Core Academic Area \& Offering Enrichment (continued)

| GRANTEE | CENTER | 2.I Emphasis in at least one core academic area | 2.2 Offers enrichment \& support activities |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Greeley 6 | Madison ES | 62.50\% | 29.17\% |
| Greeley 6 | Northridge HS | 58.33\% | 54.17\% |
| Greeley 6 | Shawsheen ES | 73.33\% | 26.67\% |
| Hanover | Hanover JR-SR | 100\% | 100\% |
| Harrison | Carmel MS | 75\% | 75\% |
| Harrison | Fox Meadow MS | 75\% | 50\% |
| Huerfano | John Mall HS | 85.71\% | 57.14\% |
| JEFFCO VI | Foster ES | 50\% | 90.62\% |
| JEFFCO VI | Russell/Arvada K-8 | 50\% | 88.89\% |
| JEFFCO V2 | Jefferson HS | 64.71\% | 76.47\% |
| JEFFCO V2 | Wheatridge MS | 26.92\% | 88.46\% |
| Lake County | Lake County Intermediate | 63.64\% | 90.91\% |
| Mesa County Valley 51 | Clifton ES | 50\% | 25\% |
| Mesa County Valley 51 | Mt. Garfield | 52.94\% | 35.29\% |
| Mesa County Valley 51 | Rocky Mountain ES | 60\% | 20\% |
| Montezuma-Cortez School District | Cortez MS | 61.54\% | 69.23\% |
| Montrose VI | Centennial | 74\% | 88\% |
| Montrose V2 | Olathe MS | 65.91\% | 84.09\% |
| Montrose V2 | Olathe ES | 58.33\% | 95\% |
| Poudre | Irish ES | 48.81\% | 75\% |
| Poudre | Lincoln IB World | 27.59\% | 93.10\% |
| Poudre | Putnam School ES | 52.07\% | 49.59\% |
| Pueblo 60 | Freed MS | 46.15\% | 76.92\% |
| Pueblo 60 | Pitts MS | 22.22\% | 100\% |
| Pueblo 60 | Risley MS | 23.08\% | 100\% |
| Pueblo 70 | Pueblo West ES | 100\% | 40\% |
| Summer Scholars VI | Ford ES | 66.67\% | 66.67\% |
| Summer Scholars VI | Oakland ES | 55.56\% | 66.67\% |
| Summer Scholars V2 | Columbine ES | 72.73\% | 45.45\% |
| Summer Scholars V2 | Dora Moore ES | 78.57\% | 50\% |
| Summer Scholars V2 | Harrington ES | 68.75\% | 43.75\% |
| Summer Scholars V2 | Swansea ES | 63.64\% | 63.64\% |
| Trinidad | Trinidad | 52.17\% | 73.91\% |
| YMCA-Lorraine | Lorraine | 25\% | 75\% |
| YMCA-Sierra | Sierra HS | 20\% | 85\% |

## State Assessment Results for Regular Attendees

In 2010-201I, the percentage of regular attendees who improved in proficiency in the current year from the previous year was $17 \%$ in Reading and $20 \%$ in Math. Tables 15 and 16 indicate the percentage of students increasing, decreasing or maintaining the same assessment level relative to the previous year's assessment level score in Reading and in Math.

Table 15: APR Reading Level Changes from Previous Year to Current Year for Colorado Grantees 2010-201I

| Change Relative to Previous <br> Year's Score | Previous Year's Assessment Level |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unsatisfactory | Partially <br> Proficient | Proficient | Advanced |
| Increased Relative to Last Year | $8 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Same Relative to Last Year | $17 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Decreased Relative to Last Year | $0 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Total Students with Scores: | $\mathbf{2 , 3 2 4}$ |  |  |  |

Table 16: APR Math Level Changes from Previous Year to Current Year for Colorado Grantees 2010-2011

| Change Relative to Previous <br> Year's Score | Previous Year's Assessment Level |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unsatisfactory | Partially <br> Proficient | Proficient | Advanced |
|  | $7 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Same Relative to Last Year | $19 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| Decreased Relative to Last Year | $0 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Total Students with Scores: | $\mathbf{2 , 3 4 2}$ |  |  |  |

Among the 3,143 regular attendees whose reading proficiency data were available ${ }^{10}$ :

- $24 \%$ were unsatisfactory
- $33 \%$ were partially proficient
- $39 \%$ were proficient
- $3 \%$ were advanced proficient

Among the 3,12I regular attendees whose math proficiency data were available:

- $25 \%$ were unsatisfactory
- $37 \%$ were partially proficient
- $28 \%$ were proficient
- $10 \%$ were advanced

[^6]
## 2011-2012 School Year: <br> Center Characteristics \& Outcomes

## CENTER CHARACTERISTICS

## Target Populations Served

In PPICS there are two classifications of student attendee data." The first classification counts all students who attend a Center. The second classification represents a subset of all students. This second classification considers a student to be a "Regular Student" when the student attends a Center for 30 days or more during a reporting period (which for most grantees is typically, the first year they are awarded during May or June through April or May of the next year).

For the 20II-20I2 reporting period, regular students comprised $34 \%$ of the total student population. The total student attendee population was $16,847^{12}$ and the total regular student attendees equaled 5,768 . The average number of students per Center was 244 and the average number of regular attendees was 84 .

The majority of student attendees served by the 68 Centers that reported ethnicity were Latino or Hispanic. The specific breakdown of reported attendee ethnicity is as follows:

- American Indian - Data not available ${ }^{13}$
- Asian/Pacific Islander - Total Student Attendees: 38I Regular Student Attendees: 122
- Black/African American - Total Student Attendees:I,497 Regular Student Attendees: 567
- Latino - Total Student Attendees: 9,953 Regular Student Attendees: 3,674
- White - Total Student Attendees: 4,339 Regular Student Attendees: 1276
- Unknown - Total Student Attendee: 246 Regular Student Attendees: 36

In addition, both genders were served approximately in equal proportions; 8,4I2 males (2,829 regular student attendees) and 8,377 females ( 2,928 regular student attendees) ${ }^{14}$.

Of the 20II-20I2 total student attendees ${ }^{15}$ :

- $37 \%$ were limited English proficient students.
- $76 \%$ were eligible for free and reduced lunch.
- $9 \%$ were students with special needs or disabilities.

[^7]Of regular student attendees:

- $44 \%$ were limited English proficient students.
- $84 \%$ were eligible for free and reduced lunch.
- $10 \%$ were students with special needs or disabilities.


## Student Attendee Data

As stated earlier, regular attendees are defined by students who attended a Center for 30 days or more during the reporting period. Attendance figures for the 2012 APR data show that the:

- Average Number of Student Attendees: 244
- Average Number of Regular Student Attendees: 84
- Percentage of Student Attendees Meeting the Definition of Regular Student Attendees: 34\%
- Total Number of Student Attendees: 16,847
- Total Number of Regular Student Attendees: 5,768

Table 17 below categories Centers by the number of attendees served during the 201I-2012 school year. Of note, $64 \%$ of Centers had fewer than 100 regular attendees. Twenty-three percent of Centers served over 300 total student attendees.

Table 17: Total Student Attendees \& Total Regular Attendees in 2011-2012

| Number of Attendees <br> Served in 20II-20I2 | Total Student Attendees <br> Number of <br> Centers | Percentage of <br> Centers | Total Regular Attendees <br> Number of <br> Centers | Percentage of <br> Centers |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 100 | 6 | $9 \%$ | $44^{16}$ | $64 \%$ |
| 100 to 150 | 12 | $17 \%$ | 20 | $29 \%$ |
| I5I to 200 | 15 | $22 \%$ | 4 | $6 \%$ |
| $20 I$ to 250 | 11 | $16 \%$ | 1 | $1 \%$ |
| $25 I$ to 300 | 9 | $13 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| Over 30 I | 16 | $23 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| Total with Reported Data | $\mathbf{6 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

## Distribution of Attendees by Grade Level Categories

The distributions of attendees during the 201I-2012 school year within four categories Pre K-K (Prekindergarten and Kindergarten), Elementary (Grades I-5), Middle (Grades 6-8), and High School (Grades 9-12) are displayed below. The total numbers do not reflect students whose grade in school was unknown ( 362 for all student attendees and five for regular student attendees).

[^8]Table 18: Distribution of Attendees by Grade Level Categories in 2011-2012

| Grade Level | All Students | Regular Students |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| PreK-K | 839 | 366 |
| Elementary | 6,942 | 3,488 |
| Middle | 7,175 | 1,756 |
| High | 1,472 | 132 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{1 6 , 4 2 8}{ }^{17}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 7 4 2}$ |

Compared to the other three grade-categories, a greater percentage of Elementary students met the definition of regular attendees than other grade-level categories. For each of these grade-level categories of students, the percentages of elementary school students who met the definition of regular attendees is proportionally skewed in favor of Elementary School, especially in contrast to High School. The percentages of all students within each school level category that met the definition of regular attendee were:

- PreK-K students: $43 \%$
- Elementary: 50\%
- Middle: $24 \%$
- High School: 9\%

Within Elementary school students, the highest percentage of regular attendees served was in the 3rd grade ( $13 \%$ ). Of Middle school students, the highest percentage of regular attendees was in the $6^{\text {th }}$ grade ( $13 \%$ ), and of High school students, the highest percentage of regular attendees was in the $12^{\text {th }}$ grade (less than $1 \%$ ).

## Staff \& Partner Data

The total staff for the 20II-20I2 school year was I,558. There was I,I63 paid staff, which makes up $75 \%$ of the total staff. Fifty-two percent of the paid staff were school-day teachers (includes former and substitute teachers). Volunteers made up $25 \%$ of total staff. Of the 395 volunteers, $48 \%$ were college and high school students and $15 \%$ were parents. See Appendix C for a full breakdown of volunteers and paid staff.

There was a total of 700 staff during the summer of 2012 including 576 paid staff and 124 volunteers. Of the paid summer staff, $47 \%$ were teachers or former teachers. Volunteers were comprised of students ( $28 \%$ ) and parents (8\%). In 201I-20I2, Grantees reported 301 partners and $32 \%$ of these were subcontractors. See Appendix D for the type of contribution that partners and subcontractors provided.

## Operations \& Feeder School Data

The median number of weeks that Centers were in operation during the school year was 32; during the summer it was five. Centers were open a median number of 5 days per week during the school year and 5 days per week during the summer. The median number of hours of operation during the school year per week was I7. All Centers offered their services after school. During the summer, the average number of hours of operation per week was 24 , and $100 \%$ of Centers offered services during the week days.

Colorado's 69 Centers had 76 feeder schools for the school year 2011-2012 and for summer 2012. Almost all Centers (91\%) had no more than one feeder school.

[^9]
## Services \& Activities

Sixty-nine Centers reported data about their services and activities during the 2011-2012 school year. (Sixtysix Centers reported summer 2012 activities). Information about these services and activities is displayed in Table 19 and Table 20.

There were 12 possible categories of activities and services for student and three for adults. Two categories of services and activities, ( $I$ ) academic enrichment and (2) recreational services, were provided by the vast majority of Colorado Centers, while homework help and tutoring were provided by approximately half of the Centers. Tables 21 \& 22 provide information on the percentage of activities and hours within the category of activities for all Centers.

Table 19: Categories of Student \& Adult Services \& Activities Provided by 20II-20I2 Centers

|  | Student and Adult Activity or Service Category | \# of Centers | \% of 69 <br> Centers | Average \# of Hours / Day | Average \# of Days / Week |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student | Recreational activity | 58 | 84\% | 1.57 | 1.98 |
| Student | Academic enrichment | 55 | 80\% | 1.44 | 2.18 |
| Student | Tutoring | 45 | 65\% | 1.3 | 3.58 |
| Student | Homework help | 37 | 54\% | 1.56 | 2.64 |
| Student | Other student activity or service | 30 | 43\% | 1.59 | 1.17 |
| Student | Leadership | 25 | 36\% | 1.45 | 1.7 |
| Student | Community service/service learning | 24 | 35\% | 1.41 | 1.42 |
| Student | Career/job training for youth | 20 | 29\% | 1.7 | 1.57 |
| Student | Mentoring | 17 | 25\% | 1.55 | 1.41 |
| Student | Drug/violence prevention, counseling/education | 15 | 22\% | 1.86 | 1.83 |
| Student | Supplemental education services | 13 | 19\% | 1.88 | 1.53 |
| Student | Expanded library service hours | 6 | 9\% | 1.71 | 2.29 |
| Adult | Family literacy for adults | 37 | 54\% | 1.96 | 1.29 |
| Adult | Parent involvement | 38 | 55\% | 2.02 | 1.98 |
| Adult | Career/job training for adults | 10 | 14\% | 1.55 | 1.36 |

Table 20: Categories of Student \& Adult Services \& Activities Provided by Centers for Summer 2012

| Student and Adult Activity or <br> Service Category | \# of <br> Centers | \% of 66 <br> Centers | Average \# <br> of Hours $/$ <br> Day | Average \# <br> of Days $/$ <br> Week |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student | Academic enrichment | 56 | $85 \%$ | 3.02 | 3.75 |
| Student | Tutoring | 11 | $17 \%$ | 4.09 | 4.45 |
| Student | Homework help | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| Student | Mentoring | 1 | $2 \%$ | 2 | 1 |
| Student | Recreational activity | 27 | $41 \%$ | 2.51 | 3.37 |
| Student | Drug/violence prevention, <br> counseling/education | 4 | $6 \%$ | 2.8 | 3.4 |
| Student | Career/job training for youth | 4 | $6 \%$ | 1.8 | 2.2 |
| Student | Expanded library service hours | 4 | $6 \%$ | 3.25 | 3.75 |
| Student | Supplemental education services | 8 | $12 \%$ | 2.78 | 3.11 |
| Student | Community service learning | 14 | $21 \%$ | 1.8 | 2.73 |
| Student | Leadership | 10 | $15 \%$ | 2.72 | 3 |
| Student | Other student activity or service | 8 | $12 \%$ | 1.54 | 2.04 |
| Adult | Parent involvement | 2 | $3 \%$ | 2.5 | 1 |
| Adult | Family literacy for adults | 7 | $11 \%$ | 3 | 4.43 |
| Adult | Career/job training for adults | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |

Table 21: Percentage of Activities within Activity Category for All 20II-20I2 Centers ${ }^{18}$

| Service <br> Target | Activity | \% During Regular School Year | \% During Summer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student | Academic enrichment | 36.11\% | 43.23\% |
| Student | Recreational activity | 25.84\% | 27.82\% |
| Student | Tutoring | 5.41\% | 3.76\% |
| Student | Homework help | 5.41\% | 0\% |
| Student | Activities that promote youth leadership | 3.89\% | 5.64\% |
| Student | Community service/service learning | 2.81\% | 4.51\% |
| Student | Career/job training for youth | 2.59\% | I.5\% |
| Student | Mentoring | 1.08\% | 0.38\% |
| Student | Drug/violence prevention, counseling/education | 2.27\% | 1.88\% |
| Student | Supplemental education services | 4\% | 3.38\% |
| Student | Expanded library service hours | 0.54\% | I.13\% |
| Adult | Family literacy for adults | 3.24\% | 2.63\% |
| Adult | Parent involvement | 3.89\% | 0.75\% |
| Adult | Career/job training for adults | 0.43\% | 0\% |
| Total Number of Activities Offered |  | 925 | 266 |

Table 22: Percentage of Hours Focusing on Activity Categories for All 2011-2012 Centers

| Service <br> Target | Activity <br> \% During <br> Regular | \% During <br> Summer |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Student | Academic enrichment | School Year | $40.1 \%$ |
| Student | Recreational activity | $19.97 \%$ | $21.89 \%$ |
| Student | Tutoring | $10.41 \%$ | $9.24 \%$ |
| Student | Homework help | $14.84 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Student | Activities that promote youth leadership | $2.56 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ |
| Student | Community service/service learning | $1.56 \%$ | $1.99 \%$ |
| Student | Career/job training for youth | $1.59 \%$ | $0.43 \%$ |
| Student | Mentoring | $0.32 \%$ | $0.06 \%$ |
| Student | Drug/violence prevention, counseling/education | $1.31 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ |
| Student | Supplemental education services | $4.52 \%$ | $3.53 \%$ |
| Student | Expanded library service hours | $0.91 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ |
| Adult | Family literacy for adults | $6.57 \%$ | $5.38 \%$ |
| Adult | Parent involvement | $2.69 \%$ | $0.17 \%$ |
| Adult | Career/job training for adults | $0.21 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Total Number | of Activities Hours Offered | 53585 | 10263 |

[^10]Information about academic subject-specific services and activities is displayed in Table 23. Reading and math were the academic subjects that were most frequently provided.

Table 23: Percentages of Activities \& Hours Supporting Academic Subject Areas Provided by All 2011-2012 Centers.

| Academic Subject Area | Percentage <br> of Activities <br> SY | Percentage <br> of Activities <br> Summer | Percentage <br> of Hours <br> SY | Percentage <br> of Hours <br> Summer |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading or literacy education activities | $35.24 \%$ | $42.86 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $63 \%$ |
| Mathematics education activities | $26.49 \%$ | $31.58 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $49 \%$ |
| Health or nutrition related activities | $19.35 \%$ | $25.56 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $29 \%$ |
| Science education activities | $18.38 \%$ | $24.81 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $36 \%$ |
| Arts and music education activities | $27.57 \%$ | $18.05 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $27 \%$ |
| Cultural activities or social studies | $17.51 \%$ | $19.55 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $31 \%$ |
| Telecommunications and technology education | $11.89 \%$ | $8.27 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| Entrepreneurial education programs (business) | $7.24 \%$ | $4.51 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Note: A single activity may target multiple subjects: Percentages do not sum to $100 \%$ |  |  |  |  |

## CENTER OUTCOMES

## Teacher Survey Data

Improvements in academic behaviors were assessed by teachers who completed a survey developed by the $2 I^{\text {st }}$ CCLC Initiative. These were the percentages of improved behaviors in 201I-2012 for regular attendees based on information from up to 5,010 completed Colorado teacher surveys. The total teacher survey response rate was $87 \%$.

- 79\% had better academic performance
- 74\% improved participation in class
- 70\% completed homework to teachers' satisfaction.
- $67 \%$ improved in turning in homework on time
- $70 \%$ were more attentive in class
- $70 \%$ were more motivated to learn when they came to school
- $64 \%$ got along better with other students
- $63 \%$ behaved better in class
- $53 \%$ showed improvement in volunteering for extra credit or more responsibilities
- $56 \%$ improved regular class attendance


## Government Performance \& Results Act (GPRA) Measures

## State Results

In accordance with the Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA) of 1993, the US Department of Education has identified a series of indicators for the 21 st CCLC program regarding participant progress in academics, homework completion, class participation and improvements in student behavior.

Tables 24 and 25 display summaries of the status of these GPRA indicators for Colorado's 2 |st CCLC programs. As shown in Table 23, the greatest gains were observed in homework completion, class participation and improvements in student behavior.

Table 24: Attainment of performance measures for 21 st CCLC objective lin 20II-2012: Participants in 2 lst CCLC programs will demonstrate educational and social benefits and exhibit positive behavioral changes.

| Performance Measures | 20II-20I2 |
| :--- | :---: |
|  | State |
| I.I The percentage of Elementary 2Ist Century regular program participants who <br> improve from not proficient to proficient or above in reading on state assessments. | 33.63 |
| I.2 The percentage of Middle/High school 2Ist Century regular program <br> participants who improve from not proficient to proficient or above in mathematics <br> on state assessments. | 24.58 |
| I.3 The percentage of Elementary 2Ist Century regular program participants with <br> teacher-reported improvement in homework completion and class participation. | 71.72 |
| I.4 The percentage of Middle and High school 2Ist Century regular program <br> participants with teacher-reported improvement in homework completion and class <br> participation. | 71.77 |
| I.5 The percentage of all 2Ist Century regular program participants with teacher- <br> reported improvement in homework completion and class participation. | 70.59 |
| I.6 The percentage of Elementary 2Ist Century regular program participants with <br> teacher-reported improvements in student behavior. | 69.14 |
| I.7 The percentage of Middle and High school 2Ist Century regular program <br> participants with teacher-reported improvements in student behavior. | 67.68 |
| I.8 The percentage of all 2Ist Century regular program participants with teacher- <br> reported improvements in student behavior. | 67.38 |

Table 25: Attainment of performance measures for 21 st CCLC objective 2 in 2011-2012: 2 Ist CCLC grantees will offer high-quality enrichment opportunities that positively affect student outcomes such as school attendance and academic performance, and result in decreased disciplinary actions or other adverse behaviors.

| Performance Measures | 20II-2012 |
| :--- | :---: |
|  | State |
| 2.1 The percentage of 2 Ist Century Centers reporting emphasis in at least one <br> core academic area. | 50.31 |
| 2.2 The percentage of 2Ist Century Centers offering enrichment and support <br> activities in other areas. | 69.41 |

## Center Results ${ }^{19}$

## 2011-2012 Performance Measures I.I, I. 3 and I. 6

Three $2{ }^{\text {st }}$ CCLC performance measures (I.I, I. 3 and I.6) pertain to students in the elementary grades. Table 26 displays attainment percentages for 20II-20I2 for the Colorado Centers that served elementary-level students. These performance measures target regular program Elementary participants (I.I) who improve from proficient or above in the Reading CSAP; (I.3) with teacher-reported improvements in homework completion and class participation; and (I.6) with teacher-reported improvements in student behavior.

[^11]Table 26: Percent Attainment of Measures for Elementary Students - School Year 2011-2012

| GRANTEE | CENTER | $\begin{gathered} \text { I.I } \\ \text { READING } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { I.3 } \\ \text { HOMEWORK } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { I.6 } \\ \text { BEHAVIOR } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adams 12 Five Star Schools | North Star ES | 61.54\% | 65.12\% | 51.20\% |
| Adams 14 | Hanson ES | 50\% | 68.72\% | 68.23\% |
| Adams 14 | Monaco ES | 16.67\% | 72.04\% | 72.37\% |
| Alicia Sanchez | Alicia Sanchez ES | 29.41\% | 69.08\% | 63.03\% |
| Aurora | Fletcher ES | 27.27\% | 82.70\% | 73.39\% |
| Aurora | Sable ES | 18.75\% | 53.94\% | 63.36\% |
| Aurora | Vaughn ES | 18.18\% | 65.81\% | 63.93\% |
| Boulder Valley School District | Columbine ES | 0\% | 80.72\% | 73.41\% |
| Boulder Valley School District | University Hill ES | 0\% | 77.42\% | 72.64\% |
| Colorado Springs School District II | Hunt ES | 60\% | 62.50\% | 65.75\% |
| Denver County School District VI | Force ES | 46.67\% | 74.53\% | 74.19\% |
| Denver County School District VI | Johnson ES | 30.77\% | 76.22\% | 75.80\% |
| Denver County School District VI | Place Bridge Acad. | NA | NA | NA |
| Denver County School District V2 | Colfax ES | 0\% | 74.72\% | 74.16\% |
| Denver County School District V2 | Cowell ES | 61.54\% | 76.49\% | 77.11\% |
| Denver County School District V2 | Eagleton ES | 15.38\% | 64.42\% | 63.49\% |
| Denver County School District V2 | Munroe ES | 61.54\% | 78.92\% | 76.90\% |
| Denver County School District V3 | Cole Arts \& Science | NA | NA | NA |
| Englewood | Bishop ES | 0\% | 62.10\% | 61.33\% |
| Garfield | Wamsley ES | 33.33\% | 68.18\% | 64.29\% |
| Greeley 6 | Centennial ES | 13.04\% | 69.73\% | 63.79\% |
| Greeley 6 | Heinman ES | 17.65\% | 82.89\% | 83.45\% |
| Greeley 6 | Jackson ES | 17.65\% | 69.17\% | 69.68\% |
| Greeley 6 | Madison ES | 40\% | 59.83\% | 56.15\% |
| Greeley 6 | Shawsheen ES | 0\% | 65.97\% | 67.18\% |
| JEFFCO VI | Foster ES | 25\% | 80.79\% | 76.31\% |
| Mesa County Valley 5I | Clifton ES | 100\% | 81.05\% | 69.55\% |
| Mesa County Valley 51 | Rocky Mountain ES | 100\% | 74.19\% | 66.84\% |
| Montezuma-Cortez School District | Kemper ES | 33.33\% | 82.32\% | 72.46\% |
| Montrose V2 | Olathe ES | 14.29\% | 65.96\% | 62.11\% |
| Poudre | Irish ES | 33.33\% | 74.64\% | 73.91\% |
| Poudre | Putnam ES | 0\% | 76.27\% | 74.97\% |
| Pueblo 70 | Pueblo West ES | 0\% | 91.25\% | 81.22\% |
| Summer Scholars VI | Ford ES | 25\% | 72.55\% | 68.97\% |
| Summer Scholars VI | Oakland ES | 25\% | 53.12\% | 59.76\% |
| Summer Scholars V2 | Columbine ES | 83.33\% | 62.86\% | 67.83\% |
| Summer Scholars V2 | Dora Moore ES | 80\% | 70\% | 51.20\% |
| Summer Scholars V2 | Harrington ES | 42.86\% | 57.97\% | 43.32\% |
| Summer Scholars V2 | Swansea ES | 33.33\% | 75.90\% | 74.10\% |

## 201I-20I2 Performance Measures I.2, I. 4 and I. 7

Three $\left.2\right|^{\text {st }}$ CCLC performance measures (I.2, I. 4 and I.7) pertain to students in the middle and high school grades. Table 27 displays attainment percentages for 201I-2012 for the Colorado Centers that served secondary students. These performance measures target regular program Middle/ High School participants (I.2) who improve from proficient or above in the Mathematics CSAP and teacher-reported improvement in homework completion and class participation (I.4); and student behavior (I.7).

Table 27: Percent Attainment of Measures for Middle / High School Students in 20II-20I2

| GRANTEE | CENTER | 1.2 MATH | $\begin{gathered} \text { I. } 4 \\ \text { HOMEWORK } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { I. } 7 \\ \text { BEHAVIOR } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Boulder Valley School District | Casey MS | 20\% | 64.86\% | 62.73\% |
| Cripple Creek | Cripple Creek JR-SR | 0\% | 88.24\% | 88.41\% |
| Denver County School District VI | Noel MS | 19.05\% | 96.44\% | 93.45\% |
| Denver County School District V2 | Lake MS | 18.18\% | 73.33\% | 67.91\% |
| Denver County School District V3 | Bruce Randolf | 4.76\% | 53.79\% | 50.70\% |
| Denver County School District V3 | Skinner MS | 17.65\% | 73.33\% | 68.26\% |
| Greeley 6 | Franklin (Maplewood) MS | 20.83\% | 63.45\% | 58.46\% |
| Greeley 6 | Heath MS | 8.33\% | 54.72\% | 50\% |
| Greeley 6 | John Evans MS | 11.11\% | 83.13\% | 76.45\% |
| Greeley 6 | Northridge HS | 8.33\% | 64.55\% | 62.54\% |
| Hanover | Hanover JR-SR | NA | NA | NA |
| Harrison | Carmel MS | 60.87\% | 79.19\% | 76.17\% |
| Harrison | Fox Meadow MS | 46.67\% | 78.57\% | 76.04\% |
| Huerfano | John Mall HS | 0\% | 73.33\% | 66.67\% |
| JEFFCO V2 | Jefferson HS | 20\% | 66.25\% | 62.34\% |
| JEFFCO V2 | Wheatridge MS | 44.44\% | 54.01\% | 58.45\% |
| Lake County | Lake County Intermediate | 11.76\% | 68.68\% | 58.92\% |
| Mesa County Valley 51 | Mt. Garfield | 44.44\% | 81.51\% | 73.56\% |
| Montezuma-Cortez School District | Cortez MS | 12.50\% | 75.27\% | 69.41\% |
| Montrose VI | Centennial | 50\% | 53.85\% | 46.46\% |
| Montrose V2 | Olathe MS | 17.24\% | 77.41\% | 72.87\% |
| Poudre | Lincoln IB World | 0\% | 88\% | 77.27\% |
| Pueblo 60 | Freed MS | 66.67\% | 37.04\% | 38.55\% |
| Pueblo 60 | Pitts MS | 16.67\% | 56.25\% | 63.46\% |
| Pueblo 60 | Risley MS | 50\% | 73.33\% | 73.74\% |
| Trinidad | Trinidad | 14.81\% | 76.54\% | 75.77\% |
| YMCA-Lorraine | Lorraine | 0\% | 24.44\% | 32.65\% |
| YMCA-Sierra | Sierra HS | 100\% | 60.53\% | 40.16\% |

## 2011-2012 Performance Measures I.5 and I. 8

Two $21{ }^{\text {st }}$ CCLC performance measures ( 1.5 and 1.8 ) pertain to regular program participants in Centers offering services in 20II-20I2. These performance measures target regular program participants (I.5) with teacher-reported improvement in homework completion and class participation; and (1.8) with teacherreported improvements in student behavior (see Table 28).

Table 28. Percent Attainment of Measures for All Students in 2011-2012

| GRANTEE | CENTER | $\begin{gathered} \text { I. } 5 \\ \text { HOMEWORK } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { I. } 8 \\ \text { BEHAVIOR } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adams 12 Five Star Schools | North Star ES | 65.12\% | 51.20\% |
| Adams 14 | Hanson ES | 68.72\% | 68.23\% |
| Adams 14 | Monaco ES | 72.04\% | 72.37\% |
| Alicia Sanchez | Alicia Sanchez ES | 69.08\% | 63.03\% |
| Aurora | Fletcher ES | 82.70\% | 73.39\% |
| Aurora | Sable ES | 53.94\% | 63.36\% |
| Aurora | Vaughn ES | 65.81\% | 63.93\% |
| Boulder Valley School District | Casey MS | 64.86\% | 62.73\% |
| Boulder Valley School District | Columbine ES | 80.72\% | 73.41\% |
| Boulder Valley School District | University Hill ES | 77.42\% | 72.64\% |
| Colorado Springs School District II | Hunt ES | 62.50\% | 65.75\% |
| Cripple Creek | Cripple Creek JR-SR | 88.24\% | 88.41\% |
| Denver County School District VI | Force ES | 74.53\% | 74.19\% |
| Denver County School District VI | Johnson ES | 76.22\% | 75.80\% |
| Denver County School District VI | Noel MS | 96.44\% | 93.45\% |
| Denver County School District VI | Place Bridge Acad. | 57.14\% | 55.16\% |
| Denver County School District V2 | Colfax ES | 74.72\% | 74.16\% |
| Denver County School District V2 | Cowell ES | 76.49\% | 77.11\% |
| Denver County School District V2 | Eagleton ES | 64.42\% | 63.49\% |
| Denver County School District V2 | Munroe ES | 78.92\% | 76.90\% |
| Denver County School District V3 | Bruce Randolf | 53.79\% | 50.70\% |
| Denver County School District V3 | Cole Arts \& Science | 48.63\% | 45.07\% |
| Denver County School District V3 | Skinner MS | 73.33\% | 68.26\% |
| Denver County School DistrictV2 | Lake MS | 73.33\% | 67.91\% |
| Englewood | Bishop ES | 62.10\% | 61.33\% |
| Garfield | Wamsley ES | 68.18\% | 64.29\% |
| Greeley 6 | Centennial ES | 69.73\% | 63.79\% |
| Greeley 6 | Franklin (Maplewood) MS | 63.45\% | 58.46\% |
| Greeley 6 | Heath MS | 54.72\% | 50\% |
| Greeley 6 | Heinman ES | 82.89\% | 83.45\% |
| Greeley 6 | Jackson ES | 69.17\% | 69.68\% |

Table 28. Percent Attainment of Measures for All Students in 201I-2012 (continued)

| GRANTEE | CENTER | $\begin{gathered} \text { I.5 } \\ \text { HOMEWORK } \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{I .8}{\text { BEHAVIOR }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Greeley 6 | John Evans MS | 83.13\% | 76.45\% |
| Greeley 6 | Madison ES | 59.83\% | 56.15\% |
| Greeley 6 | Northridge HS | 64.55\% | 62.54\% |
| Greeley 6 | Shawsheen ES | 65.97\% | 67.18\% |
| Hanover | Hanover JR-SR | 44.44\% | 20\% |
| Harrison | Carmel MS | 79.19\% | 76.17\% |
| Harrison | Fox Meadow MS | 78.57\% | 76.04\% |
| Huerfano | John Mall HS | 73.33\% | 66.67\% |
| JEFFCO VI | Foster ES | 80.79\% | 76.31\% |
| JEFFCO V2 | Jefferson HS | 66.25\% | 62.34\% |
| JEFFCO V2 | Wheatridge MS | 54.01\% | 58.45\% |
| Lake County | Lake County Intermediate | 68.68\% | 58.92\% |
| Mesa County Valley 51 | Clifton ES | 81.05\% | 69.55\% |
| Mesa County Valley 51 | Mt. Garfield | 81.51\% | 73.56\% |
| Mesa County Valley 51 | Rocky Mountain ES | 74.19\% | 66.84\% |
| Mesa County Valley 51 | Rocky Mountain ES | 74.19\% | 66.84\% |
| Montezuma-Cortez School District | Cortez MS | 75.27\% | 69.41\% |
| Montezuma-Cortez School District | Kemper ES | 82.32\% | 72.46\% |
| Montrose VI | Centennial | 53.85\% | 46.46\% |
| Montrose V2 | Olathe MS | 77.41\% | 72.87\% |
| Montrose V2 | Olathe ES | 65.96\% | 62.11\% |
| Poudre | Irish ES | 74.64\% | 73.91\% |
| Poudre | Lincoln IB World | 88\% | 77.27\% |
| Poudre | Putnam ES | 76.27\% | 74.97\% |
| Poudre | Putnam School ES | 76.27\% | 74.97\% |
| Pueblo 60 | Freed | 37.04\% | 38.55\% |
| Pueblo 60 | Pitts | 56.25\% | 63.46\% |
| Pueblo 60 | Risley | 73.33\% | 73.74\% |
| Pueblo 70 | Pueblo West ES | 91.25\% | 81.22\% |
| Summer Scholars VI | Ford ES | 72.55\% | 68.97\% |
| Summer Scholars VI | Oakland ES | 53.12\% | 59.76\% |
| Summer Scholars V2 | Columbine ES | 62.86\% | 67.83\% |
| Summer Scholars V2 | Dora Moore ES | 70\% | 51.20\% |
| Summer Scholars V2 | Harrington ES | 57.97\% | 43.32\% |
| Summer Scholars V2 | Swansea ES | 75.90\% | 74.10\% |
| Trinidad | Trinidad | 76.54\% | 75.77\% |
| YMCA-Lorraine | Lorraine | 24.44\% | 32.65\% |
| YMCA-Sierra | Sierra HS | 60.53\% | 40.16\% |

## 2011-2012 Performance Measures 2.1 and 2.2

All 201I-20I2 Centers reported on GPRA measures 2.I: percentage of 21 st Century Centers reporting emphasis in at least one core academic area and 2.2: percentage of 21 st Century Centers offering enrichment and support activities in other areas. Table 29 shows the percentage of attainment in these two areas. These measures are mutually exclusive and are not expected to add to $100 \%$.

Table 29. Percent of 20II-20I2 Centers Emphasizing One Core Academic Area \& Offering Enrichment

| GRANTEE | CENTER | 2. I Emphasis in at least one core academic area | 2.2 Offers enrichment $\mathcal{\&}$ support activities |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adams 12 Five Star Schools | North Star ES | 62.50\% | 50\% |
| Adams 14 | Hanson ES | 37.84\% | 72.97\% |
| Adams 14 | Monaco ES | 42.11\% | 68.42\% |
| Alicia Sanchez | Alicia Sanchez ES | 70.37\% | 59.26\% |
| Aurora | Fletcher ES | 28.57\% | 90.48\% |
| Aurora | Sable ES | 26.32\% | 84.21\% |
| Aurora | Vaughn ES | 18.18\% | 90.91\% |
| Boulder Valley School District | Casey MS | 46.67\% | 80\% |
| Boulder Valley School District | Columbine ES | 83.33\% | 33.33\% |
| Boulder Valley School District | University Hill ES | 83.33\% | 33.33\% |
| Colorado Springs School District II | Hunt ES | 66.67\% | 33.33\% |
| Cripple Creek | Cripple Creek JR-SR | 79.41\% | 64.71\% |
| Denver County School District VI | Force ES | 45.45\% | 47.73\% |
| Denver County School District VI | Johnson ES | 20.34\% | 69.49\% |
| Denver County School District VI | Noel MS | 23.40\% | 38.30\% |
| Denver County School District VI | Place Bridge Acad. | 43.55\% | 75.81\% |
| Denver County School District V2 | Colfax ES | 66.67\% | 66.67\% |
| Denver County School District V2 | Cowell ES | 50\% | 75\% |
| Denver County School District V2 | Eagleton ES | 31.25\% | 68.75\% |
| Denver County School District V2 | Munroe ES | 33.33\% | 75\% |
| Denver County School District V3 | Bruce Randolf | 50\% | 77.78\% |
| Denver County School District V3 | Cole Arts \& Science | 25\% | 75\% |
| Denver County School District V3 | Skinner MS | 23.33\% | 80\% |
| Denver County School District V2 | Lake MS | 37.21\% | 69.77\% |
| Englewood | Bishop ES | 66.67\% | 61.90\% |
| Garfield | Wamsley ES | 75\% | 75\% |
| Greeley 6 | Centennial ES | 64\% | 52\% |
| Greeley 6 | Franklin (Maplewood) MS | 66.67\% | 75\% |
| Greeley 6 | Heath MS | 58.82\% | 76.47\% |
| Greeley 6 | Heinman ES | 58.33\% | 61.11\% |
| Greeley 6 | Jackson ES | 42.31\% | 7.69\% |
| Greeley 6 | John Evans MS | 72\% | 68\% |

Table 29. Percent of 20II-20I2 Centers Emphasizing One Core Academic Area \& Offering Enrichment (cont'd.)

| GRANTEE | CENTER | 2.1 Emphasis in at least one core academic area | 2.2 Offers enrichment $\boldsymbol{\&}$ support activities |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Greeley 6 | Madison ES | 85.71\% | 35.71\% |
| Greeley 6 | Northridge HS | 75\% | 66.67\% |
| Greeley 6 | Shawsheen ES | 90.91\% | 9.09\% |
| Hanover | Hanover JR-SR | 100\% | 100\% |
| Hanover | Prairie Heights ES | 100\% | 0\% |
| Harrison | Carmel MS | 42.86\% | 85.71\% |
| Harrison | Fox Meadow MS | 66.67\% | 83.33\% |
| Huerfano | John Mall HS | 75\% | 91.67\% |
| JEFFCO VI | Foster ES | 55.56\% | 85.19\% |
| JEFFCO V2 | Jefferson HS | 52.38\% | 90.48\% |
| JEFFCO V2 | Wheatridge MS | 78.12\% | 71.88\% |
| Lake County | Lake County Intermediate | 52.50\% | 85\% |
| Mesa County Valley 51 | Clifton ES | 57.14\% | 28.57\% |
| Mesa County Valley 51 | Mt. Garfield | 61.54\% | 38.46\% |
| Mesa County Valley 51 | Rocky Mountain ES | 75\% | 0\% |
| Mesa County Valley 5I | Rocky Mountain ES | 75\% | 0\% |
| Montezuma-Cortez School District | Cortez MS | 55.56\% | 55.56\% |
| Montezuma-Cortez School District | Kemper ES | 72.73\% | 36.36\% |
| Montrose VI | Centennial | 65.96\% | 65.96\% |
| Montrose V2 | Olathe MS | 67.65\% | 88.24\% |
| Montrose V2 | Olathe ES | 53.49\% | 93.02\% |
| Poudre | Irish ES | 58.62\% | 89.66\% |
| Poudre | Lincoln IB World | 92.31\% | 92.31\% |
| Poudre | Putnam ES | 57.89\% | 84.21\% |
| Poudre | Putnam School ES | 57.89\% | 84.21\% |
| Pueblo 60 | Freed MS | 37.50\% | 100\% |
| Pueblo 60 | Pitts MS | 28.57\% | 100\% |
| Pueblo 60 | Risley MS | 28.57\% | 100\% |
| Pueblo 70 | Pueblo West ES | 100\% | 66.67\% |
| Summer Scholars VI | Ford ES | 73.33\% | 60\% |
| Summer Scholars VI | Oakland ES | 57.14\% | 57.14\% |
| Summer Scholars V2 | Columbine ES | 57.14\% | 64.29\% |
| Summer Scholars V2 | Dora Moore ES | 66.67\% | 53.33\% |
| Summer Scholars V2 | Harrington ES | 62.50\% | 56.25\% |
| Summer Scholars V2 | Swansea ES | 61.54\% | 61.54\% |
| Trinidad | Trinidad | 62.50\% | 83.33\% |
| YMCA-Lorraine | Lorraine | 35.71\% | 85.71\% |
| YMCA-Sierra | Sierra HS | 31.25\% | 100\% |

## State Assessment Results for Regular Attendees

In 2011-2012, the percentage of regular attendees who improved from the current year to the previous year was $16 \%$ in Reading and $17 \%$ in Math. Tables 30 and 31 indicate the percentage of students increasing, decreasing or maintaining the same assessment level relative to the previous year's assessment level score in Reading and in Math.

Table 30: APR Reading Level Changes from Previous Year to Current Year for Colorado Grantees 2011-2012

| Change Relative to Previous <br> Year's Score | Previous Year's Assessment Level |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unsatisfactory | Partially <br> Proficient | Proficient | Advanced |
| Increased Relative to Last Year | $9 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Same Relative to Last Year | $17 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Decreased Relative to Last Year | $0 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Total Students with Scores: 2,887 |  |  |  |  |

Table 3 I: APR Math Level Changes from Previous Year to Current Year for Colorado Grantees 2011-2012

| Change Relative to Previous Year's Score | Previous Year's Assessment Level |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unsatisfactory | Partially Proficient | Proficient | Advanced |
| Increased Relative to Last Year | 6\% | 7\% | 3\% | 0\% |
| Same Relative to Last Year | 16\% | 23\% | 18\% | 7\% |
| Decreased Relative to Last Year | 0\% | 6\% | 8\% | 5\% |
| Total Students with Scores: | 2,922 |  |  |  |

Among the 3,8I4 regular attendees whose state reading proficiency data were available ${ }^{20}$ :

- $22 \%$ were unsatisfactory
- $34 \%$ were partially proficient
- 4I\% were proficient
- $2 \%$ were advanced proficient

Among the 3,851 regular attendees whose state math proficiency data were available:

- $23 \%$ were unsatisfactory
- $36 \%$ were partially proficient
- $31 \%$ were proficient
- I0\% were advanced

[^12]The purpose of the $\left.2\right|^{\text {st }}$ Century Community Learning Center ( $\left.2\right|^{\text {st }}$ CCLC) program is to provide for the establishment or expansion of Community Learning Centers (Centers) to assist students from high-poverty and low-performing schools in meeting academic achievement standards in core subjects, provide out-ofschool time programs to reinforce and complement the regular academic programs, and offer families of participating students opportunities for literacy and educational development. This report profiles data from the Colorado Department of Education's fifth cohort of grantees for the 2010-201I and 201I-2012 reporting years the first two years of five years funded under the grant. The fifth cohort consists of 3 Igrantees affiliated with 69 Centers.

Students attending a Center for 30 days or more during a reporting period (which for most grantees is typically, the first year they are awarded during May or June through April or May of the next year) are considered to be "regular student attendees". Thirty-five percent of the total student population was comprised of these regular student attendees during 20I0-20II and $2011-2012$ reporting periods. While the proportion of regular student attendees was comparable during these two reporting years, the total student attendee population increased from 14,527 in 20IO-20II to 16,847 in 20II-20I2. This increase may be due to additional experience gained in offering services and cultivating relationships with feeder schools over the course of the first year. This possibility supports the practice of funding Grantees (and Centers) for more than one year at a time.

Analysis of demographic data show that the Centers were successful in engaging both boys and girls equally and in serving economically disadvantaged students. Of the 20I0-20II total student attendees, $32 \%$ were limited English proficient students, $70 \%$ were eligible for free and reduced lunch and $10 \%$ were students with special needs or disabilities. In 20II-20I2, the Centers increased or maintained levels of serving these special populations: $37 \%$ were limited English proficient students, $76 \%$ were eligible for free and reduced lunch, and $9 \%$ were students with special needs or disabilities. This is particularly telling given that in the fall semester of 2012, only 14.4\% of students statewide were designated as English Language Learners, $41.6 \%$ as Free or Reduced Lunch eligible, and $9.8 \%$ were designated as Special Education students. Even larger percentages of these special populations were regular attendees.

## Chart 2: Percent Special Populations of Total Students



Part of the goal of the $\left.2\right|^{\text {st }}$ CCLC is for Centers to provide families (as well as students) with services. In keeping with this goal, all Centers served students, and many provided services to adults; at least $41 \%$ of 201020II Centers and at least $54 \%$ of 20II-20I2 Centers provided services to adults during the regular school year. This reflects an impressive increase of $13 \%$ Centers that provided adult services between these two years.

Teachers reported high rates of academic and behavioral improvements for regular attendees during both reporting periods. In 2011-2012, 79\% of regular attendees were perceived to have improved in academic performance and $74 \%$ showed improvements in classroom participation. However, APR indicators show lower percentages of regular attendees who improved from the current year to the previous year for both years on Reading and Math ( $16 \%$ in Reading and $17 \%$ in Math in 20II-20I2). Similarly, the percentage of Elementary regular program participants who improved from not proficient to proficient or above in reading on state assessments was $34 \%$ and the percentage of Middle/High school regular program participants who improved from not proficient to proficient or above in mathematics on state assessments was $25 \%$ (201I-2012) according to GPRA measures. To put this in perspective, Colorado School Districts typically set goals of five to seven percent improvement from the previous year. Thus, these programs out-perform normal school district expectations.

Discrepancies in improvement may be due to the measure that is employed and the specific academic subjects that are tested. For instance, teachers working with children on a day to day basis are likely to have more experience with students than can be gained via a single test. The increments of improvement are more finely detected by teachers who can assess on a daily basis than in a pre-post testing situation. Furthermore, the standardized tests assess progress in Reading and Math while teachers assess academic improvements in a number of ways. Nevertheless, the standardized ways of testing students are less likely to be biased compared to a teacher evaluating his or her own students. Consequentially, taking into account all levels of performance indicators provides a comprehensive understanding of academic improvement.

The 2 Ist CCLC programs provide students with extended learning opportunities that reinforce and complement regular academic programs and offer educational opportunities and family oriented activities for parents. Analysis of Center data for the 2010-20II and 20II-20I2 reveal that grantees are making improvements in student behavior relative to homework completion and class participation and improving academics. As such, 21 st CCLC programs play an important role in assisting students from high poverty and low-performing schools in achieving academic success.

Although this study indicates that the $21{ }^{\text {st }}$ CCLC programs are effectively reaching their goals, future research would add to understanding the extent to which this program benefits Colorado families. For instance, gathering a comparison group consisting of similar children who have yet to participate in 21st CCLC programming would enable CDE to further examine the extent of the program's effectiveness. In addition some Centers clearly had greater success in student outcomes compared to other Centers. A qualitative study of the most successful programs would improve training and technical assistance to other programs that have yet to reach their greatest potential.

Please contact CDE for more information about this report or on the 21 st Century Community Learning Centers program.

## Appendix A - Methods

## DATA COLLECTION

The web-based Profile and Performance Information Collection System (PPICS) is funded by the U.S. Department of Education to collect and manage comprehensive information on $2{ }^{\text {st }}$ CCLC program characteristics, services, and performance data over a wide-range of outcomes including Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA) indicators. The PPICS generates reports summarizing data to inform monitoring, evaluation and program improvement. An important source of data for the PPICS is the Annual Performance Report (APR) which is completed each year by grantees active during the reporting period. Completed APRs provide progress monitoring and summative information about attainment of objectives, partners and their contributions, and descriptions of $\left.2\right|^{\text {st }}$ CCLCs (locations, activities, and populations served) and their impact on participating students and their families. Colorado 2 Ist CCLC PPICS data collection includes completion of the ten-item, fixed-choice (eight improvement prompts) teacher survey for collecting information about changes in individual student's behavior during the school year. The PPICS was the source of data included in this report.

PPICS was designed to provide states with a variety of options in terms of how data is entered into the system and by whom. In Colorado, the state or the grantees entered data into the system's web-based interface. The Colorado Department of Education (CDE) delegated to grantees the task of entering data into the Grantee Profile and APR modules. The purpose of the PPICS At-A-Glance completion report is to enable state users to easily monitor APR completion status of Centers across all grantees.

Center level APR data are reported as they were reported in PPICS APR Reports. In some cases, "NA" is reported. In these cases, the schools did not serve typical elementary or middle school grades. Because some performance measures pertain only to Centers that have a clear designation, a few Centers that focused on a combination of elementary and middle school grades may not have successfully reported data. Other reasons why data may not reflect actual performance improvements included: I) not all Centers started programming in time to report on all performance measures, 2) some Centers served only very young students where standardized performance measures were not applicable and/or 3) some Centers experienced difficulty with data entry systems either within the schools they served or with PPICS.

## DATA ANALYSIS

The timeframe for APR 2011 information is summer 2010 and the 2010-201I school year. The timeframe for APR 2012 is for summer 2011 and the 201I-2012 school year. PPICS reports often provide state and national level data. For this report, state level data were used when available, national data were largely unavailable. In addition, when state level data were not available, the 20I0-20II grantees were "bundled" and individual grantee data were examined. In very few instances the percentages of "bundled" data differed by one percent compared to state level data for the same cohort. It is possible that missing data were not handled the same in state level data reports as they are in the "bundled" reports. The majority of data were reported by $100 \%$ of the grantees where it applied to them and therefore missing data was rare. Consequentially, this is unlikely to affect the overall results.

# Appendix B - Center Level Programming 

ANN HEIMAN ELEMENTARY<br>Grade Levels served by this Site: Elementary<br>Grantee: Greeley 6 - V<br>Grant Abstract: The Greeley-Evans Weld County School District 6 proposes to implement a 2 Ist CCLC grant (Reaching for the Stars) to improve the academic achievement of students in 9 high need schools through scientifically-based extended learning services to reduce the gap between low-and high-performing students. This program will provide academic enrichment services that support students' school day instruction during extended learning time and during summer academic mini-courses, homework assistance, and academic after-school clubs. We will also provide parent support by offering classes related to school/learning and technology to help them improve their skills for helping their child learn.

## ARVADA K-8

Grade Levels served by this Site: Elementary and Middle School
Grantee: Jefferson County School District - VI
Grant Abstract: The 21 st CCLC grant will allow Project Prepare to create programming vital to the support of our children, families and community. The overall goals of Project Prepare include raising student achievement, providing a safe environment after school and during the summer recess and providing opportunities to promote the health of our families. To meet these goals, Project Prepare will provide for academic tutoring, after school and summer enrichment activities including summer arts writing and math/science camps, parent education, nutrition and wellness classes and increased availability for families to receive counseling.

## BRUCE RANDOLPH

Grade Levels served by this Site: Middle School and High School
Grantee: Denver Public Schools, School District I - V
Grant Abstract: DPS and the Department of Extended Learning \& Community Schools (DELCS) is continuing three current middle school Centers and developing one new middle school Center to further increase continuation and graduation at DPS, thus improving student achievement. The schools are: Bruce Randolph, Cole, Lake, and Skinner. The consortium will be known as the Middle School Out of School Time (MOST) consortium. MOST will build upon the foundations and lessons learned from the continuing sites, and welcome the innovations of each site and its partners. As a consortium three cohesive strategies are proposed: Academic Achievement, Entrepreneurship, and Pre-Collegiate programming.

## CARMEL MIDDLE SCHOOL

Grade Levels served by this Site: Middle School
Grantee: Harrison School District 2 - V
Grant Abstract: Our purpose is to increase academic achievement in reading, writing, and Math, focusing on students who are one or more years below grade level. This increase in academic achievement will occur through experiential learning, recreational activities as well as social skills. Significant circumstances for the 201I-2012 school year include hiring of an entirely new administrative team (principal and assistant principals) at Project 2 (Carmel Middle School). Additionally, a new site coordinator had to be hired. A notable achievement occurred at Project I (Fox Meadow Middle School) as it developed a partnership with Pikes Peak Community College whereas students in the education program were employed as tutors through the grant. Knowledge learned in the college classroom could be applied to the middle school students.

## CASEY MIDDLE SCHOOL

Grade Levels served by this Site: Middle School
Grantee: Boulder Valley School District RE-2 - V
Grant Abstract: The cohort will develop services targeted to the needs of Limited English, low-income, Latino and academically-struggling students and their families. Services will focus on three areas; I) academic support and enrichment for students 2 ) cultural/recreational enrichment activities for students and 3 ) adult education and family literacy. With the goals of the project to improve academic achievement in reading, math, science and English language acquisition; improved attitudes toward school and school climate; and parent engagement.

## CENTENNIAL ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

## Grade Levels served by this Site: Elementary

Grantee: Greeley 6 - V
Grant Abstract: The Greeley-Evans Weld County School District 6 proposes to implement a 2 Ist CCLC grant (Reaching for the Stars) to improve the academic achievement of students in 9 high need schools through scientifically-based extended learning services to reduce the gap between low-and high-performing students. This program will provide academic enrichment services that support students' school day instruction during extended learning time and during summer academic mini-courses, homework assistance, and academic after-school clubs. We will also provide parent support by offering classes related to school/learning and technology to help them improve their skills for helping their child learn.

## CENTENNIAL JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL

Grade Levels served by this Site: Middle School
Grantee: Montrose County REIJ - VI
Grant Abstract: Centennial Middle School provides academic and enrichment classes before and after school.

## CLIFTON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

Grade Levels served by this Site: Elementary
Grantee: Mesa County Valley School District 5I - V
Grant Abstract: The summer school program was changed by the District after the submission of the grant. The number of weeks was shortened due to budget cutbacks. The number of sites were also reduced. Unsatisfactory performing students were given priority, however, a limited number of partial proficient students were allowed to participate during the program. In order to be consistent, data will be collected for regular attendees and not students attending $50 \%$ of the time. Number of participants will be increased to 40 at Clifton and Rocky Mountain.

## COLE ARTS AND SCIENCE ACADEMY

Grade Levels served by this Site: Other
Grantee: Denver Public Schools, School District I - V
Grant Abstract: DPS and the Department of Extended Learning \& Community Schools (DELCS) is continuing three current middle school Centers and developing one new middle school Center to further increase continuation and graduation at DPS, thus improving student achievement. The schools are: Bruce Randolph, Cole, Lake, and Skinner. The consortium will be known as the Middle School Out of School Time (MOST) consortium. MOST will build upon the foundations and lessons learned from the continuing sites, and welcome the innovations of each site and its partners. As a consortium three cohesive strategies are proposed: Academic Achievement, Entrepreneurship, and Pre-Collegiate programming.

## COLFAX ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

Grade Levels served by this Site: Elementary
Grantee: Denver Public Schools, District I - V2
Grant Abstract: The Denver Public Schools' Elementary Consortium consists of four schools: Colfax, Cowell, Eagleton, and Munroe, with one anchor program, Fit Fun \& Literacy, and a diverse set of complementary partners. These schools are located in high poverty neighborhoods in desperate need of activities and educational opportunities provided by this program. The 21 st Century offerings include academics and enrichment for students as well as workshops and English classes for parents.

## COLUMBINE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

Grade Levels served by this Site: Elementary
Grantee: Boulder Valley School District RE-2 - V
Grant Abstract: The cohort will develop services targeted to the needs of Limited English, low-income, Latino and academically-struggling students and their families. Services will focus on three areas; I) academic support and enrichment for students 2 ) cultural/recreational enrichment activities for students and 3 ) adult education and family literacy. With the goals of the project to improve academic achievement in reading, math, science and English language acquisition; improved attitudes toward school and school climate; and parent engagement.

## COLUMBINE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

Grade Levels served by this Site: Elementary
Grantee: Summer Scholars - V2
Grant Abstract: Project Summary: Summer Scholars will expand extended learning programs at Swansea, Columbine, Harrington and Dora Moore elementary schools. Programs that will be offered include Summer Literacy, Language and Recreation; Before and/or After-School
Tutoring and Enrichment; and Family Literacy.
CORTEZ MIDDLE SCHOOL
Grade Levels served by this Site: Middle School
Grantee: Montezuma-Cortez RE-I - V
Grant Abstract: Cohort V grant will not begin until July I, 20IO. We received and were granted a one month delay in the Fiscal year for 20II. We now have July 2010 through May 201I.

## COWELL ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

Grade Levels served by this Site: Elementary
Grantee: Denver Public Schools, District I - V2
Grant Abstract: The Denver Public Schools' Elementary Consortium consists of four schools: Colfax, Cowell, Eagleton, and Munroe, with one anchor program, Fit Fun \& Literacy, and a diverse set of complementary partners. These schools are located in high poverty neighborhoods in desperate need of activities and educational opportunities provided by this program. The 21 st Century offerings include academics and enrichment for students as well as workshops and English classes for parents.

## CRIPPLE CREEK-VICTOR JR-SR

Grade Levels served by this Site: Middle School
Grantee: Cripple Creek-Victor School District RE-I - V
Grant Abstract: Our 21st CCLC Program - Soaring Without Limitations - is set up is 2 parts:
I) to offer junior high students academic enrichment opportunities along with activities designed to complement the students regular academic program; and 2) parents of students who will participate in this program have an opportunity to enhance their own education and workforce skills. We use AutoSkills for 30 minutes of math and reading each day or our program (3-5 days a week, and 6 weeks at 5 days a week in the summer). A summer
test revealed a $79 \%$ increase in reading, and gave us the opportunity to focus more on math skills with each student. We have incorporated a tutoring program that has become mandatory for ineligible student-athletes for 6th-8th grades. We have formed a strong partnership with the school board, school partners, counselors, teachers, and administration such that the athletic practice program was moved to two nights a week so there would be no competition with us.

## EAGLETON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

Grade Levels served by this Site: Elementary
Grantee: Denver Public Schools, District I - V2
Grant Abstract: The Denver Public Schools' Elementary Consortium consists of four schools: Colfax, Cowell, Eagleton, and Munroe, with one anchor program, Fit Fun \& Literacy, and a diverse set of complementary partners. These schools are located in high poverty neighborhoods in desperate need of activities and educational opportunities provided by this program. The 21 st Century offerings include academics and enrichment for students as well as workshops and English classes for parents.

## FLETCHER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

Grade Levels served by this Site: Elementary
Grantee: Aurora Public School District - V
Grant Abstract: The 21st Century afterschool program is a collaborative partnership with the City of Aurora that provides both academic and enrichment activities to three elementary schools in the Aurora community that have high levels of poverty and additional educational barriers. The program, better known as COMPASS, has been in existence for more than five years and has seasoned staff and administration working on constant quality improvement.

## FORCE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

Grade Levels served by this Site: Elementary
Grantee: Denver Public Schools, District I - VI
Grant Abstract: The four Beacon Neighborhood Centers are providing essential services to some of the highest need parts of the city. These Centers are located in the outlying parts of the city, and don't have access to centralized services.

## FORD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

## Grade Levels served by this Site: Elementary

Grantee: Summer Scholars - VI
Grant Abstract: Project Summary: Summer Scholars will expand extended learning programs at Ford and Oakland Elementary schools. Programs that will be offered include Summer Literacy, Language and Recreation; Before and/or After-School Tutoring and Enrichment; and Family Literacy.

## FOSTER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

[^13]
## FOX MEADOW MIDDLE SCHOOL

Grade Levels served by this Site: Middle School
Grantee: Harrison School District 2 - V
Grant Abstract: Our purpose is to increase academic achievement in reading, writing, and math. focusing on students who are one or more years below grade level. This increase in academic achievement will occur through experiential learning, recreational activities as well as social skills. Significant circumstances for the 20II-2012 school year include hiring of an entirely new administrative team (principal and assistant principals) at Project 2 (Carmel Middle School). Additionally, a new site coordinator had to be hired. A notable achievement occurred at Project I (Fox Meadow Middle School) as it developed a partnership with Pikes Peak Community College whereas students in the education program were employed as tutors through the grant. Knowledge learned in the college classroom could be applied to the middle school students.

## FRANKLIN MIDDLE SCHOOL

Grade Levels served by this Site: Middle School
Grantee: Greeley 6 - V
Grant Abstract: The Greeley-Evans Weld County School District 6 proposes to implement a 21 st CCLC grant (Reaching for the Stars) to improve the academic achievement of students in 9 high need schools through scientifically-based extended learning services to reduce the gap between low-and high-performing students. This program will provide academic enrichment services that support students' school day instruction during extended learning time and during summer academic mini-courses, homework assistance, and academic after-school clubs. We will also provide parent support by offering classes related to school/learning and technology to help them improve their skills for helping their child learn.

## FREED MIDDLE SCHOOL

Grade Levels served by this Site: Middle School
Grantee: Pueblo City Schools District 60 - V
Grant Abstract: The 21 st Century Community Learning Centers (2ICCLC), ZAP the GAP Program, a partnership of Pueblo City Schools (Pueblo School District \#60) and several community agencies and service providers, focuses on Learning Centers housed within three, low-performing Pueblo City Schools middle schools. ZAP the GAP Program has developed a comprehensive menu of activities, services, and opportunities for students and families. All three sites include, academic tutoring in all core subject areas, homework assistance, technology education, family literacy services, parent education, family strengthening, recreational and cultural activities, leadership training, service learning opportunities, career exploration, health and nutrition workshops, and arts education activities.

## HANOVER JR-SR HIGH SCHOOL

Grade Levels served by this Site: Middle School and High School
Grantee: Hanover 28 - V
Grant Abstract: Dissension in the community after termination of the superintendent caused significant staff turnover and a resulting turmoil with parents not allowing participation in the program (them or their students). We have a new superintendent hired and are slowly experiencing positive changes.

## HANSON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

Grade Levels served by this Site: Elementary
Grantee: Adams County School District I4-V
Grant Abstract: Adams 14 and the 21 st Century Bridges program for Monaco and Hanson Elementary are an important component of our future growth. Adams 14 has a strategic plan that encompasses utilizing 21 st Century funding to get $80 \%$ of our students to grade level by 2014. The Bridges program will address 3 primary goals to reach our primary goal of $80 \%$
students at grade level by 2014. These goals are addressing academic achievement through remedial and tutoring opportunities. Increasing after school enrichment clubs, and to increase parental involvement in their child's education.

## HARRINGTON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

Grade Levels served by this Site: Elementary
Grantee: Summer Scholars - V2
Grant Abstract: Project Summary: Summer Scholars will expand extended learning programs at Swansea, Columbine, Harrington and Dora Moore elementary schools. Programs that will be offered include Summer Literacy, Language and Recreation; Before and/or After-School Tutoring and Enrichment; and Family Literacy.

## HEATH MIDDLE SCHOOL

Grade Levels served by this Site: Middle School
Grantee: Greeley 6 - V
Grant Abstract: The Greeley-Evans Weld County School District 6 proposes to implement a 2 Ist CCLC grant (Reaching for the Stars) to improve the academic achievement of students in 9 high need schools through scientifically-based extended learning services to reduce the gap between low-and high-performing students. This program will provide academic enrichment services that support students' school day instruction during extended learning time and during summer academic mini-courses, homework assistance, and academic after-school clubs. We will also provide parent support by offering classes related to school/learning and technology to help them improve their skills for helping their child learn.

## HUNT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

Grade Levels served by this Site: Elementary
Grantee: Colorado Springs School District II - V
Grant Abstract: We provide a 3 hour after-school program, free of charge to families of a $94 \%$ free and reduced lunch school. Our program involves the academic subjects of science and literacy and we provide team building activities and social skills programming as well as daily homework help.

## IRISH ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

Grade Levels served by this Site: Elementary
Grantee: Poudre School District - V
Grant Abstract: Our 21st CCLC consists of three school, Irish Elementary, Putnam Elementary and Lincoln Middle School. We offer after-school programs, summer school programs and parent education through Club TRES.

## JACKSON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

Grade Levels served by this Site: Elementary
Grantee: Greeley 6 - V
Grant Abstract: The Greeley-Evans Weld County School District 6 proposes to implement a 21 st CCLC grant (Reaching for the Stars) to improve the academic achievement of students in 9 high need schools through scientifically-based extended learning services to reduce the gap between low-and high-performing students. This program will provide academic enrichment services that support students' school day instruction during extended learning time and during summer academic mini-courses, homework assistance, and academic after-school clubs. We will also provide parent support by offering classes related to school/learning and technology to help them improve their skills for helping their child learn.

## JAMES H RISLEY MIDDLE SCHOOL

Grade Levels served by this Site: Middle School
Grantee: Pueblo City Schools District 60 - V
Grant Abstract: The 21 st Century Community Learning Centers (2ICCLC), ZAP the GAP Program, a partnership of Pueblo City Schools (Pueblo School District \#60) and several community agencies and service providers, focuses on Learning Centers housed within three, low-performing Pueblo City Schools middle schools. ZAP the GAP Program has developed a comprehensive menu of activities, services, and opportunities for students and families. All three sites include, academic tutoring in all core subject areas, homework assistance, technology education, family literacy services, parent education, family strengthening, recreational and cultural activities, leadership training, service learning opportunities, career exploration, health and nutrition workshops, and arts education activities.

## JEFFERSON HIGH SCHOOL

Grade Levels served by this Site: High School
Grantee: Jefferson County School District - V2
Grant Abstract: We provide extended learning opportunities to the students and families at Jefferson High School and Wheat Ridge Middle School. Our goal is to increase the literacy of our participants through enriching academic activities.

## JOHN EVANS MIDDLE SCHOOL

Grade Levels served by this Site: Middle School
Grantee: Greeley 6 - V
Grant Abstract: The Greeley-Evans Weld County School District 6 proposes to implement a 2 Ist CCLC grant (Reaching for the Stars) to improve the academic achievement of students in 9 high need schools through scientifically-based extended learning services to reduce the gap between low-and high-performing students. This program will provide academic enrichment services that support students' school day instruction during extended learning time and during summer academic mini-courses, homework assistance, and academic after-school clubs. We will also provide parent support by offering classes related to school/learning and technology to help them improve their skills for helping their child learn.

JOHN MALL HIGH SCHOOL
Grade Levels served by this Site: Middle School and High School
Grantee: Huerfano School District RE-I - V
Grant Abstract: The Huerfano RE-I 2 Ist CCLC is a high school program that focuses on improving student academic achievement through various programs. These programs encourage engagement in the school environment; career planning (including high school completion and college); choosing healthy lifestyles; and enrichment activities with embedded academics.

## JOHNSON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

## Grade Levels served by this Site: Elementary

Grantee: Denver Public Schools, District I - VI
Grant Abstract: The four Beacon Neighborhood Centers are providing essential services to some of the highest need parts of the city. These Centers are located in the outlying parts of the city, and don't have access to centralized services.

## KEMPER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

Grade Levels served by this Site: Elementary
Grantee: Montezuma-Cortez RE-I - V
Grant Abstract: Cohort V grant will not begin until July I, 2010. We received and were granted a one month delay in the Fiscal year for 2011. We now have July 2010 through May 2011.

## LAKE CO INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL

Grade Levels served by this Site: Middle School and High School
Grantee: Lake County School District - V
Grant Abstract: The Lake County 2 Ist Century Community Learning Center Program provides afterschool and summer enrichment programming for 5th through 12 th grade students. The program also includes evening technology and wellness services for students and their families.

## LAKE MIDDLE SCHOOL

Grade Levels served by this Site: Other
Grantee: Denver Public Schools, School District I - V
Grant Abstract: DPS and the Department of Extended Learning \& Community Schools (DELCS) is continuing three current middle school Centers and developing one new middle school Center to further increase continuation and graduation at DPS, thus improving student achievement. The schools are: Bruce Randolph, Cole, Lake, and Skinner. The consortium will be known as the Middle School Out of School Time (MOST) consortium. MOST will build upon the foundations and lessons learned from the continuing sites, and welcome the innovations of each site and its partners. As a consortium three cohesive strategies are proposed: Academic Achievement, Entrepreneurship, and Pre-Collegiate programming.

## LEMUEL PITTS MIDDLE SCHOOL

Grade Levels served by this Site: Middle School
Grantee: Pueblo City Schools District 60 - V
Grant Abstract: The 21 st Century Community Learning Centers ( 2 ICCLC), ZAP the GAP Program, a partnership of Pueblo City Schools (Pueblo School District \#60) and several community agencies and service providers, focuses on Learning Centers housed within three, low-performing Pueblo City Schools middle schools. ZAP the GAP Program has developed a comprehensive menu of activities, services, and opportunities for students and families. All three sites include, academic tutoring in all core subject areas, homework assistance, technology education, family literacy services, parent education, family strengthening, recreational and cultural activities, leadership training, service learning opportunities, career exploration, health and nutrition workshops, and arts education activities.

## LINCOLN JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL

Grade Levels served by this Site: Middle School
Grantee: Poudre School District - V
Grant Abstract: Our 2 Ist CCLC consists of three school, Irish Elementary, Putnam Elementary and Lincoln Middle School. We offer after-school programs, summer school programs and parent education through Club TRES.

## MADISON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

Grade Levels served by this Site: Elementary
Grantee: Greeley 6 - V
Grant Abstract: The Greeley-Evans Weld County School District 6 proposes to implement a 21 st CCLC grant (Reaching for the Stars) to improve the academic achievement of students in 9 high need schools through scientifically-based extended learning services to reduce the gap between low-and high-performing students. This program will provide academic enrichment services that support students' school day instruction during extended learning time and
during summer academic mini-courses, homework assistance, and academic after-school clubs. We will also provide parent support by offering classes related to school/learning and technology to help them improve their skills for helping their child learn.

## MONACO ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

Grade Levels served by this Site: Elementary
Grantee: Adams County School District I4-V
Grant Abstract: Adams 14 and the 21 st Century Bridges program for Monaco and Hanson Elementary are an important component of our future growth. Adams 14 has a strategic plan that encompasses utilizing 21 st Century funding to get $80 \%$ of our students to grade level by 2014. The Bridges program will address 3 primary goals to reach our primary goal of $80 \%$ students at grade level by 2014. These goals are addressing academic achievement through remedial and tutoring opportunities. Increasing after school enrichment clubs, and to increase parental involvement in their child's education.

## MOORE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

Grade Levels served by this Site: Elementary and Middle School
Grantee: Summer Scholars - V2
Grant Abstract: Project Summary: Summer Scholars will expand extended learning programs at Swansea, Columbine, Harrington and Dora Moore elementary schools. Programs that will be offered include Summer Literacy, Language and Recreation; Before and/or After-School Tutoring and Enrichment; and Family Literacy.

## MT GARFIELD MIDDLE SCHOOL

Grade Levels served by this Site: Middle School and High School
Grantee: Mesa County Valley School District 5I - V
Grant Abstract: The summer school program was changed by the District after the submission of the grant. The number of weeks was shortened due to budget cutbacks. The number of sites were also reduced. Unsatisfactory performing students were given priority, however, a limited number of partial proficient students were allowed to participate during the program. In order to be consistent, data will be collected for regular attendees and not students attending $50 \%$ of the time. Number of participants will be increased to 40 at Clifton and Rocky Mountain.

## MUNROE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

Grade Levels served by this Site: Elementary
Grantee: Denver Public Schools, District I - V2
Grant Abstract: The Denver Public Schools' Elementary Consortium consists of four schools: Colfax, Cowell, Eagleton, and Munroe, with one anchor program, Fit Fun \& Literacy, and a diverse set of complementary partners. These schools are located in high poverty neighborhoods in desperate need of activities and educational opportunities provided by this program. The 21 st Century offerings include academics and enrichment for students as well as workshops and English classes for parents.

## NORTH STAR ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

## Grade Levels served by this Site: Elementary

Grantee: Adams 12 Five Star Schools - V
Grant Abstract: This application seeks to continue and expand funding at two Centers and serving children from a total of 4 Title I schools. Activities proposed including academic assistance/extended learning will contribute to the attainment of all stated objectives for 250 300 children.

## NORTHRIDGE HIGH SCHOOL

## Grade Levels served by this Site: High School

Grantee: Greeley 6 - V
Grant Abstract: The Greeley-Evans Weld County School District 6 proposes to implement a 21 st CCLC grant (Reaching for the Stars) to improve the academic achievement of students in 9 high need schools through scientifically-based extended learning services to reduce the gap between low-and high-performing students. This program will provide academic enrichment services that support students' school day instruction during extended learning time and during summer academic mini-courses, homework assistance, and academic after-school clubs. We will also provide parent support by offering classes related to school/learning and technology to help them improve their skills for helping their child learn.

OAKLAND ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
Grade Levels served by this Site: Elementary and Middle School
Grantee: Summer Scholars - VI
Grant Abstract: Project Summary: Summer Scholars will expand extended learning programs at Ford and Oakland Elementary schools. Programs that will be offered include Summer Literacy, Language and Recreation; Before and/or After-School Tutoring and Enrichment; and Family Literacy.

## OLATHE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

Grade Levels served by this Site: Elementary
Grantee: Montrose County REIJ - V2
Grant Abstract: Olathe Elementary and Olathe Middle Schools provide academic and enrichment classes before and after school.

## OLATHE MIDDLE SCHOOL

Grade Levels served by this Site: Middle School
Grantee: Montrose County REIJ - V2
Grant Abstract: Olathe Elementary and Olathe Middle Schools provide academic and enrichment classes before and after school.

## PLACE BRIDGE ACADEMY

Grade Levels served by this Site: Other
Grantee: Denver Public Schools, District I - VI
Grant Abstract: The four Beacon Neighborhood Centers are providing essential services to some of the highest need parts of the city. These Centers are located in the outlying parts of the city, and don't have access to centralized services.

## PRAIRIE HEIGHTS ELEMENTARY

Grade Levels served by this Site: Elementary School
Grantee: Hanover 28 - V
Grant Abstract: The four Beacon Neighborhood Centers are providing essential services to some of the highest need parts of the city. These Centers are located in the outlying parts of the city, and don't have access to centralized services.

## PUEBLO WEST ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

Grade Levels served by this Site: Elementary
Grantee: Pueblo County District 70 - V
Grant Abstract: Our project is centered around providing additional tutoring and exposure to academic content for students who demonstrate a deficit in either reading or math. This additional tutoring/teaching occurs during non-school hours.

## PUTNAM ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

Grade Levels served by this Site: Elementary
Grantee: Poudre School District - V
Grant Abstract: Our 2 Ist CCLC consists of three school, Irish Elementary, Putnam Elementary and Lincoln Middle School. We offer after-school programs, summer school programs and parent education through Club TRES.

## RACHAEL B. NOEL MIDDLE SCHOOL

## Grade Levels served by this Site: Other

Grantee: Denver Public Schools, District I - VI
Grant Abstract: The four Beacon Neighborhood Centers are providing essential services to some of the highest need parts of the city. These Centers are located in the outlying parts of the city, and don't have access to centralized services.

## ROCKY MOUNTAIN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

## Grade Levels served by this Site: Elementary

Grantee: Mesa County Valley School District 5I - V
Grant Abstract: The summer school program was changed by the District after the submission of the grant. The number of weeks was shortened due to budget cutbacks. The number of sites were also reduced. Unsatisfactory performing students were given priority, however, a limited number of partial proficient students were allowed to participate during the program. In order to be consistent, data will be collected for regular attendees and not students attending $50 \%$ of the time. Number of participants will be increased to 40 at Clifton and Rocky Mountain.

## SABLE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

Grade Levels served by this Site: Elementary
Grantee: Aurora Public School District - V
Grant Abstract: The 21 st Century afterschool program is a collaborative partnership with the City of Aurora that provides both academic and enrichment activities to three elementary schools in the Aurora community that have high levels of poverty and additional educational barriers. The program, better known as COMPASS, has been in existences for more than five years and has seasoned staff and administration working on constant quality improvement.

## SANCHEZ ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

Grade Levels served by this Site: Elementary
Grantee: Alicia Sanchez Elementary School - V
Grant Abstract: The overarching goal of the Sanchez Elementary 21 st Century Community Learning Center is to expand its current community learning center to offer at-risk children out-of-school programs, complimenting and augmenting the school's regular instruction, that provide the academic enrichment, intensive supports and enhanced opportunities for learning, exploration, and personal growth they need to successfully complete their formal education and become lifelong learners.

## SHAWSHEEN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

Grade Levels served by this Site: Elementary
Grantee: Greeley 6 - V
Grant Abstract: The Greeley-Evans Weld County School District 6 proposes to implement a 2 Ist CCLC grant (Reaching for the Stars) to improve the academic achievement of students in 9 high need schools through scientifically-based extended learning services to reduce the gap between low-and high-performing students. This program will provide academic enrichment services that support students' school day instruction during extended learning time and during summer academic mini-courses, homework assistance, and academic after-school clubs. We will also provide parent support by offering classes related to school/learning and technology to help them improve their skills for helping their child learn.

## SKINNER MIDDLE SCHOOL

Grade Levels served by this Site: Other
Grantee: Denver Public Schools, School District I - V
Grant Abstract: DPS and the Department of Extended Learning \& Community Schools (DELCS) is continuing three current middle school Centers and developing one new middle school Center to further increase continuation and graduation at DPS, thus improving student achievement. The schools are: Bruce Randolph, Cole, Lake, and Skinner. The consortium will be known as the Middle School Out of School Time (MOST) consortium. MOST will build upon the foundations and lessons learned from the continuing sites, and welcome the innovations of each site and its partners. As a consortium three cohesive strategies are proposed: Academic Achievement, Entrepreneurship, and Pre-Collegiate programming.

## SWANSEA ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

Grade Levels served by this Site: Elementary
Grantee: Summer Scholars - V2
Grant Abstract: Project Summary: Summer Scholars will expand extended learning programs at Swansea, Columbine, Harrington and Dora Moore elementary schools. Programs that will be offered include Summer Literacy, Language and Recreation; Before and/or After-School Tutoring and Enrichment; and Family Literacy.

## TEEN AFTER SCHOOL PROJECT - FOUNTAIN VALLEY YMCA

Grade Levels served by this Site: Middle School and High School
Grantee: YMCA of the Pikes Peak Region-Lorraine-V
Grant Abstract: The Teen After School Project is a dynamic program that allows students to develop their creativity and promotes individual and social responsibility through a variety of activities including visual arts, homework help, service learning, tutoring, and enrichment and recreational activities. Run by the Fountain Valley YMCA we work closely with teens from the Lorraine Secondary School, an alternative middle and high school for students who have poor attendance, behavior issues, unsatisfactory grades or are behind in school credits.

## TRINIDAD MIDDLE SCHOOL

## Grade Levels served by this Site: Middle School

Grantee: Trinidad School District I - V
Grant Abstract: Trinidad Middle School houses an after-school program for students which provides classes and activities in the following four areas: academic, recreational, remedial and enrichment. This year the calendar has remained consistent, and we are able to offer these same programs not only after school Monday through Thursday but on Fridays as well. Through community involvement, we offer program development that fosters healthy and successful youth development through civic engagement, academic achievement, foreign language development, social-emotional development, arts development, health and fitness development, and science, engineering, robotics, and math achievement.

## UNIVERSITY HILL ELEM SCHOOL

Grade Levels served by this Site: Elementary
Grantee: Boulder Valley School District RE-2 - V
Grant Abstract: The cohort will develop services targeted to the needs of Limited English, low-income, Latino and academically-struggling students and their families. Services will focus on three areas; I) academic support and enrichment for students 2 ) cultural/recreational enrichment activities for students and 3) adult education and family literacy. With the goals of the project to improve academic achievement in reading, math, science and English language acquisition; improved attitudes toward school and school climate; and parent engagement.

VAUGHN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
Grade Levels served by this Site: Elementary
Grantee: Aurora Public School District - V
Grant Abstract: The 21st Century afterschool program is a collaborative partnership with the City of Aurora that provides both academic and enrichment activities to three elementary schools in the Aurora community that have high levels of poverty and additional educational barriers. The program, better known as COMPASS, has been in existences for more than five years and has seasoned staff and administration working on constant quality improvement.

## WAMSLEY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

Grade Levels served by this Site: Elementary
Grantee: Garfield RE-2 - V
Grant Abstract: Wamsley Elementary will support student achievement of our students through full-day kindergarten for our half-day students, extended summer school, day camp for students when school is out of session, homework help, extended family night opportunities, and outreach to the Hispanic community through intercambio classes.

## WHEAT RIDGE MIDDLE SCHOOL

Grade Levels served by this Site: Middle School
Grantee: Jefferson County School District - V2
Grant Abstract: We provide extended learning opportunities to the students and families at Jefferson High School and Wheat Ridge Middle School. Our goal is to increase the literacy of our participants through enriching academic activities.

## WM E BISHOP ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

Grade Levels served by this Site: Elementary
Grantee: Englewood Schools - V
Grant Abstract: The 21 st CCLC program has been specifically designed to support the academic needs of students who do not demonstrate proficiency in reading and math. In addition, grant activities focus on experiential integration of applied academics for all students, K-5, at Bishop Elementary. Activities are offered for students, and for parents and for adult caregivers and students to participate in together, as well as, specific activities are offered for parents with limited English.

## YMCA OF THE PIKES PEAK REGION-SIERRA

## Grade Levels served by this Site: High School

Grantee: YMCA of the Pikes Peak Region-Sierra - V
Grant Abstract: This is a continuation of the 21 st Century Community Learning Center program from the past cohort. Our program focuses on skills building through creative technology and social interaction.

## Appendix C - Paid and Volunteer Staff

Table CI: Paid and Volunteer Staff for 2010-201I

| Staff Type | Paid Staff |  | Volunteer Staff |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| School-day Teachers | 584 | $48 \%$ | 17 | $8 \%$ |
| College Students | 80 | $7 \%$ | 36 | $17 \%$ |
| High School Students | 24 | $2 \%$ | 27 | $13 \%$ |
| Parents | 10 | $1 \%$ | 20 | $10 \%$ |
| Youth Development Workers | 178 | $15 \%$ | 20 | $10 \%$ |
| Other Community Members | 52 | $4 \%$ | 71 | $34 . \%$ |
| Other Nonteaching School Staff | 125 | $10 \%$ | 3 | $1 \%$ |
| Center Administrators and Coordinators | 67 | $6 \%$ | 1 | $0.5 \%$ |
| Other Nonschool Day Staff with Some or No College | 55 | $5 \%$ | 9 | $4 \%$ |
| Other | 44 | $4 \%$ | 5 | $2 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 2 1 9}$ | - | $\mathbf{2 0 9}$ | - |

- Total Centers Reporting Staffing Data: 68/98.55\%
- Average Number of Paid Staff per Center: 17.93
- Average Number of Volunteer Staff per Center: 3.07

Table C2: Paid and Volunteer Staff for 2011-2012

| Staff Type | Paid Staff |  | Volunteer Staff |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| School-day Teachers | 600 | $52 \%$ | 14 | $4 \%$ |
| College Students | 57 | $5 \%$ | 105 | $27 \%$ |
| High School Students | 25 | $2 \%$ | 83 | $21 \%$ |
| Parents | 10 | $1 \%$ | 58 | $15 \%$ |
| Youth Development Workers | 178 | $15 \%$ | 26 | $7 \%$ |
| Other Community Members | 35 | $3 \%$ | 93 | $24 \%$ |
| Other Nonteaching School Staff | 117 | $10 \%$ | 8 | $2 \%$ |
| Center Administrators and Coordinators | 90 | $8 \%$ | 3 | $1 \%$ |
| Other Nonschool Day Staff with Some or No College | 44 | $4 \%$ | 5 | $1 \%$ |
| Other | 7 | $1 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 2 1 9}$ | - | $\mathbf{3 9 5}$ | - |

- Total Centers Reporting Staffing Data: 69 / $98.57 \%$
- Average Number of Paid Staff per Center: 16.86
- Average Number of Volunteer Staff per Center: 5.72


## Appendix D - Partners and Subcontractors

Table DI: Partners and Subcontractors by Contribution Type 2010-201I

| Contribution Type | Partners |  | Subcontractors |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Provide Evaluation Services | 19 | $8 \%$ | 13 | $13 \%$ |
| Raise Funds | 14 | $6 \%$ | 3 | $3 \%$ |
| Provide Programming / Activity Related Services | 199 | $81 \%$ | 92 | $92 \%$ |
| Provide Goods | 105 | $43 \%$ | 50 | $50 \%$ |
| Provide Volunteer Staffing | 54 | $22 \%$ | 12 | $12 \%$ |
| Provide Paid Staffing | 81 | $33 \%$ | 47 | $47 \%$ |
| Other | 33 | $13 \%$ | 13 | $13 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 4 6}$ | - | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | - |

- Total Grantees Reporting Partner Data for Colorado: 31 / 100\%

Table D2: Partners and Subcontractors by Contribution Type 2011-2012

| Contribution Type | Partners |  | Subcontractors |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Provide Evaluation Services | 18 | $6 \%$ | 15 | $16 \%$ |
| Raise Funds | 24 | $8 \%$ | 7 | $7 \%$ |
| Provide Programming / Activity Related Services | 250 | $83 \%$ | 91 | $96 \%$ |
| Provide Goods | 136 | $45 \%$ | 49 | $52 \%$ |
| Provide Volunteer Staffing | 89 | $30 \%$ | 25 | $26 \%$ |
| Provide Paid Staffing | 92 | $31 \%$ | 56 | $59 \%$ |
| Other | 20 | $7 \%$ | 5 | $5 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 0 1}$ | - | $\mathbf{9 5}$ | - |

- Total Grantees Reporting Partner Data for Colorado: 31 / 100\%


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Data from 2004 through 2009 were previously reported by Rose Shaw, Ph.D., Metrica, $170336{ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue Court, Greeley, CO 80634-2807 in the 2008-09 External Evaluation Report.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Attendance totals represent the sum of unduplicated student and regular attendees reported by individual Centers; however, no mechanism exists for accounting for students that may have attended more than one Center during the reporting period.
    ${ }^{3}$ These numbers are based on the 67 centers that reported this information. See Table 1.
    ${ }^{4} 68$ Centers provided gender data.
    ${ }^{5} 63$ Centers provided these data.

[^2]:    ${ }^{6}$ Kemper Elementary School and Prairie Heights Elementary School were missing this data.

[^3]:    ${ }^{7}$ Note, the total number of all students in this table does not include the 66 students who were reported as "undefined" nor does it include students in grade categories where any given center had less than five students in that category. PPICS changes student counts in Centers to zero if the number is less than five. Consequently, this number does not match the total number of student attendees for all Centers.

[^4]:    ${ }^{8}$ Note: These activities reflect only those occurring on a weekly basis. Activities offered less frequently are not included.

[^5]:    ${ }^{9}$ Center level APR N/A and missing data are explained in Appendix A under "Data Collection."

[^6]:    ${ }^{1010}$ These percentages add up to $99 \%$ due to rounding.

[^7]:    ${ }^{11}$ Attendance totals represent the sum of unduplicated student and regular attendees reported by individual Centers; however, no mechanism exists for accounting for students that may have attended more than one Center during the reporting period.
    ${ }^{12}$ These data are based on the 69 centers that provided this information.
    ${ }^{13}$ PPICS data on American Indians is incorrect.
    ${ }^{14} 69$ Centers reported gender data.
    ${ }^{15} 68$ Centers provided these data.

[^8]:    ${ }^{16}$ Prairie Heights is included in these 44 Centers even though they had zero regular attendees.

[^9]:    ${ }^{17}$ Note, the total number of all students in this table does not include the 362 students who were reported as
    "undefined" nor does it include students in grade categories where any given center had less than five students in that category. PPICS changes student counts in Centers to zero if the number is less than five. Consequently, this number does not match the total number of student attendees for all Centers.

[^10]:    ${ }^{18}$ Note: These activities reflect only those occurring on a weekly basis. Activities offered less frequently are not included.

[^11]:    ${ }^{19}$ Center level APR N/A and missing data are explained in Appendix A under "Data Collection."

[^12]:    ${ }^{20}$ Numbers do not add up to $100 \%$ due to rounding.

[^13]:    Grade Levels served by this Site: Elementary and Middle School
    Grantee: Jefferson County School District - VI
    Grant Abstract: The 21 st CCLC grant will allow Project Prepare to create programming vital to the support of our children, families and community. The overall goals of Project Prepare include raising student achievement, providing a safe environment after school and during the summer recess and providing opportunities to promote the health of our families. To meet these goals, Project Prepare will provide for academic tutoring, after school and summer enrichment activities including summer arts writing and math/science camps, parent education, nutrition and wellness classes and increased availability for families to receive counseling.

